

Review

# Minimizing Risks of Invasive Alien Plant Species in Tropical Production Forest Management

Michael Padmanaba<sup>1,2,\*</sup> and Richard T. Corlett<sup>1</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Center for Integrative Conservation, Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Menglun, Mengla, Yunnan 666303, China; E-Mail: corlett@xtbg.org.cn
- <sup>2</sup> Center for International Forestry Research, JL. CIFOR Situgede, Sindangbarang, Bogor 16115, Indonesia
- \* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mail: m.padmanaba@cgiar.org; Tel.: +86-691-871-5071; Fax: +86-691-871-5070.

Received: 1 July 2014; in revised form: 31 July 2014 / Accepted: 4 August 2014 / Published: 15 August 2014

Abstract: Timber production is the most pervasive human impact on tropical forests, but studies of logging impacts have largely focused on timber species and vertebrates. This review focuses on the risk from invasive alien plant species, which has been frequently neglected in production forest management in the tropics. Our literature search resulted in 114 publications with relevant information, including books, book chapters, reports and papers. Examples of both invasions by aliens into tropical production forests and plantation forests as sources of invasions are presented. We discuss species traits and processes affecting spread and invasion, and silvicultural practices that favor invasions. We also highlight potential impacts of invasive plant species and discuss options for managing them in production forests. We suggest that future forestry practices need to reduce the risks of plant invasions by conducting surveillance for invasive species; minimizing canopy opening during harvesting; encouraging rapid canopy closure in plantations; minimizing the width of access roads; and ensuring that vehicles and other equipment are not transporting seeds of invasive species. Potential invasive species should not be planted within dispersal range of production forests. In invasive species management, forewarned is forearmed.

**Keywords:** alien species; invasions; risks; production forests; silviculture; management; tropics

#### 1. Introduction

Tropical forests, broadly defined, cover 1.66 billion hectares; 35% of the tropical land surface area [1]. Brazil (520 million ha), the Democratic Republic of the Congo (154 million ha), and Indonesia (94 million ha) have the most tropical forest. Most of this is natural forest, although the area of forest plantations is increasing rapidly. Approximately a quarter of the total tropical forest area is designated as production forest, but only a fraction of this area is formally managed and an unknown proportion of tropical timber comes from areas that are not designated for production. Tropical forests supply an estimated 9% of the global demand for timber and wood products [2,3], and the production and processing of these makes a significant contribution to incomes and employment in many tropical countries. However, current global concerns for tropical forests focus largely on carbon—they account for about half the total biomass carbon in the terrestrial biosphere and a third of global terrestrial biodiversity [5].

Timber production, both legal and illegal, is, with hunting, the most pervasive human impact on tropical forests, with perhaps 60% of the total forest area already impacted [6–8]. In comparison with conversion to agriculture, even high intensity logging has a much less severe impact on both biodiversity and carbon [9], although in some areas logging is often a precursor to clearance [10,11]. Post-harvest studies of logging impacts have largely focused on timber species and vertebrates, with fewer studies of non-timber plants and invertebrates. This paper focuses on a potential impact that has been largely ignored in the tropical forestry literature: the risk from invasive alien plant species.

Outside the tropics, the scale of invasion by alien species is currently unprecedented in terms of areas affected, and species involved [12]. In the last few decades, more alien species have been recorded, a greater total area has been invaded, and a greater variety of impacts has been documented [13], including economic, ecological, and health impacts in invaded regions [14,15]. In contrast, there has been little evidence, at least until recently, of invasive alien species as a major threat to native biodiversity in the continental tropics, although invasives are a major and widely recognized problem on tropical oceanic islands [16].

We consider both the risks associated with the management—or lack of management—of natural forests for timber production and those associated with plantations. The latter include both the risks to plantations from external invaders and the risks from plantations as a source of invasions. The focus is on invasive alien plants, with animal invasions mentioned only where they facilitate plant invasions. We identify potential problems from invasive species in tropical forestry and make suggestions for minimizing these risks in the future.

## 2. Methods

In addition to our own experiences in the Asian tropics, we used the Web of Science (WoS) as an initial guide to the international scientific literature. We searched using combinations of key words such as: "inva \*", "alien species", "tropic \*", "product \*", "forest \*", "silvicultur \*", "plantation \*" either as topic or title, and using timespan "all years". We also made use of Google Scholar, which includes a wider range of regional and local journals, as well as publisher-specific search engines, such

as Springerlink. Finally, we searched widely on Google, which locates grey-literature publications that are not included in the scholarly databases. These searches were iterative and produced overlapping lists of publications. Moreover, there was considerable overlap between publications in their contents of relevant information. The final list of sources was pruned so as to include only those which added additional information on the topics of interest. In addition to the topic-specific searches, we used the recent literature on tropical silviculture as a guide to management practices that may influence biological invasions.

## 3. Results

In total, we found 114 publications (5 books, 13 book chapters, 11 reports and proceedings, 75 papers, 10 websites) with information relevant to our topic. Most report plant invasions into production forests—both natural and plantation—while the rest report plantation forests as sources of invasion.

#### 3.1. Invasions into Production Forests in the Tropics

An understanding of the pathways by which alien invasive species enter production forests is essential for preventive management, but there is little information on this issue for the tropics. Some may enter from the surrounding non-forest—most often agricultural—matrix, but the resistance of continental forests to such invasions is well-documented (e.g., [17,18]) (Table 1). This resistance is usually attributed largely to dense shade [19], but may also reflect the preemption of other resources by a hyperdiverse native flora. Massive disturbance by logging operations (e.g., [11,20]), shifting cultivation (e.g., [21]), understory fires [22], or natural catastrophes [23], renders these forests susceptible to invasion from the matrix, but the invaders are usually eliminated as soon as a closed canopy is re-established. Roads also facilitate invasions into forested areas [24], as can logging vehicles [25], but few or no species spread beyond the roadside. Some exotic plantations in the continental tropics, however, seem to be highly invasible (RTC personal observations), most likely reflecting high light levels in the understory.

