# Shade tolerance and suitability of tree species for planting in rubber plantations

Yaohua Tian<sup>1</sup>, Huifang Yuan<sup>1</sup>, Jiang Xie<sup>1</sup> and Yulong Zheng<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Yunnan Institute of Tropical Crops, Jinghong, China

<sup>2</sup> Key Laboratory of Tropical Forest Ecology, Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Mengla, China

\* Corresponding author, e-mail: zhengyl@xtbg.org.cn

The rapid increase in rubber monoculture in Xishuangbanna has resulted in extensive damage to its local ecosystem. To decrease the negative effects, the concept of the ecological-economic rubber plantation (EERP) system was proposed. The EERP entails intercropping rubber plants with other economically significant plants, which would not only decrease the ecological impact of the rubber plantation, but also maintain and potentially increase its profitability compared with rubber monocultures. In order to select the appropriate species and intercropping pattern in the EERP system, we compared the photosynthetic parameters of five economically important trees (*Swietenia mahagoni, Coffea arabica, Mesua ferrea, Myristica yunnanensis* and *Paramichelia baillonii*) under four irradiance levels. The optimal irradiance intensity of *C. arabica* and *M. yunnanensis* was approximately 40%, and these species can be planted in the understory of an 8- to 15-year-old rubber forest. Adult *S. mahagoni, M. ferrea* and *P. baillonii* grow taller than the rubber trees, and their seedlings also show superior performance in 100% irradiance than in other irradiances. Thus, in an EERP, these valuable trees could be planted adjacent to rubber plantations but on sites that are economically and environmentally marginal for rubber production.

Keywords: bioenergetic, carboxylation, ecological-economic rubber plantation, leaf nitrogen allocation, photosynthesis

# Introduction

Xishuangbanna, which is located in south-west China, is the most diverse region of the country, and has been included in the list of Indo-Burma biodiversity hotspots (Myers et al. 2000). Rubber (Hevea brasiliensis) is an important tree crop that is propagated in Xishuangbanna. The first rubber plantations were established in 1956 to meet the needs of national defence and economic development (Wu et al. 2001; Li et al. 2008). With the rapid increase in the price of rubber, an increasing number of natural forests were replaced by rubber plantations, and the rubber planting area in Xishuangbanna reached 270 000 ha by 2010, which was 800% higher than that of 10 years previously. Although rubber cultivation improves local economic and social situations, monoculture rubber plantations often cause various ecological problems, such as soil erosion, water deficiency, emission of volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and loss of biodiversity (Feng et al. 1982; Guo et al. 2002; Wang et al. 2007; Tan et al. 2011). In this context, the ecological-economic rubber plantation (EERP) system was recently established. The EERP concept proposes that rubber plants are intercropped with other native economic plants, which would not only decrease the ecological impact of rubber plantations, but also maintain and potentially increase profitability, compared with rubber monoculture.

One important step in the EERP system is the selection of appropriate species to intercrop with the rubber plants. In addition to the profitability of the target

species, understory irradiance availability should also be considered. The interval between rubber planting and cutting is approximately 40 years, and understory irradiance gradually decreases with growth of the rubber tree. Irradiance is an important resource for plants, with survival, growth, and reproduction all depending on it. Photosynthesis is a process that is very sensitive to irradiance change (Niinemets et al. 1997; Valladares et al. 2000; Feng et al. 2007; Zheng et al. 2012). For example, leaf nitrogen (N) allocated to carboxylation  $(N_c)$ and bioenergetics  $(N_{\rm B})$  of the photosynthetic processes increase with higher levels of irradiance, whereas the fraction of leaf N allocated to light-harvesting components  $(P_1)$  and chlorophyll content decreases (Niinemets and Tenhunen 1997; McDowell 2002; Niinemets et al. 2003; Baltzer and Thomas 2007; Feng 2008; Zheng et al. 2012). These responses to irradiance increase the ability to capture light at low irradiance and increase the light-saturated photosynthetic rate ( $P_{max}$ ). Although plants can grow in a broad irradiance range through plastic responses of physiological traits, each plant has an optimal irradiance range, where it can acquire its highest photosynthetic ability without photoinhibition or photodamage. Therefore, in the EERP system, economically significant species with different levels of shade tolerance should be intercropped with rubber trees using various patterns. For example, some species can grow in the understory of the rubber forest during an

appropriate growth stage of rubber trees, whereas some sun-dependent species should be intercropped with rubber trees using a mosaic distribution pattern.

