生长环境光强对两种热带雨林树种 幼苗光合作用的影响

王博轶1,冯玉龙1,2*

(1. 中国科学院西双版纳热带植物园昆明分部,昆明 650223;2. 河北大学生命科学学院,保定 071002)

摘要: 以西双版纳热带雨林中演替后期种绒毛番龙眼和先锋树种山黄麻为材料, 于雾凉季测定了不同光强下生长的 2 种树苗叶 片最大净光合速率(Pmax)、叶绿素荧光参数以及光合色素含量和比叶重(LMA),探讨了不同生态习性热带雨林树种幼苗对光强 的适应及光保护机制。发现在一定光强范围内随生长环境光强的增加, 2 种树苗 LMA、荧光的非化学猝灭(NPQ)、类胡萝卜素 (Car) 含量、Car 与叶绿素(Chl)之比升高,光饱和点和光补偿点也有随生长环境光强的增大而升高的趋势, Chl 含量降低, 2 种 树苗均能通过形态和生理特性的变化适应不同的光强环境。相同的生长光强下,绒毛番龙眼光抑制明显比山黄麻重,山黄麻适 应强光的能力强。随生长环境光强的增加,山黄麻 NPQ 增加不显著,热耗散较少,相同光强下其 Pmax显著高于绒毛番龙眼。绒 毛番龙眼则相反,其热耗散随生长环境光强的升高显著增多,但 Pmax 差异不显著。表明先锋种山黄麻主要通过提高 Pmax利用光 能防止光合机构光破坏,而演替后期种绒毛番龙眼却较大程度通过增强非光化学猝灭来耗散过量光能。上午人为降低光强度对 先锋种山黄麻影响不大,但可以明显缓解绒毛番龙眼的光抑制,表明上午一定程度的遮光(如有雾)可减缓绒毛番龙眼光抑制。 关键词: 光抑制;光适应; 最大净光合速率; 热耗散; 色素含量; 热带雨林树种

Effects of growth light intensities on photosynthesis in seedlings of two tropical rain forest species

WANG Bo-Yi¹, FENG Yu-Long^{1,2*} (1. Kunming Division, X ishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden, Chinese A cademy of Sciences, Kunming 650223, China; 2. College of Life Sciences, Hebei University, Baoding 071002, China). Acta Ecologica Sinica, 2005, 25(1): 23 ~ 30.

Abstract: Acclimation to growth light intensities and the strategies of photoprotection were explored in seedlings of two tropical rainforest tree species, *Pometia tomentosa* and *Trema orientalis*. The former is a late-successional species in forest, and its seedlings distribute in understory or small canopy gap. Whereas the latter is a pioneer tree species, and its seedlings occur in canopy gap. The plant materials were raised under four light regimes [a. 3.1%/12.5% (Before/ after fog disappear, percent of sunshine); b. 12.5%/12.5%; c. 9%/36%; d. 25%/36%] for about 3 months during the foggy and cool season in 2002 at Xishuangbanna, Yunnan Province, China. Then maximum net photosynthetic rate (P_{max}), chlorophyll fluorescence parameters, chloroplast pigments content, and lamina mass per unit area (LMA) were measured. We manipulated morning light intensities to simulate the effect of fog which could shield sunlight for about $60\% \sim 90\%$ in the morning. Fog presents almost every day in this season. The main purpose of this study was to determine whether decreasing morning light intensity (the effect of fog) could ameliorate photoinhibition of photosynthesis when the light intensity was the same in the afternoon. If so, we could conclude that fog could protect tropical rainforest tree species from photoinhibition through screening sunlight in Xishuangbanna.

For the two species, with the increase of growth light intensity LMA, non-photochemical quenching coefficient (NPQ),

基金项目: 中国科学院 "百人计划 '资助项目

收稿日期: 2003-10-22; 修订日期: 2004-05-21

作者简介:王博轶(1978~),女,河北人,研究生,主要从事植物生理生态学研究。E-mail:boyiwang@263.net

* 通讯作者 Author for correspondence. E-mail: fyl@ xtbg.ac.cn

Foundation item: the Program of 100 Distinguished Young Scientists of the Chinese Academy of Sciences

Received date: 2003-10-22; Accepted date: 2004-05-21

Biography: WANG Bo-Yi; Master candidate, J mainly engaged in plant ecophysiology of E-mail: boyiwang@263.netd. http://www.cnki.net

the carotenoid content expressed as unit leaf dry weight and expressed as unit chlorophyll, light saturation point and light compensation point increased, while chlorophyll concentration decreased. Seedlings of the two species could acclimate to different growth light regimes in this study through changes of physiological and morphological traits. Diurnal photoinhibition of photosynthesis, as judged by maximum efficiency of PS photochemistry, was significantly severer in P. tomentosa than in T. orientalis in all growth light regimes. The acclimation capacity to high light regimes was stronger in T. orientalis than in P. tomentosa. With the increase of growth light intensity, P_{max} increased significantly, but NPQ not in T. orientalis. While the reverse trends occurred in P. tomentosa. At the same light regimes thermal dissipation was much lower, but P_{max} was much higher in T. orientalis than in P. tomentosa. This indicates that photodamage was avoided mainly through increasing light energy utilization by photosynthesis in T. orientalis, a pioneer tree species, but through increasing thermal dissipation in P. tomentosa, a late-successional species. In addition, photosynthetic pigments content and LMA were lower in T. orientalis than in P. tomentosa, indicating that the percent of light energy absorbed by the former is lesser. This can ameliorate excitation pressure on photosystem . The effects of decreasing light intensity in the morning was significant in P. tomentosa, but not in T. orientalis. Decreasing light intensity in the morning could ameliorate diurnal photoinhibition of photosynthesis significantly in P. tomentosa, but not in T. orientalis. Fog can decrease light intensity by $60\% \sim 90\%$ in the morning in foggy and cool season in Xishuangbanna. Shading by fog in the morning might be important to P. tomentosa and other latesuccessional species, especially to chilling sensitive species. So we hypothesized that fog be important to the existence of tropical rainforest in Xishuang banna, and one of its role be to ameliorate photoinhibition of photosynthesis through decreasing light intensity in the morning.