Forest invasions are probably more likely from botanical gardens, ornamental plantings, and plantation field trials, than from the non-forest invasive flora, since forest-adapted species make up a larger proportion of these plantings [24,26–28]. Moreover, although shade-tolerant species make up a small proportion of successful plant introductions, those that have established have the potential to invade even undisturbed continental forests [19]. A good example in tropical Asia is the subshrub *Clidemia hirta*, which is now found in a wide range of forest sites, from undisturbed rainforests in protected areas, where it is often the only alien plant species away from trails, through logged forests to exotic plantations ([29,30], personal observations).

In striking contrast to continental forests, tropical oceanic island forests have suffered massively from plant invasions [31,32] (Table 2). Although invasions are promoted by logging (e.g., [33]) and other disturbances, and are often facilitated by invasive alien animals [32,34], invasions of undisturbed sites have also been reported, with the invaders apparently using resources, such as light, that are not being fully utilized by the low-diversity native flora.

Table 1.	Examples	of invasions	by	aliens	of	tropical	production	forests	(excluding
oceanic is	slands).								

References	Species	Native Range	Problem	Habitat Invaded	
[20]	Chromolaena	North America	alter the vegetation	humid lowland production forest	
[20]	odorata	and Caribbean	structure	in south western India	
[35]	Alstonia macrophylla	Southeast Asia	no record	heavily logged and degraded forests in lowland southwestern Sri Lanka	
	Urochloa maxima	Tropical Africa	form monodominant stands	_	
	Urochloa brizantha	Tropical and south Africa	no record	- according the and coloringly	
[25,36]	Sorghum halapense	Mediterranean regions	no record	<ul> <li>seasonally dry and selectively</li> <li>logged forest in eastern lowland</li> <li>Bolivia</li> </ul>	
	Cynodon nlemfuensis	Tropical Africa	no record	Bolivia	
	Rottboellia cochinchinensis	Africa, southern Asia,Australia	no record		
[24]	Piper aduncum	Southern America	no record	along logging roads in a lowland rain forest in East Kalimantan (Indonesian Borneo)	
[37]	Lantana camara	Central and south America	reduce grazing land for wild herbivores	plantations and disturbed forests in Sri Lanka (and many other countries)	
[38]	Acacia mearnsii	Southeastern Australia	displace native vegetation	plantations in southern India	
	Eupatorium	North and central	affect plantation		
[20]	odoratum	America	growth	Acacia, pine, eucalypt and other	
[39]	Imperata cylindrica	Southeastern Asia	no record	plantations in Vietnam	

Table 2.	Examples of	of invasions	by aliens	s of production	forests on tropica	l oceanic islands.
	··· · · · · ·		- )	· · · · · · · · · ·		

References	Species	Native Range	Problem	Habitat Invaded	
	Passiflora tarminiana	South America	form dense mats covering tree crown	1	
[33]	Ehrharta stipoides	Tropical Asia and Australia	inhibit regeneration of native species	<ul> <li>disturbed environments in logged forest up to</li> </ul>	
	Polygonum glabrum	Temperate and tropical Asia	no record	<ul> <li>upper montane in</li> <li>Hawaii</li> </ul>	
	Rubus argutus	North America	no record		
[40]	Rubus alceifolius	Southeastern Asia	disturb regeneration of high-value native species	moist open gaps in lowland to upper montane production forest on Réunion islan	

#### 3.2. Plantation Forests as Source of Invasion

Alien species are commonly—and preferentially—chosen for commercial plantations in the tropics [41,42]. Since the middle of twentieth century, more than 100 species have been deliberately introduced and planted for commercial forestry in the tropics [28], and additional alien tree species are currently being proposed for new uses, such as biofuel [43]. In commercial plantation forestry, tree seedlings are raised in nurseries, where they have the opportunity to acclimate to local conditions, before being planted over large areas at multiple sites [44,45]. A plantation of mature alien trees will then exert massive propagule pressure on the surrounding habitats, which will maximize the chance of establishment and spread. Moreover, plantations are served by road networks which can accelerate plant invasions [46]. This problem is acerbated by the tendency for tropical plantations to be adjacent to or interspersed with protected native forests. The result is the emerging issue of exotic plantations as a source of invasions of surrounding native vegetation, potentially threatening local biodiversity [47–49]. Plantation escapes are particularly serious as invaders because they are trees and thus both more likely to compete with timber trees and more expensive to remove than shrubs or herbs. When the aliens establish in a formerly treeless area, they may also have strong impacts on ecosystem processes and services [50].

There have been few detailed studies of invasions from tropical plantations, but many tropical species are listed in general reviews [43,51]. Species in the genera *Pinus* and *Acacia* (in the broad sense) account for many of these records, but many other species are also mentioned for one or more regions (Table 3). Other legumes are also prominent on tropical lists and nitrogen-fixing species, such as *Falcataria moluccana*, can modify the whole structure and function of a forest, particularly on oceanic islands [52]. Species grown for fuelwood, such as *Leucaena leucocephala* and *Acacia nilotica*, appear to be particularly invasive. Conversely, we could find no records of invasions from tropical plantations of *Eucalyptus* species or of the widely planted teak, *Tectona grandis*. It has been suggested that *Eucalyptus* species are non-invasive because the seeds are of low viability and poorly dispersed [53–55], but in such a large and diverse genus it seems unlikely that all species suffer from the same limitations. Given the recent massive expansion of pulpwood plantations in the tropics, and the planned expansion of biofuel crops, it is likely that there is a large "invasion debt" that will be realized over the next few decades [43].

References	Species	Native Range	Problem	Habitat Invaded
[56]	Grevillea robusta	Eastern Australia	suppressing the establishment of other species	native dry forests on Hawaii
[52]	Falcataria moluccana	Molucca, New Guinea, New Britain, Solomon Island	alter the functioning of native dominated forest	intact remnants of native wet lowland forest on lava
[33]	Fraxinus uhdei	Mexico, Costa Rica, Guatemala	suppress growth of native vegetation	natural logged forest; coast up to volcanic upper montane in Hawaii

**Table 3.** Examples of alien tree species that have escaped from tropical plantations and invaded surrounding native habitats.