Swietenia mahagoni, Coffea arabica, Mesua ferrea, Myristica yunnanensis and Paramichelia baillonii are five economically significant crop trees in tropical areas. Previous studies have shown that shade tolerance differs among several species (Friend 1984; Ashton et al. 2006; Gonçalves et al. 2007). However, the species were not compared simultaneously. In the present study, the photosynthetic parameters of the five above-mentioned species were compared at four irradiance levels. The aims of the present study were to determine (1) the effects of irradiance on the photosynthetic system of the five species, and (2) the appropriate intercropping patterns for each species in an EERP system.

## Materials and methods

#### Study site and species

This study was conducted at the Yunnan Institute of Tropical Crops located in Jinghong, Yunnan province, south-west China. The mean annual temperature of the area is 21.8 °C. The mean temperature in July, which is the hottest month, is 25.6 °C, and that in January, the coolest month, is 16 °C. The average annual precipitation is 1 235 mm, with a dry period lasting from November to April.

The five tropical species included in the present study are of high economic value. *Swietenia mahagoni, M. ferrea* and *P. baillonii* are tropical evergreen trees that grow to a height of 20–30 m. All three species are valuable timber species and are used in the construction of furniture, ships and musical instruments. *Coffea arabica* accounts for 75–80% of the world's coffee production. Commercial cultivars mostly grow to a height of approximately 5 m and are frequently trimmed to a height of 2 m to facilitate harvesting. *Myristica yunnanensis* is an evergreen tree that grows to a height of approximately 8 m, and its seeds and fruit are used in perfumes and oils.

#### Irradiance treatment

According to our investigation, the understory irradiance is approximately 40% (of full irradiance) for an 8-year-old rubber forest, 20% for a 15-year-old forest, and 10% for an up to 20-year-old forest (unpublished data).

In the present study, we created 40%, 20% and 10% irradiances by covering shadehouses with different layers of black nylon shade netting, including no netting to create 100% irradiance. The relative irradiance in each shadehouse was estimated by comparing the integrated photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) inside it during a clear day with that in the shadehouse with no netting. Quantum sensors and an Li-1400 datalogger (Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE, USA) were used to measure PPFD. To decrease potential differences among shadehouses due to environmental factors other than irradiance, the houses were constructed in a field and the lower 30 cm of each shadehouse remained open to facilitate airflow. To reduce possible irradiance heterogeneity within the houses, each shadehouse was divided into five sections and each section contained five seedlings of each species (see Appendix).

#### Seedlings

Seeds of the five species were sown in a seedbed in a greenhouse in July 2012. In September, when the seedlings were approximately 10 cm tall, seedlings of similar size were transplanted singly into 8 dm<sup>3</sup> plastic pots. A total of 500 pots were included in the study (5 species  $\times$  4 irradiances  $\times$  5 sections  $\times$  5 individuals). The pots were filled with equal proportions of sand and the top layer (0–20 cm depth) of local forest soil. Seedlings were watered with tap water every day.