Key words: photoinhibition of photosynthesis; light acclimation; maximum net photosynthetic rate; thermal dissipation; chloroplast pigment content; tropical rainforest tree species 文章编号: 1000-0933(2005) 01-0023-08 中图分类号: 0142, 0945, 0948 文献标识码: A

光是重要的生态因子,很大程度上植物适应光环境变化的能力决定了它的分布模式和物种丰度^[1]。当植物叶片吸收的光能 不能完全用于光合作用时,过量的光能会引起植物光化学效率的降低,发生光合作用的光抑制^[2~5]。热带雨林中不同生态习性 树种的更新对光环境的要求不同。荫生植物捕光能力较强,光合能力较低,对光抑制特别敏感^[6~8]。强光下阳生植物能提高光合 速率,利用更多的光能^[9~12];另一方面增加热耗散,消耗掉过剩光能^[13,7],防止光破坏^[14]。西双版纳处于热带北缘,在雾凉季对 植物造成寒害的夜间低温时常出现,有时还会出现霜冻^[15,16],低温结合强光导致植物光抑制^[17~21],甚至光氧化破坏^[22]。虽然如 此,本区却有典型的热带雨林,推测雾对该地区热带雨林的存在起着不可忽视的作用。本区低温季节几乎天天上午有雾,雾使光 强降低 60% ~90%。本文以演替后期物种绒毛番龙眼(*Pometia tomentosa*)和先锋树种山黄麻(*Trema orientalis*)两种需光特性 不同的树苗为材料,研究不同光照条件下它们对光能的利用与耗散,探讨不同生态习性的热带雨林树种幼苗对光强的适应及光 保护机制,同时人们还关注:下午光强相同条件下,上午光强高低对植物光合机构的不同影响,以探讨雾使上午光强减弱对西双 版纳地区热带植物的可能影响。

1 材料与方法

1.1 自然概况

实验在中国科学院西双版纳热带植物园进行,该园位于 21 56 N,101 f5 E,海拔 570 m,属北热带西南季风气候,一年中有 明显的干季(11~翌年4月份)和雨季(5~10月份)之分。西双版纳地区年均气温 21.7 ,年均相对湿度 86%,年平均降雨量 1 500~1 600 mm,降雨多集中在雨季,占全年降雨量的 83%~ 87%,干季占 13%~17%。本区是有名的静风多雾区,年雾日数 170 d 左右,主要出现在干季(80%以上),这在一定程度上弥补了干季雨量的不足。根据有雾与否,可把干季进一步分为雾凉季 (11~翌年 2月份)和干热季(3~4月份),雾凉季天天有雾,一般 22:00 雾生,第 2 天 11:30 雾散,因此上午光线较弱^[23]。

1.2 实验材料与处理

通过黑色尼龙网遮阳,使 3 个荫棚内的相对光强(*RI*)分别为 50%、36%、12.5%,另外一个光强为全光照,即 100%。本研究 所用材料为绒毛番龙眼[*Pometia tomentosa*(BI.) Teysm. et Binn. (Sapindaceae)]和山黄麻[*Trema orientalis*(Linn.) BI. (Ulmaceae)],绒毛番龙眼是西双版纳原始热带季节雨林的冠层树种,广泛分布于海拔 900 m 以下的沟谷雨林中^[24],其幼苗在 林窗和林冠下都可见,山黄麻是热带季节雨林先锋树种。2002 年 7 月收集 2 种热带雨林树种的种子,播于 12.5% 光照下的砂床 中萌发。8 月底 2 种幼苗均有 2 片以上真叶后移栽到内径 30 cm、深 23 cm、容积约为 15 L 的花盆中,每盆 1 株,土壤为林内 10 cm 以上表土(砖红壤))奶苗在 [AT为 9 2.5%]的荫棚中空长 1^P个月后,选取大小C-致,长势良好的小苗随机分成 4组、每组 20 盔, 处理光照强度为: a. 12.5% / 12.5% (雾散前/雾散后,自然环境光强的百分比(%),下同,每天约 11:30 雾散); b. 50% / 12.5%; c. 36% / 36%; d. 100% / 36%,处理时间 3 个月,除雨天外,每日 18:00 浇饱水 1 次,每月施复合肥 1 次,随时除草。对所选取的 2 种树苗充分浇水,即忽略雾对植物的水分效应,只考虑雾降低光强的作用。

西双版纳的雾可使上午光强降低 60% ~ 90%, 假定上午雾的遮光率为 75%, 上午有雾时自然光强的 12.5%、50%、36% 和 100% 分别相当于无雾时太阳光强的 3.1%、12.5%、9% 和 25%, 下午无雾自然光强与太阳光强相同。以太阳光强的百分比表示时, 4 种处理分别为 a. 3.1% / 12.5% (雾散前/雾散后, 无雾时太阳光强百分比(%), 下同, 每天约 11:30 雾散); b. 12.5% / 12.5%; c. 9% / 36%; d. 25% / 36%, 为直观本文用此方式表示处理光强。处理 a 和 b、c 和 d 的光强分别相当于林内小、大林窗中的光强, 下午 a 和 b、c 和 d 的光强相同, 上午 a 的光强是 b 的 25%, c 的光强是 d 的 36%, a 和 c 相当于上午有雾, b 和 d 相当于上午无雾。