References	Species	Native Range	Problem	Habitat Invaded
[57]	Pinus caribaea	South Mexico, central America, Caribbean	no record	ultramafic maquis on New Caledonia
[58,59]	Pinus elliottii	Southeastern USA	no record	Eucalyptus forest in Australia; cerrado and other ecosystems in southern Brazil
[60–62]	Maesopsis eminii	Tropical west and central Africa	form monospecific forest cover	selectively logged lowland to submontane rainforests in northeast Tanzania
[63]	Alnus spp.	Northwestern Africa	form pure stands	montane forest gaps in the Philippines
	Myroxylon balsamum	Northern and south America	degrade the function of natural ecosystem	forest edges in the wet and intermediate zones of Sri Lanka
[37]	Alstonia macrophylla	Southeast Asia	no record	secondary forests in the wet and intermediate zone of Sri Lanka
[37,38]	Prosopis juliflora	Central and south America	no record	thorn scrublands in Sri Lanka; abandoned agricultural land in India
[38]	Acacia mearnsii	Southern Australia	suppressing natural vegetation	montane "shola" forest in Kerala, South India
[64]	Acacia mangium	Northeastern Queensland	convert the habitat to monospecific stands	disturbed heath forest and native tree plantations in Brunei

 Table 3. Cont.

## 4. Factors Affecting Spread and Invasion

Most alien species introduced outside their natural range are not invasive and very few that are invasive can invade forests. General traits that may favor invasiveness in alien species have been identified (Table 4), but their relevance to invasion of production forests has not been tested. General processes that favor invasion have also been suggested (Table 5) and all are supported by observations in the tropics. Forest invaders are facilitated by an existing tree canopy, as long as it is not too dense, while the impacts of propagule pressure, residence time, and seed dispersal ability are particularly clear in species that have spread from plantations. Enemy release has been shown to facilitate the invasion of Hawaiian forests by *Clidemia hirta* [65] and hybridization appears to have been involved in the origin of the highly invasive form of *Rubus alceifolius* on Réunion Island [66]. Among the invasive species listed in Tables 1 and 3, *Chromolaena odorata* has high specific leaf area, relative growth rate and relative investment in stems, and is a prolific source of wind-dispersed seeds [67–69], *Piper aduncum* is fast growing, freely flowering and fruiting, and well-dispersed by both birds and bats [21,70–72], invasive *Pinus* spp. produce frequent massive crops of well-dispersed seeds from a young age [42,73,74], and *Maesopsis eminii* is a fast growing, massively fruiting and well-dispersed [60,61,75,76].

<b>Species Traits</b>	Description	References
Physiology	aliens have higher photosynthetic capacity, more efficient nitrogen and water use, and longer flowering period	[77–79]
Specific leaf area (SLA)	aliens have higher SLA	[77–80]
Root-shoot ratio	aliens have lower root-shoot ratios, <i>i.e.</i> , they put more resources into above-ground biomass	[78,81]
Growth rate	aliens grow faster	[78-80]
Plant size	aliens are taller and have higher biomass	[44,78,79]
Fruit size and type	aliens have larger and/or fleshy fruits	[78,79,82]
Fitness	aliens have higher values for traits related to number of flowers or seeds, germination, survival, and/or mortality	[78]
Novel weapon	ability to release allelopathic compounds that are novel to native habitats	[80,83]
Biotic resistance	aliens are more resistant, e.g., to herbivory	[79,83]
Clonal spread	aliens can reproduce vegetatively	[44,77,79]
Phenotypic plasticity	aliens can acclimate to changing environments	[80]

**Table 4.** Traits associated with invasiveness in the literature.

#### Table 5. Processes favoring invasion success.

Processes	Description	References
Facilitation	existing trees and soil microbes may help the establishment of aliens;	[00 0 <i>4</i> ]
	established aliens and the newly introduced species may facilitate each other	[80,84]
Dronogula	the total number of propagules arriving from the source populations is a key	
Propagule	predictor of invasions, reflecting the number of source individuals, their	[80,85,86]
pressures	fecundity, and their distance from the invasion site	
Residence time	the time a species has been present is a major determinant of its cumulative	[02 07]
	propagule pressure	[82,87]
En anny ralaasa	the absence of species-specific pests and pathogens may favor alien species	[16 02]
Enemy release	over natives	[16,83]
Hybridization	hybridization may increase the genetic variation necessary to respond to	[00 02]
	changing environments and competitive regimes	[80,83]
Seed dispersal	fruits/seeds of aliens are more efficiently dispersed	[43,82,88]

## 5. Which Silvicultural Practices Favor Invasion?

As discussed above, intact continental tropical forests appear to be inherently resistant to plant invasions. This resistance is reduced, however, by any practice that opens up the canopy. In the silvicultural management of natural forests, these practices include tree felling, construction and use of roads and other infrastructure, cutting climbers and girdling competitors, and enrichment planting. The removal of canopy trees inevitably increases light availability in the understorey, thus facilitating invasion by light-demanding species. Construction of access roads, logging camps and other facilities opens large spaces in the forest for long-term use, not only facilitating the establishment of invaders

but allowing them to build up their populations, thus increasing propagule pressure. Human activities in these areas, including the use of heavy machinery, such as skidders and tractors, can also directly disperse the seeds of potential invaders [89,90]. Enrichment planting in natural production forests may use native or alien species to increase the production of timber. The canopy opening treatments used to provide adequate light for rapid growth [91,92] can facilitate invasions, while planted aliens may themselves become invasive. In plantation forestry, land preparation, tree planting and maintenance activities in young plantations may all encourage invasive alien plant species. Land preparation requires careful control, due to the potential influence on seed germination [90]. Clear cutting of mature plantations creates large gaps that favour invasion [18,77]. Moreover, plantations trees may also become invasive (Table 3).