### Measurement

In December 2012, five seedlings (one seedling per section) per species per house were selected to determine the chlorophyll fluorescence of photosystem II (PSII) using a Dual PAM-100 fluorometer (Heinz Walz, Effeltrich, Germany) and photosynthetic response to intercellular  $CO_2$  concentration (C<sub>i</sub>) using a Li-6400 portable photosynthesis system (Li-Cor) on new fully expanded leaves. The maximum photochemical efficiency of PSII  $(F_v/F_m)$ was calculated as  $F_v/F_m = (F_m - F_o)/F_m$ .  $F_o$  represents the minimum fluorescence in the dark-adapted state, and  $F_{m}$  is the maximum fluorescence value upon illumination of a pulse (300 ms) of saturating light (10 000  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) in the dark-adapted state.  $F_v = (F_m - F_o)$ is the variable fluorescence. Before determination of the photosynthetic response to  $C_i$ , we conducted a preliminary measurement to explore the saturating irradiance level and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of each species in each house. Under a saturating level of irradiance, net photosynthetic rate (P<sub>n</sub>) was measured at 400, 350, 300, 250, 200, 160, 130, 100, 80 and 50  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> in the reference chamber. The relative humidity of the air in the leaf chamber was controlled at ~80% and the leaf temperature was maintained at 30 °C. The  $C_i$  and  $P_n$  of each leaf sample were recorded after 180 s at each CO<sub>2</sub> step. The photosynthetic rate was measured at 400  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> and the saturating irradiance was P<sub>max</sub>. Thereafter, the irradianceand  $CO_2$ -saturated photosynthetic rate ( $P_{max}$ ) were detected after 500 s under saturating irradiance and 1,800 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup> CO<sub>2</sub>. Before measurement, each leaf sample was illuminated with saturating irradiance provided by the lightemitting diode light source of the equipment for 5-30 min to achieve full photosynthetic induction. No photoinhibition occurred during the measurements.

Leaf discs (excluding the main vein) were taken from each sample leaf and oven-dried at 60 °C for 48 h. Leaf N content ( $N_{\rm M}$ ; g kg<sup>-1</sup>) was determined using a Vario MAX CN Element Analyser (Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Hanau, Germany). Leaf chlorophyll (Chl) contents were measured following the method of Lichtenthaler and Wellburn (1983). The same leaf of each plant sample was used whenever possible for measurements of chlorophyll fluorescence, photosynthesis, Chl, and  $N_{\rm M}$ , although in some cases similar leaves were used for some measurements.

Maximum carboxylation rate ( $V_{cmax}$ ) was derived from  $P_n-C_i$  curves. Each  $P_n-C_i$  curve was fitted to a linear equation ( $P_n = kC_i + i$ ) within 50–200 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>  $C_i$ , where k is the carboxylation efficiency (CE), and -i/k is equal to the CO<sub>2</sub> compensation concentration ( $\Gamma^*$ ) in the absence of

mitochondrial respiration (Laisk et al. 2005), which was very low under irradiation. Maximum electron transport rate ( $J_{max}$ ) was calculated using light- and CO<sub>2</sub>-saturated photosynthetic rate. The contents of Rubisco and cytochrome (cyt) *f* were calculated using  $V_{cmax}$  and  $J_{max}$ , respectively. Nitrogen allocated to carboxylation ( $N_c$ ) and to bioenergetics ( $N_B$ ) was calculated using the levels of Rubisco and cyt *f*, respectively. The fraction of leaf N allocated to lightharvesting components ( $P_L$ ) was calculated using chlorophyll content ( $C_c$ ). Theories and calculations were based on Niinemets and Tenhunen (1997), Loustau et al. (1999), Warren and Adams (2004), Kitaoka and Koike (2004), Feng et al. (2007) and Feng and Fu (2008). The values of  $V_{cmax}$ ,  $J_{max}$ ,  $N_c$ ,  $N_B$  and  $P_L$  were calculated using the following equations:

$$V_{\rm cmax} = k[C_{\rm i} + K_{\rm c}(1 + O/K_{\rm o})]2/[\Gamma^* + K_{\rm c}(1 + O/K_{\rm o})]$$
(1)

$$J_{\max} = [4(P_{\max}' + R_d)(C_i + 2\Gamma^*)]/(C_i - \Gamma^*)$$
(2)

