PhytoKeys

Research Article

Alseodaphnopsis maguanensis is conspecific with A. hokouensis (Lauraceae) based on morphological and molecular evidence

Lang Li¹⁰, Dian-yang Zou^{1,2}, Ya-meng Mao^{1,2}, Jie Li¹⁰

- 1 Plant Phylogenetics and Conservation Group, Center for Integrative Conservation & Yunnan Key Laboratory for Conservation of Tropical Rainforests and Asian Elephants, Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Mengla, Yunnan 666303, China
- 2 University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

Corresponding authors: Lang Li (lilang@xtbg.ac.cn); Jie Li (jieli@xtbg.ac.cn)

Abstract

Based on both morphological and molecular evidence, it is confirmed that *Alseodaphnopsis maguanensis* is conspecific with *A. hokouensis*. Hence, *Alseodaphnopsis maguanensis* is treated as a synonym of *A. hokouensis* here. The conservation status of *Alseodaphnopsis hokouensis* is also re-evaluated according to the IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria in this study.

Key words: Alseodaphnopsis, Lauraceae, morphology, phylogeny, synonym, taxonomy

Introduction

As one of the largest basal angiosperm families, Lauraceae includes more than 50 genera and 2500 ~ 3000 species distributed in tropical and subtropical regions worldwide (Chanderbali et al. 2001). The delimitation, delineation and identification of Lauraceae species, especially for tropical species, are always complicated due to limited variation in floral and other morphological characters and insufficient specimen collection (van der Werff and Richter 1996; Rohwer 2000; Li and Li 2004; Yang and Liu 2015).

Alseodaphnopsis hokouensis (H. W. Li) H. W. Li & J. Li was first published as an Alseodaphne Nees species (Lee et al. 1979) and then it was transferred to Alseodaphnopsis H. W. Li & J. Li, a recently described genus of the Lauraceae (Mo et al. 2017). Since it was first collected in 1953, only three herbarium records (including two type specimens) of Alseodaphnopsis hokouensis can be found and no fruiting specimen has been collected.

During recent field surveys in SE Yunnan (SW China), some flowering and fruiting individuals of *Alseodaphnopsis hokouensis* were found and they resembled a recently-published *Alseodaphnopsis* species, *A. maguanensis* L. Li & J. Li (Li et al. 2020). Based on both morphological and molecular evidence, we confirm that *Alseodaphnopsis maguanensis* is conspecific with *A. hokouensis*. Therefore, we treat *Alseodaphnopsis maguanensis* as a synonym of *A. hokouensis* here. Based on the field survey data, we also re-evaluate the conservation status of *Alseodaphnopsis hokouensis* in this study.



Academic editor: Andrés E. Ortiz-Rodriguez Received: 13 November 2023 Accepted: 8 May 2024 Published: 3 June 2024

Citation: Li L, Zou D-y, Mao Y-m, Li J (2024) Alseodaphnopsis maguanensis is conspecific with A. hokouensis (Lauraceae) based on morphological and molecular evidence. PhytoKeys 242: 281–291. https://doi. org/10.3897/phytokeys.242.115679

Copyright: © Lang Li et al. This is an open access article distributed under terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (Attribution 4.0 International – CC BY 4.0).

Materials and methods

Field surveys

We conducted field surveys in both Hekou (Hokou) County and Maguan County (Yunnan Province, China) from 2020 to 2022. Flowering specimens of *Alseo-daphnopsis hokouensis* and *A. maguanensis* were collected from May to June and fruiting specimens were collected from July to September.

Morphological studies

Morphological characters of *Alseodaphnopsis hokouensis* and *A. maguanensis* were examined and compared in detail, based on fresh and preserved materials as well as dried specimens collected in the field. Herbarium specimens of these two species from the Herbaria HITBC, KUN and PE were also examined.

Molecular studies and phylogenetic analyses

Based on the work of Li et al. (2020), three individuals of *Alseodaphnopsis hokouensis* and two additional individuals of *A. maguanensis* were sampled in the present study. DNA extraction, fragment amplification and sequencing, as well as DNA sequence alignment, followed the work of Li et al. (2020). The newly-obtained DNA sequences were integrated into the ITS + *LEAFY* intron II combined dataset of the work by Li et al. (2020). Species examined in this study, voucher information, collection locality and GenBank accessions for ITS and *LEAFY* intron II sequences are given in Table 1.