#### 6. Potential Impacts of Invasive Plant Species

In production forestry, the invasive plant species of greatest concern are those with economic impacts. General reviews of the economic impacts of invasive plant species on forests tend to focus on insect pests and fungal pathogens (e.g., [93,94]). Plant invasions can sometimes be as costly e.g., kudzu in the southeastern USA [95], but there are few estimates of these costs for production forestry and none of these are from the tropics. Reported (or suspected) impacts of plant invasions in production forests include direct damage to timber trees from climbers, reduced recruitment of canopy trees, delayed filling of tree-fall gaps, inhibition of forest succession, and promotion of fires [93,96]. Young plantations are highly susceptible to overgrowth by climbers and fast-growing pioneers. The costs of invasions including reductions in timber yield as well as the costs of control efforts, which can be easier to estimate. Réunion Island spends two million Euros annually on controlling invasive plants [66], although this includes conservation forests and non-forest areas.

#### 7. Management of Invasive Plant Species in Production Forests

The literature on invasive species management is too large to review here. In general, as the invasion moves from a potential threat, to the first few individuals, to patchy occurrences, towards an equilibrium with local environmental conditions, the management focus needs to shift from surveillance, to detection and eradication, to containment, and finally to adaptation to the new situation. Potential control measures for forest invaders have recently been reviewed by Miller *et al.* [97]. Biological control is probably underutilized in the tropics, but has started for the invasive *Rubus alceifolius* on Réunion Island [66].

Prevention is better than control, however. The package of practices known as Reduced Impact Logging (RIL) is designed to minimize disturbance through careful controls on tree felling, as well as log extraction and transportation [98,99], and is thus likely to reduce the risk of plant invasions, although it may also reduce the benefits of canopy opening for light-demanding timber species. The problems of invasions from plantations can be greatly reduced by the choice of alien species without a history of invasiveness, or by using species that are native to the area. Ecological theory suggests that mixed species plantations of natives will utilize resources, such as light, more completely than monocultures and thus resist invasions, although there is no data to back up this prediction.

At the landscape scale, minimizing the edge to area ratio may reduce invasions, as will combining higher intensity timber harvesting on part of a concession with protection of unlogged forest on the rest [100]. Adjacent lands uses—those within seed dispersal distance—are also crucial. Although foresters will rarely have authority over these areas, they need to be aware of potential sources of invaders, as well as natural habitats that may be vulnerable to threats from plantation species or invaders that become established in production forests. Negotiations with specific land-owners and educational campaigns aimed at general public awareness may both reduce invasion risk from the surrounding area. Foresters should also take an interest in regional and national policies that influence plant invasions, including quarantine regulations and reporting requirements.

#### 8. Conclusions: Invasive Species and the Future of Silviculture in Tropical Forests

Invasive species problems are probably underreported in the tropics, but there is no evidence for a significant problem yet in tropical production forests, except in the inherently invasible forests on oceanic islands. However, the speed with which invasive plant problems have arisen in non-tropical forests (e.g., [97]) suggests that there is no excuse for complacency. Invasive species problems can only get worse, as new species invade and existing species build up their populations. The general issues are well understood: intact continental forests resist invasion by all but a tiny minority of alien plant species, none of which are currently causing significant problems, but any process, silvicultural or otherwise, that opens up the canopy increases the range of species that can invade, while access roads facilitate their spread. Climate change may create new problems. Although by no means all invasive species will benefit, there are good reasons to think that most invasive plant species will do better under climate change than many of the native species with which they compete [96].

Experience with forests outside the tropics suggests that, while early detection and eradication are the ideal answer to invasions, these have rarely been successful in practice. Similarly, quarantine measures that are practical in the advanced, but isolated, economies of Australia and New Zealand, will not be practical in developing tropical countries with long land borders (or hundreds of ports, like Indonesia). Currently the costs of forest invasions are born by governments and forestry companies, and there is no mechanism to transfer this burden to those responsible for introducing and spreading invasive species, such as the horticulture industry [93]. The practical difficulties of charging the costs of invasion to the economic sectors responsible are likely to prevent any change in this situation.

The forestry practices needed to reduce the risks of plant invasions are also well understood: continued surveillance for invasive species; minimizing canopy opening during harvesting and other silvicultural operations in natural forests; encouraging rapid canopy closure in plantations; minimizing the width of access roads and ensuring that vehicles and other equipment are not transporting seeds of invasive species. Conflicts between timber production and preventing invasions are most likely where forests are managed for relatively light-demanding timber species, such as mahogany (*Swietenia macrophylla*). Where possible, foresters should also try to ensure that potential invasive species are not planted in dispersal range of production forests. At the same time, plantation managers need to be certain that they are not themselves planting species with known invasive potential. Tropical foresters in general need better training in the detection and management of invasive species problems. The certification requirements of bodies such as the FSC (Forest Stewardship Council) can provide an

incentive for better management of invasive species, since most of the above practices are included in the FSC's "Principles and Criteria" those managers must follow [101].

This paper was written to raise awareness of the risks from invasive alien plant species in tropical production forests. We would like, therefore, to conclude with a plea for information sharing. Many invasive species in the region we know well are not reported in the literature, so the information is not being shared above the country level and probably, in many cases, within the country. We also need to share information on successful—and unsuccessful—control measures, and on management practices that promote invasions. In invasive species management, forewarned is forearmed.

## Acknowledgments

We wish to acknowledge Alice C. Hughes at the XTBG for her stimulating comments and help in improving the English. We would also like to thank all those authors who provided copies of publications that we could not otherwise have obtained. Both authors are financially supported by the Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

## **Author Contributions**

Both authors contributed to the literature search and the whole writing process.

## **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- 1. Blaser, J.; Sarre, A.; Poore, D.; Johnson, S. *Status of Tropical Forest Management 2011*; ITTO Technical Series No. 38; International Tropical Timber Organization: Yokohama, Japan, 2011.
- 2. FAO. *State of the World's Forests*; Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations: Rome, Italy, 2009.
- 3. ITTO. *Annual Review and Assessment of the World Timber Situation*; International Tropical Timber Organization: Yokohama, Japan, 2012.
- Cernusak, L.A.; Winter, K.; Dalling, J.W.; Holtum, J.A.M.; Jaramillo, C.; Korner, C.; Leakey, A.D.B.; Norby, R.J.; Poulter, B.; Turner, B.L.; *et al.* Tropical forest responses to increasing atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>: Current knowledge and opportunities for future research. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 2013, 40, 531–551.
- 5. Biodiversity and transboundary conservation. Available online: http://www.itto.int/feature02/ (accessed on 1 June 2014).
- 6. ITTO. *ITTO Guidelines for the Restoration, Management and Rehabilitation of Degraded and Secondary Tropical Forests*; International Tropical Timber Organization: Yokohama, Japan, 2002.
- Peres, C.A.; Barlow, J. Human influences on tropical forest wildlife. In *Encyclopedia of Forest Sciences*; Academic Press: Oxford, UK, 2004. Available online: http://www.academia.edu/ 4904734/human\_influences\_on\_tropical\_forest\_wildlife (accessed on 17 May 2014).