$$N_{\rm C} = V_{\rm cmax} / (6.25 \times V_{\rm cr}) \tag{3}$$

 $N_{\rm B} = J_{\rm max} / (8.06 \times J_{\rm mc}) \tag{4}$ 

$$P_{\rm L} = C_{\rm C} / (N_{\rm M} \times C_{\rm B}) \tag{5}$$

where  $K_c$  (Michaelis–Menten constant of Rubisco for carboxylation) and  $K_o$  (Michaelis–Menten constant of Rubisco for oxidation) are 275.1 µmol·mol<sup>-1</sup> and 414.2 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup> at 25 °C, respectively, and O (intercellular oxygen concentration) is 210 mmol mol<sup>-1</sup> (Niinemets and Tenhunen 1997).  $V_{cr}$  (specific activity of Rubisco) and  $J_{mc}$  (the potential rate of photosynthetic electron transport per unit cyt *f*) are 20.78 µmol CO<sub>2</sub> g<sup>-1</sup> Rubisco s<sup>-1</sup> and 155.64 µmol electrons µmol<sup>-1</sup> cyt *f* s<sup>-1</sup> at 25 °C, respectively.  $C_B$  (the ratio of leaf chlorophyll to leaf N in light-harvesting components) is 2.15 mmol g<sup>-1</sup>, and 6.25 (g Rubisco g<sup>-1</sup> N in Rubisco) is the conversion coefficient between N content and protein content in Rubisco, and 8.06 (µmol cyt *f* g<sup>-1</sup> N in bioenergetics) is the conversion coefficient between cyt *f* and N in bioenergetics (Niinemets et al. 1998).

#### Statistical analysis

A two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test for the effects of species, irradiance and their inter-actions on each variable evaluated in this study. One-way ANOVAs (Duncan's multiple range test) were used to determine differences among irradiances in the same species for each variable. The significance of correlation between each pair of variables was tested using Pearson's correlation (two-tailed) analysis. Analyses were conducted using SPSS 17.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

## Results

Two-way ANOVA showed that both species and irradiance imparted significant effects on all variables examined in the present study (Table 1). The interactions between species and irradiance were also significant, except for  $P_{\text{max}}$ ,  $F_{\text{v}}/F_{\text{m}}$  and  $J_{\text{max}}$  (Table 1). With the decrease in irradiance, the  $P_{\text{max}}$  of *S. mahagoni*, *M. ferrea* and *P. baillonii* 

 Table 1: Effects of species, irradiance and their interactions on each variable as indicated by two-way ANOVA

	<i>F</i> -value						
Variable	Species	Irradiance	Irradiance × Species				
P <sub>max</sub>	51.23***	11.04***	0.89				
F_/F_m	3.43*	4.96**	1.72				
V <sub>cmax</sub>	151.23***	11.04***	2.95**				
J <sub>max</sub>	24.70***	23.84***	1.74				
N <sub>c</sub>	74.48***	4.98**	1.98*				
N <sub>B</sub>	23.94***	28.75***	2.27*				
PL	7.52***	33.78***	2.67*				
Chl	22.99***	38.57***	4.34***				

\* *P* < 0.05, \* *P* < 0.01, \* *P* < 0.001



**Figure 1:** Light-saturated photosynthetic rate ( $P_{max}$ ) of each species grown in four irradiances. Different letters above bars indicate significant differences among irradiances for each species

decreased, whereas *C. arabica* and *M. yunnanensis* showed the highest  $P_{max}$  at 40% irradiance (Figure 1a). The changing trends of  $V_{cmax}$  and  $J_{max}$  were similar to those of  $P_{max}$  (Figure 2a and b). No significant differences in  $F_v/F_m$  for *S. mahagoni*, *M. ferrea* and *P. baillonii* were observed among irradiances, whereas the  $F_v/F_m$  of *C. arabica* and *M. yunnanensis* under 100% irradiance was significantly lower than those under 40%, 20% and 10% irradiance (Figure 1b).