1.3 叶绿素荧光参数的测定

用 FM S2.01 型脉冲调制荧光仪(英国 Hansatech 公司)于晴天测定光系统 (PS)最大光能转换效率 (F_v/F_m)和非光化 学猝灭系数 (NPQ) = (F_m - F_m) / F_m ^[25]的日变化,测定方法见冯玉龙等^[23]。本文以 F_v/F_m 为指标判断是否发生光合作用光抑 制^[3],以 NPQ 为指标判断植物热耗散的多少^[3,23]。

1.4 光合作用光响应曲线的测定

用 Li-6400 便携式光合系统 (Li-Cor, Inc, 美国) 测定叶片的 净光合速率 (P_n), 使用开放气路, 空气流速为 0.5 L/min, 温 度 25 ,相对湿度 50%, CO₂ 浓度 360 μ mol/mol。测定从 2000 μ mol/(m² · s) 光强开始, 依次降为 1500、1000、800、600、400、 200、100、50 和 0 μ mol/(m² · s), 测定时每一光强下停留 3 min。测定前叶片在 600 ~ 1200 μ mol/(m² · s) 光强(依植物种类及生 长光强而定) 下诱导 30 min, 叶片与光源之间具 8 cm 厚的流动隔热水层, 以减少叶片升温。以光量子通量密度(PFD) 为横轴, P_n 为纵轴绘出光合作用光响应曲线 ($P_n PFD$ 曲线), 依据 Bassman 和 Zwier¹²⁶的方法拟合 $P_n PFD$ 的曲线方程:

$$P_n = P_{\max}(1 - C_0 e^{-\alpha PFD/P_{\max}})$$

式中, P_{max} 为最大净光合速率, 即光合能力, α 为弱光下光量子利用效率, 即表观量子效率 (AQY), C_0 为度量弱光下净光合速率 趋近于 0 的指标。通过适合性检验, 若拟合效果良好, 则可用下式计算光补偿点 (LCP):

$$LCP = P_{\max} \ln(C_0) / \alpha$$

假定 P_n 达到 P_{max} 的 99% 时的 PFD 为光饱和点 (LSP),则:

$$LSP = P_{\text{max}} \ln(100C_0) / \alpha$$

1.5 叶绿体色素含量的测定

用一定面积的打孔器在成熟叶片上,避开主叶脉,打下 5 个叶圆片,按 Lichtenthaler 和 Wellburn^[27]的方法测定叶绿素和类 胡萝卜素含量。

1.6 比叶重 (LMA) 的测定

LMA 的测定见冯玉龙等^[1]。用一定面积的打孔器打下 50 个叶圆片, 120 处理 30 min, 80 烘干 24 h 后, 用电子天平称干重, 计算 *LMA* (单位面积叶干重, g/m²)。

测定时选取不同处理植株成熟叶片为测定叶 (每株1片),每一处理重复3~5株,除叶绿素荧光参数外,所有测定均在 8:30~11:30进行,此期间由于有雾,光线较弱,且变化不大,植物各生理参数随时间的变化较小,可比性较强。各参数均以平均 值加减1个标准误差表示。用t检验不同处理之间的差异, P<0.05,差异显著。

2 结果

2.1 生长环境光强对两种植物光合作用光抑制的影响

一天中黎明光系统 最大光能转换效率 (*F_v*/*F_m*)最高,随日间光强的升高,*F_v*/*F_m*降低,下午光最强时即 14:00 左右*F_v*/*F_m*降到最底点,之后随光强的减弱缓慢回升,18:00 光照强度较弱时,各光强下生长的绒毛番龙眼的 *F_v*/*F_m*仍未恢复到天亮前的 水平,3.1%/12.5% 和 12.5%/12.5% 光强下生长的山黄麻 *F_v*/*F_m*基本恢复,但 9%/36% 和 25%/36% 光强下 仍未恢复 (图 1)。表明在日间 2种植物均发生了光抑制,相同光强下生长的绒毛番龙眼的日间光抑制明显比山黄麻重。而且上午光强的 降低均能明显缓解绒毛番龙眼的光抑制,但对山黄麻的影响不太明显(图 1)。

不同光强下生长的绒毛番龙眼表观量子效率 (*A QY*)差异不显著, 12.5% / 12.5%、9% / 36% 和 25% / 36% 光强下生长的山黄麻的 *A QY* 显著高于 3.1% / 12.5% 光强下的值。同一光强下生长的山黄麻 *A QY* 显著高于绒毛番龙眼 (表 1)。表明绒毛番龙眼对光抑制较敏感。

2.2 生长环境光强对 2 种植物气体交换参数的影响

《不同光强下生长的山黄麻最大净光谷速率(Pena)显著高宁绒毛番龙眼(表 2)。山黄麻 Pena 随生长环境光强升高而增大,这

与其它需高光植物是一致的^[12,28,1];不同光强下生长的绒毛番龙眼 Pmax 差异不显著。

9%/36% 和 25%/36% 以及 3.1%/12.5% 和 12.5%/12.5% 光强下生长的山黄麻的光饱和点 (*LSP*)差异不显著,但9%/ 36% 和 25%/36% 光强下的值均显著高于 3.1%/12.5% 和 12.5%/12.5% 光强下的值。绒毛番龙眼的 *LSP* 随生长光强变化不 明显。相同光强下生长的山黄麻 *LSP* 显著高于绒毛番龙眼(图 2)。山黄麻的光补偿点 (*LCP*)随生长光强的增大而升高,9%/ 36% 和 25%/36% 光强下的绒毛番龙眼 *LCP* 高于 3.1%/12.5% 和 12.5%/12.5% 光强下的值。相同光强下生长的 2 种树苗的 *LCP* 差异不显著(图 2)。