The combined dataset including ITS and *LEAFY* intron II sequences was used for phylogenetic analysis according to the works of Li et al. (2011), Mo et al. (2017) and Li et al. (2020). Phylogenetic analyses were performed using the Maximum Parsimony (MP) and Bayesian Inference (BI) methods. The MP analysis was performed using PAUP* 4.0b10 (Swofford 2003). The BI analysis was performed using MrBayes v.3.2.6 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003). Different DNA sequences were defined as separate data partitions. The evolutionary model for each partition (ITS: GTR+I+G; *LEAFY* intron II: HKY+G) was estimated using jModelTest v.2.1.10 (Darriba et al. 2012) with the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) (Akaike 1974; Posada and Buckley 2004). The parameters used in both MP and BI analysis followed the work of Li et al. (2020).

Results and discussion

During our field surveys in SE Yunnan, two populations of *Alseodaphnopsis hokouensis* were found in Huayudong and Qincaitang, Nanxi Town, Hekou County (Fig. 1), each with about 10 mature individuals. No individual was found at the type locality of *Alseodaphnopsis hokouensis*, Masike, Nanxi Town, Hekou County. Two populations of *Alseodaphnopsis maguanensis*, each with about 20 mature individuals, were also investigated in Gulinqing Provincial Natural Reserve (Maguan County) according to the work of Li et al. (2020).

 Table 1. Species examined in this study, voucher information, collection localities and GenBank accession numbers for ITS and LEAFY sequences.

Taxon	Voucher	Locality	ITS	LEAFY		
Ingroups		·				
Alseodaphne (4)						
A. gigaphylla Kosterm.	Arifiani DA657 (BO)	Indonesia, Java	HQ697181	HQ697004		
A. gracilis Kosterm.	Li L. 20070187 (HITBC)	China, Yunnan	HQ697187	HQ697036		
A. huanglianshanensis H. W. Li & Y. M. Shui	Li L. 20080006 (HITBC)	China, Yunnan	HQ6971812	HQ697007		
A. semecarpifolia Nees	Arifiani DA658 (BO)	Indonesia, Java	HQ6971814	HQ697015		
Alseodaphnopsis (8)						
A. andersonii (King ex Hook. f.) H. W. Li & J. Li,	Li J. & Li L. 20070074 (HITBC)	China, Yunnan	FM957793	HQ697002		
A. hainanensis (Merr.) H. W. Li & J. Li	Li L. & Wang Z. H. JFL07 (HITBC)	China, Hainan	MG188587	MG188634		
	Li L. & Wang Z. H. LMS10 (HITBC)	China, Hainan	MG188586	MG188633		
A. hokouensis (H. W. Li) H. W. Li & J. Li	Li L. et al. 2020064 (HITBC)	China, Yunnan	PP736795	PP737831		
	Li L. et al. 2020071 (HITBC)	China, Yunnan	PP736796	PP737832		
	Li L. et al. 2020072 (HITBC)	China, Yunnan	PP736797	PP737833		
A. maguanensis L. Li & J. Li	Li L. et al. GLQ45 (HITBC)	China, Yunnan	MN906900	MN906896		
	Li L. et al. GLQ46 (HITBC)	China, Yunnan	MN906901	MN906897		
	Li L. et al. 2020086 (HITBC)	China, Yunnan	PP736798	PP737834		
	Li L. et al. 2020091 (HITBC)	China, Yunnan	PP7367999	PP737835		
A. petiolaris (Meisn.) H. W. Li & J. Li	Chen J. Q. 07003 (HITBC)	China, Yunnan	FM957796	HQ697008		
A. putaoensis L. Li, Y. H. Tan & J. Li	Li L. & Ma H. MM254 (HITBC)	Myanmar, Kachin	MN906902	MN906898		
	Li L. & Ma H. MM266 (HITBC)	Myanmar, Kachin	MN906903	MN906899		
A. rugosa (Merr. & Chun) H. W. Li & J. Li,	Li L. & Wang Z. H. MYH02 (HITBC)	China, Hainan	MG188585	MG188635		
	Li L. & Wang Z. H. MYH08 (HITBC)	China, Hainan	MG188584	MG188640		
A. sichourensis (H. W. Li) H. W. Li & J. Li	Song Y. 33225 (HITBC)	China, Yunnan	MG188597	MG188626		
A. ximengensis H.W. Li & J. Li	Li J. W. 1235 (HITBC)	China, Yunnan	MG188591	MG188599		
Dehaasia (1)						
D. hainanensis Kosterm.	Li L. & Wang Z. H. 20070373 (HITBC)	China, Hainan	FJ719308	HQ697026		
Machilus (8)						
<i>M. duthiei</i> King ex Hook. f.	Zhong J. S. 2006094 (HITBC)	China, Yunnan	FJ755425	HQ697055		
M. gongshanensis H. W. Li	Chen J. Q. 07002 (HITBC)	China, Yunnan	FJ755416	HQ697047		
<i>M. grijsii</i> Hance	Chen J. Q. et al. 2006028 (HITBC)	China, Guangdong	FJ755420	HQ697049		
M. kwangtungensis Yang	Chen J. Q. et al. 2006027 (HITBC)	China, Guangdong	FJ755424	HQ697051		
M. monticola S. Lee	Li L. & Wang Z. H. 20070323 (HITBC)	China, Hainan	FJ755418	HQ697057		
<i>M. platycarpa</i> Chun	Chen J. Q. et al. 2006073 (HITBC)	China, Guangdong	FJ755421	HQ697067		
M. robusta W. W. Sm.	Li J. 2002116 (HITBC)	China, Guangxi	FJ755426	HQ697071		
M. yunnanensis Lec.	Zhong J. S. 2006093 (HITBC)	China, Yunnan	FJ755415	HQ697084		
Nothaphoebe (1)						
N. umbelliflora (Blume) Blume	Arifiani DA495 (BO)	Indonesia, Java	HQ697191	HQ697088		
Phoebe (6)						
P. chekiangensis C. B. Shang	Li J. & Li L. 20070188 (HITBC)	China, Zhejiang	FJ755407	HQ697128		
P. cuneata (Blume) Blume	Arifiani 40 (MO)	Indonesia, Java	HQ697202	HQ697130		