With the decrease in irradiance,  $N_c$  significantly decreased in *M. ferrea* and *P. baillonii*, but did not significantly change



**Figure 2:** Maximum carboxylation rate ( $V_{cmax}$ ) and maximum electron transport rate ( $J_{max}$ ) of each species grown in four irradiances. Different letters above bars indicate significant differences among irradiances for each species

in S. mahagoni (Figure 3a). In C. arabica, N<sub>c</sub> under 40% and 20% irradiance was significantly higher than that under 100% and 10% irradiance (Figure 3a). In M. yunnanensis, N<sub>c</sub> under 20% irradiance did not significantly differ from that of the other three irradiance levels, whereas  $N_{\rm c}$  in 10% irradiance was significantly lower than that under 100% and 40% irradiance (Figure 3a). With the decrease in irradiance, N<sub>B</sub> significantly decreased in S. mahagoni, M. ferrea and P. baillonii, whereas in C. arabica and M. yunnanensis N<sub>B</sub> slightly increased until 40% irradiance and then decreased (Figure 3b). For all species except C. arabica, the P<sub>L</sub> under 100% irradiance was significantly lower than that under 20% and 10% irradiance levels (Figure 3c). For C. arabica and M. ferrea, the P<sub>1</sub> in 40% irradiance was not significantly different from that under the other three irradiances, whereas for M. yunnanensis it was significantly higher than that under 100% irradiance, but lower than that under 20% and 10% irradiance (Figure 3c). However, the P<sub>1</sub> of S. mahagoni under 40% irradiance was not significantly different from that under 20% and 10% irradiance, but was significantly higher than that under 100% irradiance (Figure 3c). The P, of P. baillonii between 40% and 100% irradiances were not significantly different, and both were significantly lower than the  $P_{\rm L}$  under 20% and 10% irradiance levels (Figure 3c). Except for C. arabica and *M. ferrea*, with the decrease in irradiance, the changing trend in chlorophyll content was the same with  $P_1$  (Figure 3c and d). In *M. ferrea*, no significant differences in chlorophyll content were observed among the various irradiance levels,



**Figure 3:** Nitrogen allocated to carboxylation ( $N_c$ ) and to bioenergetics ( $N_B$ ), leaf nitrogen allocated to light-harvesting components ( $P_L$ ), and chlorophyll content of each species grown in four irradiances. Different letters above bars indicate significant differences among irradiances for each species

whereas in *C. arabica* the chlorophyll content under 100% irradiance was significantly lower than that observed in the other three irradiance levels (Figure 3d).

## Discussion

For shade plants, high irradiance could lead to excessive production of energy during the photosynthesis of shade plants, causing photoinhibition and photodamage (Long et al. 1994; Huner et al. 1998). The  $F_{v}/F_{m}$  of *C. arabica* and *M. yunnanensis* with 100% irradiance was significantly lower than that observed under the 10%, 20% and 40% irradiance levels (Figure 2b), which indicated that 100% irradiance was stressful to both species. On the other hand, the highest  $P_{max}$  in *C. arabica* and *M. yunnanensis* was observed using 40% irradiance. It indicated that the optimal growing irradiance for *C. arabica* and M. yunnanensis was 40% irradiance. However, 100% irradiance was optimal for *S. mahagoni, M. ferrea* and *P. baillonii*, as indicated by their  $P_{max}$  and  $F_{v}/F_{m}$  at each irradiance level (Figure 1).

Nitrogen is one of the most important limiting resources for plant growth in nature, and most leaf N is allocated to photosynthesis (Takashima et al. 2004; Feng et al. 2007). Carboxylation, bioenergetics and light-harvesting systems are the three main components of photosynthesis. In the present study,  $V_{\rm cmax}$  and  $J_{\rm max}$  increased linearly with higher  $N_{\rm C}$  and  $N_{\rm B}$ , respectively (Figure 4a and b).  $P_{\rm max}$  significantly increased with elevated  $N_{\rm B}+N_{\rm C}$  (Figure 4c), whereas no significant correlation was observed with  $P_{\rm L}$  (Figure 4d). Previous studies have also shown that small changes in N allocation to each component significantly influence  $P_{\rm max}$  and therefore plant performance (Onoda et al. 2004; Takashima et al. 2004; Feng et al. 2007; Feng and Fu 2008; Zheng et al. 2012).  $P_{\rm max}$  showed no significant correlation with  $P_{\rm L}$  (Figure 4d), which might be due to variation in  $V_{\rm cmax}$  and  $J_{\rm max}$  among species, leading to the same  $P_{\rm L}$  although with different  $P_{\rm max}$  values.