表 1 不同光环境下生长的绒毛番龙眼和山黄麻幼苗表观量子效率

Table 1 Apparent quantum yield for the seedlings of P. tomentosa and T. orientalis grown under different light regimes						
树种	光环境(雾散前/雾散后, RI) Light regimes (Before/after fog disappear, percent of sunshine without fog)*					
Species	3. 1% / 12. 5%	12.5%/12.5%	9% / 36%	25%/36%		
绒毛番龙眼 P. tomentosa	0. 017 ± 0. 0057A, a	0. 0160 ± 0. 0019A, a	0. 022 ± 0. 0027A, a	0. 0198 ± 0. 0027A, a		
山黄麻 T. or ientalis	0.0417 ± 0.0015 A, b	0.0476 ± 0.0013 B, b	0.0508 ± 0.0012 B, b	0.0482 ± 0.0024 B, b		

* 3~5个重复的平均值±标准误差 Mean ± standard error (SE) of 3~5 separate experiments;不同大、小写字母分别表示同种不同光下和 相同光下不同物种间差异显著(P< 0.05) Different upper-and lowercase letters indicate significant different among the light regimes of the same species and between different species in the same light regime (P< 0.05, student 's t+est), respectively;下同 the same below

表2 不同光环境下生长的绒毛番龙眼和山黄麻幼苗的最大净光合速率 (μmol/(m² · s))

Table 2 Maximum net photosynthetic rate for seedlings of P. tomentosa and T. orientalis grown under different light regimes

树种	光环境 Light regimes				
Species	3.1%/12.5%	12.5%/12.5%	9% / 36%	25%/36%	
绒毛番龙眼 P. tomentosa	4. 1824 ± 1. 5270A, a	3.9625 ± 0.3824 A, a	3. 1044 ± 0. 1978A, a	4. 5837 ± 0. 8073A , a	
山黄麻 T. orientalis	14.9417 ± 1.3477A, b	15.4952 ± 0.4929 A,b	20. 8699 \pm 0. 3809B, b	22. 4585 ± 0. 5112B, b	



图1 不同光环境下生长的绒毛番龙眼和山黄麻幼苗光系统 最大 光能转换效率 (*F_v*/*F_m*)日变化

Fig. 1 The diurnal changes of maximum efficiency of PS photochemistry (F_v/F_m) for P. tomentosa and T. orientalis grown under different light regimes



图 2 不同光环境下生长的绒毛番龙眼和山黄麻幼苗光饱和点 (LSP)和光补偿点(LCP)

Fig. 2 Light saturation point (LSP) and light compensation point (LCP) for P. tomentosa and T. orientalis grown under different light regimes

2.3 生长环境光强对 2 种植物热耗散速率的影响

各光强下生长的绒毛番龙眼和山黄麻非光化学猝灭系数(NPQ)的日变化表明(图 3),上午热耗散随日间光强的增大而增 多,达最大值后随光强的减弱而减少。强光下生长的植物热耗散多于弱光下生长的同种植物,25%/36%和12.5%/12.5%光强 下生长的2种植物的热耗散分别多于9%/36%和3.1%/12.5%光强下的同种植物。相同光强下绒毛番龙眼热耗散多于山黄 麻,并且人为调节上午光强对绒毛番龙眼热耗散的影响明显大于对山黄麻的影响。

2.4 生长环境光强对 2 种植物光合色素含量和比叶重(LMA) 的影响

随生长光强的增大,山黄麻叶绿素(Chl)含量降低,9%/36%和25%/36%光强下的值显著低于3.1%/12.5%光强下的值; 各生长光强下绒毛番龙眼Chl含量差异则不显著。相同光强下2种植物Chl含量差异不显著(表3)。

随生长光强的增大,山黄麻类胡萝卜素(Car)含量降低。生长在9%/36%和25%/36%光强下的值均显著低于生长在 3.1%/12.5%光强下的值。但随生长光强的变化绒毛番龙眼Car含量差异不显著。3.1%/12.5%和9%/36%光强下,两种植物Car含量差异显著;12.5%个12.5%和25%736%光强下,两种植物Car含量差异显著;12.5%个12.5%和25%736%光强下,两种植物Car含量差异显著;12.5%个12.5%和25%736%光强下,两种植物Car含量差异不显著。3.1%/15%70%和25%736%光强下,两种植物Car含量差异无思考。18.1% 氧^[29,30],从而保护光合机构。为了解不同光强下生长的2种植物光能吸收与光保护的关系,测定了叶片叶绿素/类胡萝之比(Car/Chl)。结果表明,随生长光强的增大2种植物Car/Chl呈升高趋势,保护功能逐渐增强,9%/36%和25%/36%光强下生长的山黄麻Car/Chl均显著高于3.1%/12.5%光强下的值(表3)。

2 种植物 3.1%/12.5% 和 12.5%/12.5% 光强之间、9%/36% 和 25%/36% 光强之间的色素含量差异不显著(但 12.5%/ 12.5% 光强下生长的绒毛番龙眼 Car 含量显著高于 3.1%/12.5% 光强下生长的绒毛番龙眼,25%/36% 光强下的山黄麻 Car/ Chl 显著高于 9%/36% 光强下生长的山黄麻)。