- 8. Lamb, D.; Erskine, P.D.; Parrotta, J.A. Restoration of degraded tropical forest landscapes. *Science* **2005**, *310*, 1628–1632.
- Putz, F.E.; Zuidema, P.A.; Synnott, T.; Pena-Claros, M.; Pinard, M.A.; Sheil, D.; Vanclay, J.K.; Sist, P.; Gourlet-Fleury, S.; Griscom, B.; *et al.* Sustaining conservation selectively logged tropical forests: The attained and the attainable. *Conserv. Lett.* 2012, *5*, 296–303.
- Putz, F.E. Biodiversity conservation in tropical forests managed for timber. In *Silviculture in the Tropics*; Gunter, S., Weber, M., Stimm, B., Mosandl, R., Eds.; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2011; pp. 91–101.
- Zimmerman, B.L.; Kormos, C.F. Prospects for sustainable logging in tropical forests. *BioScience* 2012, 62, 479–487.
- 12. Young, A.M.; Larson, B.M.H. Clarifying debates in invasion biology: A survey of invasion biologists. *Environ. Res.* **2011**, *111*, 893–898.
- 13. Richardson, D.M.; Hui, C.; Nunez, M.A.; Pauchard, A. Tree invasions: Patterns, processes, challenges and opportunities. *Biol. Invasions* **2014**, *16*, 473–481.
- Peh, K.S.-H. Invasive species in Southeast Asia: The knowledge so far. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 2010, 19, 1083–1099.
- Simberloff, D.; Martin, J.-L.; Genovesi, P.; Maris, V.; Wardle, D.A.; Aronson, J.; Courchamp, F.; Galil, B.; Garcia-Berthou, E.; Pascal, M.; *et al.* Impacts of biological invasions: What's what and the way forward. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 2013, *28*, 58–66.
- 16. Corlett, R.T. *The Ecology of Tropical East Asia*, 2nd ed.; Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK, 2014.
- 17. Teo, D.H.L.; Tan, H.T.W.; Corlett, R.T.; Choong, M.W.; Lum, S.K.Y. Continental rain forest fragments in Singapore resist invasion by exotic plants. *J. Biogeogr.* **2003**, *30*, 305–310.
- 18. Leung, G.P.C.; Hau, B.C.H.; Corlett, R.T. Exotic plant invasion in the highly degraded upland landscape of Hong Kong, China. *Biodivers. Conserv.* **2009**, *18*, 191–202.
- Martin, P.H.; Canham, C.D.; Marks, P.L. Why forests appear resistant to exotic plant invasions: Intentional introductions, stand dynamics, and the role of shade tolerance. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 2009, 7, 142–149.
- 20. Chandrashekara, U.M.; Ramakrishnan, P.S. Successional patterns and gap phase dynamics of a humid tropical forest of the Western Ghats of Kerala, India: Ground vegetation, biomass, productivity and nutrient cycling. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **1994**, *70*, 23–40.
- Leps, J.; Novotny, V.; Cizek, L.; Molem, K.; Isua, B.; Boen, W.; Kutil, R.; Auga, J.; Kasbal, M.; Manumbor, M.; *et al.* Successful invasion of the neotropical species *Piper aduncum* in rain forests in Papua New Guinea. *Appl. Veg. Sci.* 2002, *5*, 255–262.
- Silverio, D.V.; Brando, P.M.; Balch, J.K.; Putz, F.E.; Nepstad, D.C.; Oliveira-Santos, C.; Bustamante, M.M.C. Testing the Amazon savannization hypothesis: Fire effects on invasion of a neotropical forest by native Cerrado and exotic pasture grasses. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 2013, *368*, 20120427. doi:10.1098/rstb.2012.0427.
- Murphy, H.T.; Metcalfe, D.J.; Bradford, M.G.; Ford, A.F.; Galway, K.E.; Sydes, T.A.; Westcott, D.J. Recruitment dynamics of invasive species in rainforest habitats following Cyclone Larry. *Austral Ecol.* 2008, *33*, 495–502.