Taxon	Voucher	Locality	ITS	LEAFY
P. formosana (Hayata) Hayata	Rohwer 156 (MJG)	Germany, Bonn	HQ697205	HQ697136
P. lanceolata (Wall. ex Nees) Nees	Chen J. Q. et al. 2006093 (HITBC)	China, Guangdong	FJ755410	HQ697141
P. glaucifolia S. K. Lee & F. N. Wei	Chen J. Q. et al. 2005002 (HITBC)	China, Yunnan	FJ755409	HQ697150
P. neurantha (Hemsl.) Gamble	Li J. & Li L. 20070214 (HITBC)	China, Zhejiang	HQ697209	HQ697151
Outgroups	·	-		
Actinodaphne (1)				
A. trichocarpa C. K. Allen	Li L. 20070282 (HITBC)	China, Sichuan	HQ697214	HQ697166
Lindera (1)		1		
L. erythrocarpa Makino	Li J. & Li L. 20070203 (HITBC)	China, Zhejiang	HQ697215	HQ697167
Litsea (1)		1		
L. auriculata Chien & Cheng	Li J. & Li L. 20070195 (HITBC)	China, Zhejiang	HQ697217	HQ697174
Neolitsea (1)		1		
N. howii C. K. Allen Li L. & Wang Z. H. 20070379 (HITBC)		China, Hainan	HQ697220	HQ697178



Figure 1. The recorded localities of Alseodaphnopsis hokouensis and A. maguanensis in SE Yunnan, SW China.

The MP and BI analyses of the ITS + *LEAFY* intron II combined dataset generated congruent topologies. The Bayesian consensus tree with MP bootstrap (BS) and Bayesian posterior probability (PP) values is shown in Fig. 2. As in the work of Li et al. (2020), all *Alseodaphnopsis* specimens sampled in the present study formed a well-defined clade (BS 88%, PP 1.00). Within the *Alseodaphnopsis* clade, there are two well-supported subclades which consist of four and five species, respectively. All individuals of *Alseodaphnopsis* hokouensis and *A. maguanensis* formed a strongly-supported clade (BS 100%, PP 1.00), closely



Figure 2. Bayesian consensus tree of ITS + *LEAFY* intron II combined dataset. MP bootstrap (BS \geq 50%) and Bayesian posterior probability (PP \geq 0.95) values are shown above branches. *Act.* = *Actinodaphne*, *Al.* = *Alseodaphne*, *Als.* = *Alseodaphne*, *opsis*, *Deh.* = *Dehaasia*, *Lin.* = *Lindera*, *Lit.* = *Litsea*, *Mac.* = *Machilus*, *Neo.* = *Neolitsea*, *Not.* = *Nothaphoebe*, *Pho.* = *Phoebe*.

related to *A. rugosa*. Within this clade, the individuals of these two species are mixed with each other and their relationships are poorly resolved.