随着生长环境光强的减弱, 2种植物的 LMA 显著降低(表 4)。弱光强下 LMA 降低, 单位干重叶面积增大, 导致干重叶的捕 光能力增强, 利于在弱光环境中生长⁽¹⁾。随生长环境光强的减弱, 山黄麻变化更明显。相同光强下, 绒毛番龙眼 LMA 显著大于 山黄麻。

表 3 不同光环境下生长的绒毛番龙眼和山黄麻幼苗叶片单位干重叶绿素(Chl)、类胡萝卜素(Car)含量和 Chl/ Car

Table 3 Contents of chlorophyll (Chl) and carotenoid (Car) per unit dry weight, and Chl/ Car for seedlings of P. tomentosa and T. orientalis grown under different light regimes

树种	参数	光环境 Light regimes			
Species	Parameters	3. 1% / 12. 5%	12.5%/12.5%	9% / 36%	25% / 36%
	Chl(mg/g)	5. 1587 ± 0. 4404A a	4. 1803 ± 0. 1691A a	4. 2140 ± 0. 3156A a	4. 3952 ± 0. 6664A a
	Car(mg/g)	1. 8739 ± 0. 0971A a	1.4448 ± 0.0498Ba	1. 6334 ± 0. 1243ABa	1. 7525 ± 0. 2030A Ba
	Car/chl	0. 3655 ± 0. 0149A a	0. 3930 ± 0. 0078A a	0. 3876 ± 0. 0056A a	$0.4039 \pm 0.0171 \mathrm{Aa}$
山黄麻 T. or ient alis	Chl(mg/g)	4. 5325 ± 0. 1779A a	3. 7296 ± 0. 4181A Ba	3. 4967 ± 0. 1265Ba	2. 9451 ± 0. 3375Ba
	Car(mg/g)	$1.5184 \pm 0.0630_{Ab}$	1. $2808 \pm 0.1284_{ABa}$	1.2074 ± 0.0433 Bb	$1.1238 \pm 0.1101_{Ba}$
	Car/chl	0.3350 ± 0.0018 _{A a}	$0.3442 \pm 0.0039_{ABb}$	$0.\;3453 \pm 0.\;0031 \mathrm{Bb}$	0.3834 ± 0.0089 Ca

表 4 不同光环境下生长的绒毛番龙眼和山黄麻幼苗比叶重(g/m²)

Table 4	Lamina mass per unit area for	Р.	tomentosa and T. orientalis grown under different light regimes
---------	-------------------------------	----	---

标t fth c · ·	光场境 Light regimes					
树种Species	3. 1% / 12. 5%	12. 5% / 12. 5%	9% / 36%	25%/36%		
绒毛番龙眼 P. tomentosa	36. 0042 ± 0. 3575A a	37. 4934 ± 0. 3317Ba	44. 1427 ± 0. 1568Ca	46. 0851 ± 0. 2882Da		
山黄麻 T. or ientalis	23. 7183 \pm 0. 2578A b	26. 7628 \pm 0. 3530Bb	35.8032 ± 0.3532 Cb	44. 3575 \pm 0. 5338D b		

3 讨论

各光环境下生长的山黄麻均发生了日间光抑制,但 F_v/F_m 降幅较小,日间光抑制不强,即使在 25% / 36% 光强下下午光最强时 F_v/F_m 也在 0.8 以上,且随光强的减弱基本能恢复到黎明前的水平(图 1),表明没有发生光合机构的破坏^[13]。12.5% / 12.5%、9% / 36% 和 25% / 36% 光强下生长的绒毛番龙眼 F_v/F_m 降幅较大,25% / 36% 光强下下午光最强时 F_v/F_m 降到了 0.7 以下,且 19:00 光照强度较弱时仍未恢复到天亮前的水平。相同光环境下绒毛番龙眼光抑制均较山黄麻重。上午人为降低光强均能缓解绒毛番龙眼光合作用光抑制,而对山黄麻影响不大(图 1)。

随生长环境光强的增大 2 种植物的热耗散均增多, 这与文献的报告是一致的^[10,32,25,33], 但先锋种山黄麻热耗散增加不显著, 在日间光强最高时 *NPQ* 也没超过 1, 演替后期物种绒毛番龙眼热耗散增加显著, 25% / 36% 光强下其 *NPQ* 达 3 以上(图





Fig. 3 The diurnal changes of non-photochemical quenching efficiency (NPQ) for *P*. tomentosa and *T*. or ientalis grown under different light regimes

3)。热耗散可以保护光合机构^[34,35,25]。25%/36%和9%/36%光强下,山黄麻 P_{max} 分别为22.46和20.87 μ mol/(m²·s),显著高于12.5%/12.5%和3.1%/12.5%光强下的值(分别为15.50和14.94 μ mol/(m²·s))。但各光环境下生长的绒毛番龙眼 P_{max} 差异不显著,维持在4 μ mol/(m²·s)左右。其它耐荫树种,如玉蕊和滇南红厚壳等^[1,36]也有相似情况,随生长环境光强的增大 P_{max} 升高也不显著。相同光强下先锋种山黄麻 P_{max} 显著高于绒毛番龙眼。不同光环境下其它需光植物,如团花树^[1,36]、野桐、紫茎泽兰^[37]、飞机草、兰花菊三七^[38]等的NPQ和 P_{max} 也与山黄麻的变化规律相似,即随生长环境光强的增大, P_{max} 显著升高,而NPQ增加不显著。Scholes等^[30]发现强光下热带光锋树种和需强光的龙脑香科植物主要是通过提高 P_{max}^{rec} 来保护光合机构,而它们的