- 24. Padmanaba, M.; Sheil, D. Spread of the invasive alien species *Piper aduncum* via logging roads in Borneo. *Trop. Conserv. Sci.* **2014**, *7*, 35–44.
- 25. Veldman, J.W.; Putz, F.E. Long-distance dispersal of invasive grasses by logging vehicles in a tropical dry forest. *Biotropica* **2010**, *42*, 697–703.
- Dawson, W.; Mndolwa, A.S.; Burslem, D.F.R.P.; Hulme, P.E. Assessing the risks of plant invasions arising from collections in tropical botanical gardens. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 2008, 17, 1979–1995.
- 27. Sheil, D.; Padmanaba, M. Innocent Invaders? A preliminary assessment of Cecropia, an American tree, in Java. *Plant Ecol. Divers.* **2011**, *4*, 279–288.
- Onyekwelu, J.C.; Stimm, B.; Evans, J. Plantation forestry. In *Silviculture in the Tropics*; Gunter, S., Weber, M., Stimm, B., Mosandl, R., Eds.; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2011; pp. 399–454.
- Ashton, M.S.; Gunatilleke, C.V.S.; Singhakumara, B.M.P.; Gunatilleke, I.A.U.N. Restoration pathways for rain forest in southwest Sri Lanka: A review of concepts and models. *For. Ecol. Manag.* 2001, 154, 409–430.
- 30. DeWalt, S.J. Population dynamics and potential for biological control of an exotic invasive shrub in Hawaiian rainforests. *Biol. Invasions* **2006**, *8*, 1145–1158.
- 31. Denslow, J.S.; Space, J.C.; Thomas, P.A. Invasive exotic plants in the tropical Pacific Islands: Patterns of diversity. *Biotropica* **2009**, *41*, 162–170.
- Loope, L.L.; Hughes, R.F.; Meyer, J.-Y. Plant Invasions in Protected Areas of Tropical Pacific Islands, with Special Reference to Hawaii. In *Plant Invasions in Protected Areas*; Foxcroft, L.C., Pysek, P., Richardson, D.M., Genovesi, P., Eds.; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands; Heidelberg, Germany; New York, NY, USA; London, UK, 2013; pp. 313–348.
- Friday, J.B.; Scowcroft, P.G.; Adrian, A. Responses of native and invasive plant species to selective logging in an *Acacia koa-Metrosideros polymorpha* forest in Hawaii. *Appl. Veg. Sci.* 2008, 11, 471–482.
- Linnebjerg, J.F.; Hansen, D.M.; Olesen, J.M. Gut passage effect of the introduced red-whiskered bulbul (*Pycnonotus jocosus*) on germination of invasive plant species in Mauritius. *Austral Ecol.* 2009, *34*, 272–277.
- Binggeli, P. An Overview of Invasive Woody Plants in the Tropics; Publication number 13; School of Agricultural and Forest Sciences, University of Wales: Bangor, UK, 1998. Available online: http://pages.bangor.ac.uk/~afs101/iwpt/project3.html\_(accessed on 16 May 2014).
- 36. Veldman, J.W.; Mostacedo, B.; Pena-Claros, M.; Putz, F.E. Selective logging and fire as drivers of alien grass invasion in a Bolivian tropical dry forest. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **2009**, *258*, 1643–1649.
- Weerawardane, N.D.R.; Dissanayake, J. Status of forest invasive species in Sri Lanka. In *The Unwelcome Guests*, Proceedings of the Asia-Pacific Forest Invasive Species Conference, Kunming, Yunnan, China, 17–23 August 2003; McKenzie, P., Brown, C., Jianghua, S., Jian, W., Eds.; Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations: Roma, Italy, 2005.

- Sankaran, K.V.; Murphy, S.T.; Sreenivasan, M.A. When good trees turn bad: The unintended spread of introduced plantation tree species in India. In *The Unwelcome Guests*, Proceedings of the Asia-Pacific Forest Invasive Species Conference, Kunming, Yunnan, China, 17–23 August 2003; McKenzie, P., Brown, C., Jianghua, S., Jian, W., Eds.; Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations: Roma, Italy, 2005.
- 39. Thu, P.Q. Forest invasive species and their impacts on afforestation in Vietnam. In *The Unwelcome Guests*, Proceedings of the Asia-Pacific Forest Invasive Species Conference, Kunming, Yunnan, China, 17–23 August 2003; McKenzie, P., Brown, C., Jianghua, S., Jian, W., Eds.; Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations: Roma, Italy, 2005.
- 40. Baret, S.; le Bourgeois, T.; Riviere, J.-N.; Pailler, T.; Sarrailh, J.-M.; Strasberg, D. Can species richness be maintained in logged endemic *Acacia heterophylla* forests (Reunion Island, Indian Ocean)? *Rev. Ecol.* **2007**, *62*, 3–14.
- 41. Richardson, D.M. Forestry trees as invasive aliens. Conserv. Biol. 1997, 12, 18–26.
- 42. Richardson, D.M.; Petit, R.J. Pines as invasive aliens: Outlook on transgenic pine plantations in the Southern hemisphere. In *Landscapes, Genomics and Transgenic Conifers*; Williams, C.G., Ed.; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2006.
- 43. Richardson, D.M.; Rejmanek, M. Trees and shrubs as invasive alien species—A global review. *Divers. Distrib.* **2011**, *17*, 788–809.
- 44. Pysek, P.; Jarosik, V.; Pergl, J.; Moravcova, L.; Chytry, M.; Kuhn, I. Temperate trees and shrubs as global invaders: The relationship between invasiveness and native distribution depends on biological traits. *Biol. Invasions* **2014**, *16*, 577–589.
- 45. Donaldson, J.E.; Hui, C.; Richardson, D.M.; Robertson, M.P.; Webber, B.L.; Wilson, J.R.U. Invasion trajectory of alien trees: The role of introduction pathway and planting history. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **2014**, *20*, 1527–1537.
- 46. Dodet, M.; Collet, C. When should exotic forest plantation tree species be considered as an invasive threat and how should we treat them? *Biol. Invasions* **2012**, *14*, 1765–1778.
- Foxcroft, L.C.; Witt, A.; Lotter, W.D. Icons in peril: Invasive alien plants in African protected areas. In *Plant Invasions in Protected Areas*; Foxcroft, L.C., Pysek, P., Richardson, D.M., Genovesi, P., Eds.; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2013; pp. 117–143.
- Hulme, P.E.; Burslem, D.F.R.P.; Dawson, W.; Edward, E.; Richard, J.; Trevelyan, R. Aliens in the arc: Are invasive trees a threat to the montane forests of East Africa? In *Plant Invasions in Protected Areas*; Foxcroft, L.C., Pysek, P., Richardson, D.M., Genovesi, P., Eds.; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2013; pp. 145–165.
- Genovesi, P.; Monaco, A. Guidelines for addressing invasive species in protected areas. In *Plant Invasions in Protected Areas*; Foxcroft, L.C., Pysek, P., Richardson, D.M., Genovesi, P., Eds.; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2013; pp. 487–506.
- 50. Rundel, P.W.; Dickie, I.A.; Richardson, D.M. Tree invasions into treeless areas: Mechanisms and ecosystem processes. *Biol. Invasions* **2014**, *16*, 663–675.
- 51. Rejmanek, M. Invasive trees and shrubs: Where do they come from and what we should expect in the future? *Biol. Invasions* **2013**, *16*, 483–498.
- 52. Hughes, R.F.; Denslow, J.S. Invasion by a N<sub>2</sub>-fixing tree alters function and structure in wet lowland forests of Hawaii. *Ecol. Appl.* **2005**, *15*, 1615–1628.