The morphological characters of *Alseodaphnopsis hokouensis*, *A. maguanensis* and closely related *A. rugosa* were compared in detail, based on the data from type specimens and protologues (Li et al. 2008; Li et al. 2020). Morphological comparisons showed that *Alseodaphnopsis maguanensis* is almost the same as *A. hokouensis*, except that the leaves of the former are oblong-obovate or oblong-oblanceolate and those of the latter are elliptic to oblong (Table 2). After carefully checking the specimens collected from field surveys, we found that the leaves of both species can vary from elliptic, oblong to oblong-obvate or oblong-oblanceolate (Fig. 3). They are usually oblong-obvate or oblong-oblanceolate from field surveys, the newly-obtained fruiting specimens of *Alseodaphnopsis hokouensis*, the fruit characters of *Alseodaphnopsis hokouensis* are also consistent with those of *A. maguanensis* (Fig. 3). Additionally, the phenologies and habitats of these two species are quite similar and their distribution areas are adjacent as well (Fig. 1).

 Table 2. Comparison of morphological characters, phenologies, habitats and distributions of Alseodaphnopsis hokouensis, A. maguanensis and A. rugosa.

	A. hokouensis	A. maguanensis	A. rugosa			
Morphological characters						
Branchlet	terete, brownish when dry, striate, glabrous	terete, greyish, wrinkled, glabrous, with lenticels and leaf scars	terete, robust, wrinkled, with dense leaf scars near top			
Terminal bud	subglobose, bud scales broadly ovate, acute at apex, glabrous	subglobose, bud scales broadly ovate, acute at apex, glabrous				
Leaf arrangement	leaves alternate	leaves clustered at apex of branchlet, alternate or subverticillate	leaves inserted at apex of branchlet, dense and nearly verticillate			
Petiole	1.5–3 cm, concave-convex, glabrous	1.5–2.5 cm, concave-convex, glabrous	robust, 1.5–2.5 cm, glabrous			
Leaf blade	elliptic to oblong, 10.5–17 × 4–6.5 cm, subleathery, glabrous on both surfaces, base broadly cuneate to subrounded, apex abruptly shortly acuminate	oblong-obovate or oblong-oblanceolate, 12–32 × 3.5–9 cm, leathery, glabrous on both surfaces, base cuneate, apex shortly acuminate	oblong-obovate or oblong- oblanceolate, 15–36 × 4–10 cm, leathery, glabrous on both surfaces, base cuneate, apex shortly acuminate.			
Leaf veins	mid-rib elevated abaxially, impressed adaxially, lateral veins 9–13 pairs, transverse veins and veinlets densely reticulate, conspicuous on both surfaces when dry	mid-rib elevated abaxially, impressed adaxially, lateral veins 8–12 pairs, veins and veinlets conspicuous, reticulate, elevated on both surfaces when dry	mid-rib conspicuously elevated abaxially, impressed adaxially, veins and veinlets conspicuous, reticulate			
Inflorescence	panicles subterminal or inserted on lower part of young branchlet, 10.5–15 cm, peduncle and rachis glabrous, pedicels 3–4 mm, glabrous	panicles subterminal, 15–20 cm, peduncle glabrous, pedicels 5–8 mm, glabrous	not seen			
Perianth lobes	perianth lobes 6, ovate, slightly acute, subequal, ca. 2 × 1.5 mm, glabrous outside, grey pubescent inside, deciduous	perianth lobes 6, broadly ovate, acute, subequal, outer ones ca. 2 × 1.5 mm, inner ones ca. 2.5 × 2 mm, glabrous outside, white pubescent inside, deciduous	not seen			
Fertile stamens	fertile stamens 9, minute, ca. 1.5 mm in 1 st and 2 nd whorls, ca. 1.7 mm in 3 rd whorl; filaments villous, ca. 0.7 mm in 1 st and 2 nd whorls, ca. 1 mm in 3 rd whorl, those of 3 rd whorl each with 2 stalked orbicular- reniform glands at base, others glandless; anthers of 1 st and 2 nd whorls oblong, almost as long as filament, with introrse cells, those of 3 rd whorl rectangular, with extrorse cells	fertile stamens 9, ca. 2 mm in 1 st and 2 nd whorls, ca. 2.2 mm in 3 rd whorl; filaments villous, those of 3 rd whorl each with 2 shortly-stalked orbicular-cordate glands at base, others glandless; anthers of 1 st and 2 nd whorls ovate, almost as long as filament, cells all introrse, those of 3 rd whorl elliptic, with extrorse cells	not seen			
Staminodes	not seen	ca. 1.5 mm, sagittate, stalked	not seen			
Pistil	ovary ovoid, ca. 1.5 mm, glabrous, attenuate into a ca. 0.5 mm long style; stigma discoid, slightly lobed	ovary ovoid, ca. 1.2 mm, glabrous, attenuate into a ca. 0.8 mm long style; stigma discoid, inconspicuous	not seen			
Infructescence	not seen	subterminal, 10–18 cm, robust, glabrous, with only one or two well-developed fruits	subterminal, ca. 12.5 cm, robust, glabrous			
Fruit	not seen	oblate, $4-5 \times 5-6$ cm, brown when mature; fruit stalk robust, $3-4$ mm in diameter, apex dilated, $5-10$ mm in diameter, sometimes nearly cylindrical, fleshy and warty when fresh	oblate, ca. 2.5 × 3 cm deep purple or black when mature; fruit stalk robust, 5–8 mm in diameter on top, fleshy, red and warty when fresh			
Phenology	flowering in May; fruit unknown	flowering in May–Jun; fruiting in Jul–Sep	flower unknown; fruiting in Jul–Dec (fruits mostly found in Oct–Dec)			
Habitat	evergreen broad-leaved forests; ca. 700 m alt.	tropical montane forests in valleys; ca. 800 m alt.	mixed forests in valleys; 1200– 1300 m alt.			
Distribution	SE Yunnan, China	SE Yunnan, China	Hainan, China			