To facilitate harvesting in agricultural management, *C. arabica* and *M. yunnanensis* are frequently trimmed to a height of 2 m and 8 m, respectively. In an EERP system, *C. arabica* and *M. yunnanensis* can be planted in the understory of an 8- to 15-year-old rubber plantation (Figure 5a). Because the 8- to 15-year-old rubber trees in Xishuangbanna are approximately 10–15 m high, the understory irradiances are approximately 20–40%. According to the present study, *S. mahagoni, M. ferrea* and *P. baillonii* grow well in 100% irradiance. These are tropical evergreen trees of 20–30 m in height, and the interval from planting to harvesting is approximately 40 years. In the EERP system, these three species should be intercropped with rubber trees using a mosaic distribution pattern (Figure 5b). These three valuable trees could be planted



Figure 4:  $V_{cmax}$  as a function of  $N_{c}$  (a),  $J_{max}$  as a function of  $N_{B}$  (b), and  $P_{max}$  as a function of  $N_{B} + N_{C}$  (c) and  $P_{L}$  (d) for each species

(a) Rubber tree					(b)	)							
					Ĭ	`∎							

**Figure 5:** Two distribution patterns between rubber trees and economic species in an ecological-economic rubber plantation system. Target economic species grown in the rubber understory (a), and rubber tree and target species intercropped with a mosaic distribution pattern (b)

 Table 2: Income of each crop tree species according to the market price (2014–2015) in China

Species	Use purpose	Unit price (CNY)	Income start	Average income (CNY ha <sup>-1</sup> v <sup>-1</sup> )
H. brasiliensis	Latex	10.00 ka <sup>-1</sup>		10 440
S. mahagoni	Timber	5 300.00 m <sup>-3</sup>	20th	95 400
C. arabica	Beverage	3.50 kg⁻¹	3rd	42 000
M. ferrea	Timber	12 000.00 m⁻₃	70th	61 500
M. yunnanensis	Spice,	4.00 kg⁻¹	7th	23 760
	medicine			
P. baillonii	Timber	1 950.00 m⁻³	30th	21 450

adjacent to rubber plantations but on sites that are economically and environmentally marginal for rubber production.

Intercropping not only decreases soil erosion (Labrière et al. 2015) but also has the potential to increase profitability compared with monocropping (Rodrigo et al. 2005; CIRAD 2013). Based on market prices in China, the profitability of the five crop trees is also higher than rubber, and the investment income of most trees is very long (*S. mahagoni*, *M. ferrea* and *P. baillonii*) (Table 2), and the labour cost for *C. arabica* is higher than that for rubber. It will be more feasible if the government provided support or special policies for popularising the EERP system.

# Conclusions

The irradiance level has a significant effect on the photosystem of each species studied, and N allocation plays an important role in acclimation to irradiance. In the EERP system, the planting pattern is site- and species-specific. *Coffea arabica* and *M. yunnanensis* could be planted in the understory of adult rubber forest, because the most suitable irradiance for the two species is 20–40%. In contrast, *S. mahagoni, M. ferrea* and *P. baillonii* grow well in full irradiance, therefore they should be planted in sites that are inconvenient or uneconomic for rubber plantation.

Acknowledgements — This work was supported by the National Key Technology Research and Development Program of the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (2011BAD30B01) and the CAS 135 programme (XTBG-F01).