- Rejmanek, M.; Richardson, D.M. Eucalypts. In *Encyclopedia of Biological Invasions*; Simberloff, D., Rejmanek, M., Eds.; University of California Press: Berkeley and Los Angeles, USA, 2011; pp. 203–209.
- 54. Booth, T.H. Eucalypts and their potential for invasiveness particularly in frost-prone regions. *Int. J. For. Res.* **2012**, *2012*, doi:10.1155/2012/837165.
- 55. Gordon, D.R.; Flory, S.L.; Cooper, A.L.; Morris, S.K. Assessing the invasion risk of Eucalyptus in the United States using the Australian Weed Risk Assessment. *Int. J. For. Res.* **2012**, *2012*, doi:10.1155/2012/203768.
- 56. Denslow, J.S. Invasive alien woody species in Pacific island forests. Unasylva 2002, 209, 62-63.
- Richardson, D.M. Mediterranean pines as invaders in the Southern Hemisphere. In *Ecology,* Biogeography, and Management of Pinus Halepensis and P. Brutia Forest Ecosystems in the Mediterranean Basin; Ne'eman, G., Trabaud, L., Eds.; Backhuys Publishers: Leiden, The Netherlands, 2000; pp. 131–142.
- 58. Batianoff, G.N.; Butler, D.W. Assessment of invasive naturalized plants in south-east Queensland. *Plant Prot. Q.* 2002, *17*, 27–34.
- 59. Zanchetta, D.; Diniz, F.V. Study of biological contamination by *Pinus* spp. in three different areas in the ecological station of Itirapina (SP, Brazil). *Inst. Florest.* **2006**, *18*, 1–14.
- 60. Sheil, D. Naturalised and invasive plant species in the evergreen forests of the East Usambara mountains, Tanzania. *Afr. J. Ecol.* **1994**, *32*, 66–71.
- 61. Cordeiro, N.J.; Patrick, D.A.G.; Munisi, B.; Gupta, V. Role of dispersal in the invasion of an exotic tree in an East African sub montane forest. *J. Trop. Ecol.* **2004**, *20*, 449–457.
- 62. Hall, J.M.; Gillespie, T.W.; Mwangoka, M. Comparison of agroforests and protected forests in the East Usambara mountains, Tanzania. *Environ. Manag.* **2011**, *48*, 237–247.
- 63. Baguinon, N.T.; Quimado, M.O.; Francisco, G.J. Country report on forest invasive species in the Philippines. In *The Unwelcome Guests*, Proceedings of the Asia-Pacific Forest Invasive Species Conference, Kunming, Yunnan, China, 17–23 August 2003; McKenzie, P., Brown, C., Jianghua, S., Jian, W., Eds.; Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations: Roma, Italy, 2005.
- 64. Osunkoya, O.O.; Othman, F.E.; Kahar, R.S. Growth and competition between seedlings of an invasive plantation tree, *Acacia mangium*, and those of a native Borneo heath-forest species, *Melastoma beccarianum. Ecol. Res.* **2005**, *20*, 205–214.
- 65. DeWalt, S.J.; Denslow, J.S.; Ickes, K. Natural-enemy release facilitates habitat expansion of the invasive tropical shrub *Clidemia hirta*. *Ecology* **2004**, *85*, 471–483.
- 66. Le Bourgeois, T.; Baret, S.; de Chenon, R.D. Biological control of *Rubus alceifolius* (Rosaceae) in La Réunion Island (Indian Ocean): From investigations on the plant to the release of the biocontrol agent *Cibdela janthina* (Argidae). In Proceedings of the XIII International Symposium on the Biocontrol of Weeds, Waikoloa, HI, USA, 11–16 September 2011; pp. 153–160.
- 67. Te Beest, M.; Elschot, K.; Olff, H.; Etienne, R.S. Invasion success in a marginal habitat: An experimental test of competitive ability and drought tolerance in *Chromolaena odorata*. *PLoS One* **2013**, *8*, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068274.

- Tondoh, J.E.; Kone, A.W.; N'Dri, J.K.; Tamene, L.; Brunet, D. Changes in soil quality after subsequent establishment of *Chromolaena odorata* fallows in humid savannahs, Ivory Coast. *Catena* 2013, 101, 99–107.
- 69. Koutika, L.-S.; Rainey, H.J. *Chromolaena Odorata* in different ecosystems: Weed or fallow plant? *Appl. Ecol. Environ. Res.* **2010**, *8*, 131–142.
- 70. Rogers, H.M.; Hartemink, A.E. Soil seed bank and growth rates of an invasive species, *Piper aduncum*, in the lowlands of Papua New Guinea. *J. Trop. Ecol.* **2000**, *16*, 243–251.
- 71. Yoneda, T. Fruit production and leaf longevity in the tropical shrub *Piper aduncum* L. in Sumatra. *Tropics* **2006**, *15*, 209–217.
- 72. Hartemink, A.E. The invasive shrub *Piper aduncum* in Papua New Guinea: A review. *J. Trop. For. Sci.* **2010**, *22*, 202–213.
- 73. Rejmanek, M.; Richardson, D.M. What attributes make some plant species more invasive? *Ecology* **1996**, *77*, 1655–1661.
- 74. Richardson, D.M.; Williams, P.A.; Hobbs, R.J. Pine invasions in the southern hemisphere: Determinants of spread and invadability. *J. Biogeogr.* **1994**, *21*, 511–527.
- 75. Hall, J.B. *Maesopsis eminii* and its status in the East Usambara mountains. In *East Usambara Catchment Forest Project (EUCFP)*; Technical Report 13; Ministry of Tourism, Natural Resources and Environment, Tanzania and Department of International Development Cooperation: Merikasarmi, Finland, 1995. Available online: http://easternarc.or.tz/downloads/ E-Usam/EUCAMP/tecpap13.pdf (accessed on 20 May 2014).
- 76. Fine, P.V.A. The invasibility of tropical forests by exotic plants. J. Trop. Ecol. 2002, 18, 687–705.
- 77. Lake, J.C.; Leishman, M.R. Invasion success of exotic plants in natural ecosystems: The role of disturbance, plant attributes and freedom from herbivores. *Biol. Conserv.* **2004**, *117*, 215–226.
- 78. Van Kleunen, M.; Weber, E.; Fischer, M. A meta-analysis of trait differences between invasive and non-invasive plant species. *Ecol. Lett.* **2010**, *13*, 235–245.
- 79. Pysek, P.; Richardson, D.M. Traits associated with invasiveness in alien plants: Where do we stand? In *Biological Invasion*; Nentwig, W., Ed.; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2007; pp. 97–125.
- 80. Lamarque, L.J.; Delzon, S.; Lortie, C.J. Tree invasions: A comparative test of the dominant hypotheses and functional traits. *Biol. Invasions* **2011**, *13*, 1969–1989.
- 81. Wilsey, B.J.; Polley, H.W. Aboveground productivity and root—Shoot allocation differ between native and introduced grass species. *Oecologia* **2006**, *150*, 300–309.
- 82. Pysek, P.; Krivanek, M.; Jarosik, V. Planting intensity, residence time, and species traits determine invasion success of alien woody species. *Ecology* **2009**, *90*, 2734–2744.
- Hufbauer, R.A.; Torchin, M.E. Integrating ecological and evolutionary theory of biological invasions. In *Biological Invasion*; Nentwig, W., Ed.; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2007; pp. 79–96.
- 84. Ricciardi, A.; Hoopes, M.F.; Marchetti, M.P.; Lockwood, J.L. Progress toward understanding the ecological impacts of nonnative species. *Ecol. Monogr.* **2013**, *83*, 263–282.
- 85. Lockwood, J.L.; Cassey, P.; Blackburn, T. The role of propagule pressure in explaining species invasions. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **2005**, *20*, 223–228.