热耗散并不比耐荫树种多,这与先锋树种山黄麻情况相似。可以推测,需光种主要通过提高 Pmax 利用光能、而耐荫种主要是通过 耗散光能适应强光环境的。

绒毛番龙眼热耗散较多与其具有较高的 Car 含量有关(表 3), Car 中的一些组分是热耗散所必需的³⁰。随着生长光强的增大, 2种植物的 Car/Chl 比均升高。Garcia-Plazaola 等^[39], Munn -Bosch 和 Alegre^[40]认为, 胁迫时植物能通过增加叶片 Car/Chl 比来保护光合机构免受光破坏。*LMA* 与叶片厚度有关, 一般情况下*LMA* 低的叶片就薄。叶片吸收的光能是光合色素含量和叶 片厚度的函数, 色素含量低、叶片薄吸收的光能就少。相同光强下山黄麻的 Chl、Car 含量(表 3)和 *LMA*(表 4)均低于绒毛番龙眼, 表明单位面积叶片山黄麻吸收的光能少于绒毛番龙眼。山黄麻较高的 *P*_{max}基本能利用掉叶片吸收的光能, 可以有效地防止 光合机构的光破坏。强光下植物降低叶绿素含量也是一种适应强光的保护策略。

LMA 与植物叶片长期生长的光环境密切相关^[41]。弱光环境下*LMA* 降低(表4),单位干重叶的面积增大、捕光能力增强, 增加叶片同化组织对输导组织和结构组织的相对比例^[42],利于碳的净积累^[43],是植物对弱光环境做出的典型的形态学反 应^[12]。山黄麻低的*LMA* 不仅可以减少单位面积叶片吸收的光能防止光抑制发生、增加单位干重叶光合速率^[1],还可以使它用 等量的干物质制造更大的叶面积,从而利于植株水平的光能截获和碳积累。

虽然绒毛番龙眼的热耗散较多,但由于其 *P*_{max} 较低,25% / 36% 和 9% / 36% 光强下没有及时有效地利用和耗散掉吸收的光能,导致光抑制的发生。光抑制可以降低叶片或植株水平碳的积累。表明绒毛番龙眼幼苗不适宜在强光环境下生长,这与其在林下的分布是一致的。25% / 36% 和 9% / 36% 光强下山黄麻 *P*_{max}较高(表 2),光抑制不严重(图 1),表明先锋种山黄麻适宜生长在强光环境下,这与其在林窗等光较强的环境中的分布是一致的。本研究结果支持树种的生理生态特性决定了其演替状况和生境选择的假说。演替早期种都是一些不耐荫的种类,光合速率高,生长快,寿命短,具有适应高光强的形态与生理特征。而演替后期树种光合速率低,生长慢,寿命长,幼苗对光抑制较敏感,不能生长在开阔的地方。随着演替早期树种的生长群落下层光强逐渐降低,这种荫蔽环境为演替中、后期物种的进入提供了条件,并且这些物种最终能替代先锋种。

上午光强度的改变对先锋树种山黄麻影响不大,对演替后期树种绒毛番龙眼影响较大。下午光强相同,上午两种光强下山 黄麻光抑制程度、热耗散和光合能力等差异均不大;上午光强低时绒毛番龙眼光抑制比光强高时轻且易恢复、热耗散比光强高 时少,下午处理光越强这种趋势越明显。表明上午一定程度的遮光(如有雾)可减缓绒毛番龙眼光抑制。如遇低温上午遮荫的效 果更明显^[44],雾凉季西双版纳地区有夜间低温,夜间低温可以加剧热带植物光抑制。上午雾使光强减弱,缓解了低温、强光对本 区敏感植物的危害,推测雾与本区热带雨林和热带作物的分布有一定的关系。

4 结论

在一定光强范围内随生长环境光强的升高,山黄麻和绒毛番龙眼幼苗*LMA*、*NPQ*、Car含量、Car/Chl比升高,LSP和 LCP也有随生长环境光强的增大而升高的趋势,Chl含量降低,2种树苗均能通过形态和生理特性的改变适应不同的光强环 境。相同的生长光强下,演替后期种绒毛番龙眼光抑制明显比先锋种山黄麻重,山黄麻适应强光的能力强。先锋种山黄麻主要通 过提高*P*_{max}利用光能,而演替后期种绒毛番龙眼却较大程度地通过增强非光化学猝灭来耗散过量光能防止光合机构光破坏。上 午人为降低光强度对先锋种山黄麻影响不大,但可以明显缓解演替后期树种绒毛番龙眼的光抑制,表明雾使上午光强降低可缓 解绒毛番龙眼的光抑制。

References:

- [1] Feng Y L, Cao K F, Feng Z L, et al. Acclimation of lamina mass per unit area, photosynthetic characteristics and dark respiration to growth light regimes in four tropical rainforest species. A cta Ecologica Sinica, 2002, 22 (6): 901~910.
- [2] Powles S B. Photoinhibition of photosynthesis induced by visible light. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol., 1984, 35: 15~44.
- [3] Demmig-Adams B, Adams W W . Photoprotection and other responses of plant to high stress. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol., 1992, 43: 599 ~ 626.
- [4] Huner N P A, Oquist G, Sarhan F. Energy balance and acclimation to light and cold. Trends Plant Sci., 1998, 3: 224~230.
- [5] Shirk e P A, Pathre U V. Diurnal and seasonal changes in photosynthesis and photosystem 2 photochemical efficiency in Prosop is juliflora leaves subjected to natural environmental stress. Photosynthetica, 2003, 41(1): 83~89.
- [6] Anderson J M, Osmond C B. Shade-sun response: compromises between acclimation and photoinhibition. In: Kyle D J, Osmond. Arntzen C J eds. Topics in photosynthesis. Photoinhibition, Vol 9, Amsterdam: Elsevier, 1987. 1~38.
- [7] Osmond C B. What is photoinhibition? Some insights from comparison of sun and shade plants. In: Baker N R, Bowyer J B eds. Photoinhibition of photosynthesis: from molecular mechanisms to the field. Oxford: Bios Scientefic Publishers Ltd., 1994. 1~24.
- [8] Senevirathna A M W K, Stirling C M, Rodrigo V H L. Growth, photosynthetic performance and shade adaptation of rubber (*Hevea* © 1994-2012 China Academic Journal Electronic Publishing House. All rights reserved. http://www.cnki.net brasiliensis) grown in natural shade. Tree Physiol., 2003, 23: 7052 712.

- [9] Park Y-, Chow W S, Anderson J M, et al. Differential susceptibility of photosystem to light stress in light-acclimated pea leaves depends on the capacity for photochemical and non-radiative dissipation of light. Plant Sci., 1996, 115: 137 ~ 149.
- [10] Scholes J D, Press M C, Zipperlen S W. Differences in light energy utilization and dissipation between dipterocarp rain forest tree seedlings. Oecologia, 1997, 109: 41~48.
- [11] Baroli I, Melis A. Photoinhibitory damage is modulated by the rate of photosynthesis and by the photosystem light-harvesting chlorophyll antenna size. *Planta*, 1998, 205: 288 ~ 296.
- [12] Kitao M, Lei T T, Koike T, et al. Susceptibility to photoinhibition of three deciduous broadleaf tree species with different successional traits raised under various light regimes. Plant Cell Enviorn., 2000, 23: 81~89.
- [13] Long S P, Humphries S, folkowski P G. Photoinhibition of photosynthesis in nature. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol., 1994, 45: 633~662.
- [14] Xu D Q, Shen Y G. Diurnal variations in the photosynthetic efficiency in plants. Acta Phytophysiol. Sin., 1997, 23: 410~416.
- [15] Hong L H, Li C H. The investigation reports about the chilling injury to tropical crops occurred in the winter of 1999/2000 in tropical region of Yunn an province. J. Yunnan Crops. Sci. Technol., 2001 (suppl.): 1~9.
- [16] Huang W L, Chen Y. The characteristics of the climate after the year of chilling injuring to crops and its effects on the yield of rubber. J. Yunnan Crops. Sci. Technol., 2001, 24 (3): 10~13.
- [17] Baker N R. Chilling stress and photosynthesis. In: Foyer C H, Mullineaux P M eds. Causes of photooxidative stress and amelioration of defense system in plants. Florida: CRC Press, 1994. 105 ~ 154.
- [18] Baker N R, Farage P K, Stirling C M, et al. Photoinhibiton of crop photosynthesis in the field at low temperatures. In: Baker N R, Bowyer J R eds. Photoinhibition of photosynthesis. Oxford: Bios Scientienififc publishers Limited, 1994. 349 ~ 363.
- [19] Krause G H. Effects of temperature on energy-dependent fluorescence quenching in chloroplasts. Photosynthetica, 1994, 27: 249 ~ 252.
- [20] Prafullachandra V S, Alexander G I, Vaughan H N, et al. Changes in the redox potential of primary and secondary electron-accepting quinones in photosystem confer increased resistance to photoinhibition in low-temperature-acclimated Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol., 2003, 132: 2144 ~ 2151.
- [21] Dirks B O M, Oijen M V, Schapendonk A H C M, et al. Temperature sensitivity of photosynthesis in Lolium perenne swards: A comparison of two methods for deriving photosynthetic parameters from in vivo measurements. Photosynthetica, 2002, 40(4): 405 ~ 413.
- [22] Wise R R. Chilling enhanced photooxidation: the production. action and study of reactive oxygen species produced during chilling in the light. *Photosynth. Res.*, 1995, 45: 79~97.
- [23] Feng Y L, Feng Z L, Cao K F. The protection against photodamage in A momum villosum Lour. Acta Phytophysiol. Sin., 2001, 27 (6): 483 ~ 488.
- [24] Wu Z Y, Zhu Y C. Yunnan vegetation. Beijing: Science Press, 1987. 109~143.
- [25] Bilger W, Bj kman O. Role of the xanthophylls cycle in photoprotection elucidated by measurements of light induced absorbance changes, fluorescence and photosynthesis in *Hedera canariensis*. *Photosynth. Res.*, 1990, 25: 173~185.
- [26] Bassman J, Zwier J C. Gas exchange characteristics of Populus trichocarpa, Populus deltoids and P ϕ ulus trichocarpa × P. deltoids clone. Tree P hysiol., 1991, 8: 145 ~ 149.
- [27] Lichtenthaler H K, Wellburn A R. Determination of total carotenoids and chlorophyll a and b of leaf extracts in different solvents-Biochem. Soc. T., 1983, 603: 591 ~ 592.
- [28] Warren C R, Adams M A. Distribution of N, Rubisco and photosynthesis in Pinus pinaster and acclimation to light. *Plant Cell Environ.*, 2001, 24: 597 ~ 609.
- [29] Li L. Effects of paraquat and sodium benzoate on calluses of two maize cultivars with different drought resistance under os motic stress. A cta Pthytop hysiol. Sin., 1998, 24: 405 ~ 412.
- [30] Bungard R A, Ruban A V, Hibberd J M, et al. Unusual carotenoid composition and a new type of xanthophylls cycle in plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 1999, 96: 1135~1139.
- [31] Bertamini M, Neduachezhian N. Photoinhibition of photosynthesis in Vitis berlandier i and Vitis rup estris leaves under field conditions-Photosynthetica, 2002, 40(4): 597~603.
- [32] Ishida A, Toma T, Marjenah. Leaf gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence in relation to leaf angle, azimuth, and canopy position in the tropical pioneer tree Macaranga conifera. Tree Physiol., 1999, 19: 117 ~ 124.
- [33] Niu S L, Jiang G M, Li Y G, et al. Comparison of photosynthetic traits between two typical shrubs: legume and non-legume in Hunsh and ak Sandland. Photosynthetica, 2003, 41(1): 111~116.
- [34] Demmig-Adams B, Adams W W, Logan BA, et al. Xanthophyli cycle-dependent energy dissipation and flex ible PS' efficiency in plants