#### References

- Ashton MS, Singhakumarab BMP, Gamage HK. 2006. Interaction between light and drought affect performance of Asian tropical tree species that have differing topographic affinities. *Forest Ecology and Management* 221: 42–51.
- Baltzer JL, Thomas SC. 2007. Physiological and morphological correlates of whole-plant light compensation point in temperate deciduous tree seedlings. *Oecologia* 153: 209–223.
- CIRAD (Centre de coopération internationale en recherche agronomique pour le développement). 2013. Rubber: intercropping with coffee or cocoa is more profitable than monocropping. Available at http://www.cirad.fr/en/research-operations/research-results/2013/ rubber-intercropping-with-coffee-or-cocoa-is-more-profitable-thanmonocropping [accessed November 2014].
- Feng YL. 2008. Photosynthesis, nitrogen allocation and specific leaf area in invasive *Eupatorium adenophorum* and native *Eupatorium japonicum* grown at different irradiances. *Physiologia Plantarum* 133: 318–326.
- Feng YL, Auge H, Ebeling SK. 2007. Invasive Buddleja davidii allocates more nitrogen to its photosynthetic machinery than five native woody species. *Oecologia* 153: 501–510.
- Feng YL, Fu GL. 2008. Nitrogen allocation, partitioning and use efficiency in three invasive plant species in comparison to their native congeners. *Biological Invasions* 10: 891–902.
- Feng YZ. 1982. An ecological study on rubber + tea inter-cropping. Acta Botanica 24: 22–33.
- Friend DJ. 1984. Shade adaptation of photosynthesis in Coffea arabica. Photosynthesis Research 5: 325–334.
- Gonçalves JFC, Santos UM Jr, Nina AR Jr, Chevreuil LR. 2007. Energetic flux and performance index in copaiba (Copaifera multijuga Hayne) and mahogany (Swietenia macrophylla King) seedlings grown under two irradiance environments. Brazilian Journal of Plant Physiology 19: 171–184.
- Guo HJ, Padoch C, Coffey K, Chen AG, Fu YN. 2002. Economic development, land use and biodiversity change in the tropical mountains of Xishuangbanna, Yunnan, Southwest China. *Environmental Science and Policy* 5: 471–479.
- Huner NPA, Öquist G, Sarhan F. 1998. Energy balance and acclimation to light and cold. *Trends in Plant Science* 3: 224–230.
- Kitaoka S, Koike T. 2004. Invasion of broad leaf tree species into a larch plantation: seasonal light environment, photosynthesis, and nitrogen allocation. *Physiologia Plantarum* 121: 604–611.
- Labrière N, Locatelli B, Laumonier Y, Freycon V, Bernoux M. 2015. Soil erosion in the humid tropics: a systematic quantitative review. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 203: 127–139.
- Li HM, Ma YX, Aide TM, Liu WJ. 2008. Past, present and future land-use in Xishuangbanna, China and the implications for carbon dynamics. *Forest Ecology and Management* 255: 16–24.
- Lichtenthaler HK, Wellburn AR. 1983. Determination of total carotenoids and chlorophyll *a* and *b* of leaf extracts in different solvents. *Biochemical Society Transactions* 603: 591–592.
- Long SP, Humphries S, Folkowski PG. 1994. Photoinhibition of photosynthesis in nature. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology 45: 633–662.
- Loustau D, Beahim M, Gaudillère JP, Dreyer E. 1999. Photosynthetic responses to phosphorous nutrition in two-year-old maritime pine seedlings. *Tree Physiology* 19: 707–715.
- McDowell SCL. 2002. Photosynthetic characteristics of invasive and noninvasive species of *Rubus* (Rosaceae). *American Journal of Botany* 89: 1431–1438.
- Myers N, Mittermeier RA, Mittermeier CG, da Fonseca GAB, Kent J. 2000. Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature* 403: 853–858.
- Niinemets Ü, Kull O, Tenhunen JD. 1998. An analysis of light effects on foliar morphology, physiology, and light interception

in temperate deciduous woody species of contrasting shade tolerance. *Tree Physiology* 18: 681–696.