- 86. Simberloff, D. The role of propagule pressure in biological invasions. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **2009**, *40*, 81–102.
- Wilson, J.R.U.; Richardson, D.M.; Rouget, M.; Proches, S.; Amis, M.A.; Henderson, L.; Thuiller, W. Residence time and potential range: Crucial considerations in modelling plant invasions. *Divers. Distrib.* 2007, 13, 11–22.
- 88. Wilson, J.R.U.; Dormontt, E.E.; Prentis, P.J.; Lowe, A.J.; Richardson, D.M. Something in the way you move: Dispersal pathways affect invasion success. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **2009**, *24*, 136–144.
- 89. Christen, D.C.; Matlack, G.R. The habitat and conduit functions of roads in the spread of three invasive plant species. *Biol. Invasions* **2009**, *11*, 453–465.
- 90. Da Silva, P.H.M.; Poggiani, F.; Sebbenn, A.M.; Mori, E.S. Can Eucalyptus invade native forest fragments close to commercial stands? *For. Ecol. Manag.* **2011**, *261*, 2075–2080.
- Villegas, Z.; Pena-Claros, M.; Mostacedo, B.; Alarcon, A.; Licona, J.C.; Leano, C.; Pariona, W.; Choque, U. Silvicultural treatments enhance growth rates of future crop trees in a tropical dry forest. *For. Ecol. Manag.* 2009, 258, 971–977.
- Akindele, S.O.; Onyekwelu, J.C. Silviculture in secondary forests. In *Silviculture in the Tropics*; Gunter, S., Weber, M., Stimm, B., Mosandl, R., Eds.; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2011; pp. 351–367.
- 93. Holmes, T.P.; Aukema, J.E.; von Holle, B.; Liebhold, A.; Sills, E. Economic impacts of invasive species in forests: Past, present, and future. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **2009**, *1162*, 18–38.
- Aukema, J.; Leung, B.; Kovacs, K.; Chivers, C.; Britton, K.; Englin, J.; Frankel, S.; Haight, R.; Holmes, T.; Liebhold, A. Economic impacts of non-native forest insects in the United States. *PLoS ONE* 2011, e24587, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0024587.
- 95. Forseth, I.N.; Innis, A.F. Kudzu (*Pueraria montana*): History, physiology, and ecology combine to make a major ecosystem threat. *Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.* **2004**, *23*, 401–413.
- 96. Dukes, J.S.; Pontius, J.; Orwig, D.A.; Garnas, J.R.; Rodgers, V.L.; Brazee, N.J.; Cooke, B.J.; Theoharides, K.A.; Stange, E.E.; Harrington, R.A.; *et al.* Responses of insect pests, pathogens and invasive species to climate change in the forests of northeastern North America: What can we predict? *Can. J. For. Res.* 2009, *39*, 231–248.
- Miller, J.H.; Manning, S.T.; Enloe, S.F. A Management Guide for Invasive Plants in Southern Forests; USDA General Technical Report SRS-131; USDA Southern Research Station: Asheville, NC, USA, 2013.
- 98. Sist, P.; Ferreira, F.N. Sustainability of reduced-impact logging in the Eastern Amazon. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **2007**, *243*, 199–209.
- 99. Putz, F.E.; Sist, P.; Fredericksen, T.; Dykstra, D. Reduced-impact logging: Challenges and opportunities. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **2008**, *256*, 1427–1433.
- 100. Edwards, D.P.; Gilroy, J.J.; Woodcock, P.; Edwards, F.A.; Larsen, T.H.; Andrews, D.J.R.; Derhe, M.A.; Docherty, T.D.S.; Hsu, W.H.; Mitchell, S.L.; *et al.* Land-sharing *versus* land-sparing logging: Reconciling timber extraction with biodiversity conservation. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 2014, 20, 183–191.

101. Kueffer, C.; McDougall, K.; Alexander, J.; Daehler, C.; Edwards, P.; Haider, S.; Milbau, A.; Parks, C.; Pauchard, A.; Reshi, Z.A.; *et al.* Plant invasions into mountain protected areas: Assessment, prevention and control at multiple spatial scales. In *Plant Invasions in Protected Areas*; Foxcroft, L.C., Pysek, P., Richardson, D.M., Genovesi, P., Eds.; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2013; pp. 89–113.

 $\bigcirc$  2014 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).