acclimated to light stress. Aust. J. Physiol., 1995, 22: 249~261.

- [35] Li X P, Bj kman O, Shih C, et al. A pigment-binding protein essential for regulation of photosynthetic light harvesting. Nature, 2000, 403: 391 ~ 395
- [36] Feng Y L, Cao K F, Feng Z L. Effect of growth light intensity on the photosynthetic apparatus in four tropical rainforest tree species seedlings. J. Plant Physiol. Mol. Biol., 2002, 28 (2): 153~160.
- [37] Wang J F, Feng Y L, Liang H Z. Acclimation of photosynthetic characteristics to growth light intensity in Eupatorium adenophorum Spreng. Chinese J. Appl. Ecol., 2004, 25(8): 1373 ~ 1377.
- [38] Wang JF, Feng YL, Li Z. Acclimation of Photosynthesis to Growth Light Intensity in Chromolaena odorata(L.) and gy nura sp. J. Plant Physiol. Mol. Biol., 2003, 29(6): 542 ~ 548.
- [39] Garcia-Plazaola J I, Faria T, Abadia J, et al. Seasonal changes in xanthophylls composition and photosynthesis cork oak (Quercus suber L.) leaves under Mediterranean climate. J. Exp. Bot., 1997, 48: 1667 ~ 1674.
- [40] Munn -Bosch S, Aleger L. The xanthophylls cycle is induced by light irrespective of water status in field-grown lavender (Lavandula stoech as) plants. Physiol. Plant, 2000, 108: 147 ~ 151.
- [41] Rosati A, Badeck F W, Dejong T M. Estimating can opy light interception and absorption using leaf mass per unit leaf area in Solanum melongena. Ann. Bot., 2001, 88: 101~109.
- [42] Poorter H. Interspecific variation in relative growth rate: on ecological causes and physiological consequences. In: Lambers H, Cambridge M L, Konings H, et al. eds. Causes and consequences of variation in growth rate and productivity of higher plants, Netherlands: Academic Publishing. 1989. 45~68.
- [43] Pearcy R W, Sim D A. Photosynthetic acclimation to changing light environments: scaling from the leaf to the whole plant. In: Caldwell M M, Pearcy R W, eds. Exploitation of environmental heterogeneity by plants: ecophysiological processes above-and below ground. San Diego: Academic Press, 1994. 145~147.
- [44] Feng Y L, Cao K F, Feng Z L, et al. Effects of nocturnal chilling temperature on photosynthesis in seedings of two tropical tree species grown under different light intensities. J. Plant Physiol. Mol. Bioy., 2002, 28 (6): 433 ~ 440.

参考文献:

- [1] 冯玉龙,曹坤芳,冯志立,等.四种热带雨林树种幼苗比叶重,光合特性和暗呼吸对生长光环境的适应.生态学报,2002,22(6):901~910.
- [14] 许大全, 沈允钢. 植物光合作用效率的日变化. 植物生理学报, 1997, 23: 410~416.
- [15] 洪龙汉,李聪惠. 云南省热区 1999/2000 年冬热带作物寒(冻)害调研报告,云南热作科技,2001 (增刊): 1~9.
- [16] 黄文龙,陈瑶.低温寒年次年的气候特点及其对橡胶生产的影响.云南热作科技,2001,24(3):10~13.
- [23] 冯玉龙, 冯志立, 曹昆芳. 砂仁叶片光破坏的防御. 植物生理学报, 2001, 27(6): 483~488.
- [24] 吴征镒,朱彦丞. 云南植被. 北京:科学出版社, 1987. 109~143.
- [29] 李玲. 百草枯和苯甲酸钠对渗透胁迫下抗旱性不同玉米品种愈伤组织的影响. 植物生理学报, 1998, 24: 405~412.
- [36] 冯玉龙,曹坤芳,冯志立. 生长光强对 4 种热带雨林树苗光合机构的影响. 植物生理与分子生物学学报, 2002, 28 (2): 153~160.
- [37] 王俊峰, 冯玉龙, 梁红柱. 紫茎泽兰光合特性对生长环境光强的适应. 应用生态学报, 2004, 25(8): 1373~1377.
- [38] 王俊峰, 冯玉龙, 李志. 飞机草和兰花菊三七光合特性对生长环境光强的适应. 植物生理与分子生物学学报, 2003, 29(6): 542~548.
- [44] 冯玉龙, 曹坤芳, 冯志立, 等. 夜间低温对不同光强下生长的两种热带树苗光合作用的影响. 植物生理与分子生物学学报, 2002, **28**(6): 433~440.