- Niinemets Ü, Tenhunen JD. 1997. A model separating leaf structural and physiological effects on carbon gain along light gradients for the shade-tolerant species *Acer saccharum*. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 20: 845–866.
- Niinemets Ü, Valladares F, Ceulemans R. 2003. Leaf-level phenotypic variability and plasticity of invasive *Rhododendron ponticum* and non-invasive *llex aquifolium* co-occurring at two contrasting European sites. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 26: 941–956.
- Onoda Y, Hikosaka K, Hirose T. 2004. Allocation of nitrogen to cell walls decreases photosynthetic nitrogen-use efficiency. *Functional Ecology* 18: 419–425.
- Rodrigo VHL, Stirling CM, Silva TUK, Pathirana PD. 2005. The growth and yield of rubber at maturity is improved by intercropping with banana during the early stage of rubber cultivation. *Field Crops Research* 91: 23–33.
- Takashima T, Hikosaka K, Hirose T. 2004. Photosynthesis or persistence: nitrogen allocation in leaves of evergreen and

deciduous Quercus species. Plant, Cell and Environment 27: 1047–1054.

- Tan ZH, Zhang YP, Song QH, Liu WJ, Deng XB, Tang JW, Deng Y, Zhou WJ, Yang LY, Yu GR, Sun XM, Liang NS. 2011. Rubber plantations act as water pumps in tropical China. *Geophysical Research Letters* 38: L24406.
- Wang YF, Owen SM, Li QJ, Peńuelas J. 2007. Monoterpene emissions from rubber trees (*Hevea brasiliensis*) in a changing landscape and climate: chemical speciation and environmental control. *Global Change Biology* 13: 2270–2282.
- Warren CR, Adams MA. 2004. Evergreen trees do not maximize instantaneous photosynthesis. *Trends in Plant Science* 9: 270–274.
- Wu ZL, Liu HM, Liu LY. 2001. Rubber cultivation and sustainable development in Xishuangbanna, China. International Journal of Sustainable Development and World Ecology 8: 337–345.
- Zheng YL, Feng YL, Lei YB, Liao ZY. 2012. Comparisons of plastic responses to irradiance and physiological traits by invasive *Eupatorium adenophorum* and its native congeners. *Journal of Plant Physiology* 169: 884–891.

(a) 100%	(b) 40%	(c) 20%	(d) 10%
	$\bigcirc \Box \triangle \diamondsuit \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$	$\bigcirc \Box \triangle \diamondsuit 0 \bigcirc \Box \triangle \diamondsuit 0 \oslash \Box \triangle \diamondsuit 0 \bigcirc \Box \triangle \diamondsuit 0 \oslash \Box \triangle \diamondsuit 0 \oslash 0 \bigcirc 0 \bigcirc$	
	$\bigcirc \Box \triangle \diamondsuit \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$	$\bigcirc \Box \triangle \diamondsuit 0 \bigcirc \Box \triangle \diamondsuit 0 \oslash \Box \triangle \diamondsuit 0 \bigcirc \Box \triangle \diamondsuit 0 \oslash \Box \triangle \diamondsuit 0 \oslash 0 \bigcirc 0 \bigcirc$	
$\bigcirc \Box \triangle \diamondsuit 0 \land 0$	$\bigcirc \Box \triangle \diamondsuit 0 \land 0$	$\bigcirc \Box \Delta \diamondsuit 0 \land 0$	$\bigcirc \Box \Delta \diamondsuit 0 \bigcirc \Box \Delta \diamondsuit 0 \oslash \Box \Delta \diamondsuit 0 \bigcirc \Box \Delta \diamondsuit 0 \oslash 0 \bigcirc 0 \bigcirc$
	$\bigcirc \Box \triangle \diamondsuit \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$		
$\bigcirc \Box \triangle \diamondsuit \bigcirc \bigcirc \Box \triangle \diamondsuit \bigcirc \diamondsuit \bigcirc \bigcirc$	$\bigcirc \Box \triangle \diamondsuit \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$	$\bigcirc \Box \triangle \diamondsuit \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$	$\bigcirc \Box \triangle \diamondsuit 0 \bigcirc \Box \triangle \diamondsuit 0 \bigcirc \Box \triangle \diamondsuit 0 \bigcirc \Box \triangle \diamondsuit 0 \oslash \Box \triangle \diamondsuit 0 \oslash 0 \bigcirc 0 \bigcirc$

Appendix: Distribution of each crop tree seedlings in the shadehouse. Five symbols represent five crop trees