Figure 3. Alseodaphnopsis hokouensis **A** flowering branchlet **B** fruiting branchlet **C** different shapes of leaf blades. Photographed by Lang Li.

To sum up, the results of both morphological comparison and phylogenetic analysis showed that *Alseodaphnopsis maguanensis* is conspecific with *A. hokouensis*. Therefore, we propose that *Alseodaphnopsis maguanensis* should be treated as a synonym of *A. hokouensis*. The insufficient specimen collection of *Alseodaphnopsis hokouensis*, especially the lack of fruiting specimens, hinders a comprehensive understanding of the species. The same situation is found in many other rare and endemic Lauraceae species in SE Yunnan, such as species of *Alseodaphne, Beilschmiedia* Nees, *Caryodaphnopsis* Airy Shaw, *Cryptocarya* R. Br., *Endiandra* R. Br., *Machilus* Rumph. ex Nees, *Phoebe* Nees and *Syndiclis* Hook. f., etc. (Li et al. 2008). Thus, more field surveys and specimen collections are suggested in SE Yunnan in order to improve the understanding of these rare and endemic Lauraceae species.

Taxonomic treatment

Alseodaphnopsis hokouensis (H. W. Li) H. W. Li & J. Li

- Alseodaphne hokouensis H. W. Li, Act Phytotax. Sin. 17 (2): 71. 1979. Basionym. Type: CHINA. Yunnan Province: Hekou County, 10 May 1953, K. H. Tsai *1039* (holotype: KUN [KUN0047456!]; isotype: KUN [KUN0108581!]).
- = Alseodaphnopsis maguanensis L. Li & J. Li, PhytoKeys 138: 27–39. 2020. syn. nov. Type: CHINA. Yunnan Province: Maguan County, 14 May 2016, Lang Li et al. GLQ26 (holotype: HITBC!).

Revised description. Trees evergreen, up to 20 m tall. Branchlets terete, 3-6 mm in diameter, greyish, striate, glabrous, with lenticels and leaf scars. Terminal buds subglobose, ca. 2 mm in diameter; bud scales broadly ovate, acute at apex, glabrous. Leaves clustered at apex of branchlet, alternate or subverticillate; petiole robust, 2-3 mm thick, 1.5-3 cm long, concave-convex; leaf blade green adaxially, glaucous abaxially when young, but green or pale green when mature, oblong-obovate or oblong-oblanceolate, sometimes elliptic to oblong, 10.5-32 × 3.5-9 cm, subleathery to leathery, glabrous on both surfaces, mid-rib elevated abaxially, impressed adaxially, lateral veins 8-13 pairs, slightly elevated on both surfaces, oblique, evanescent and interconnected near leaf margin, transverse veins and veinlets densely reticulate, conspicuous on both surfaces when dry, base cuneate to broadly cuneate, apex shortly acuminate, sometimes abruptly shortly acuminate. Panicles subterminal, clustered at apex of branchlet, 10.5-20 cm, many-flowered; peduncle branched at middle or above, peduncle and rachis glabrous; bracts and bracteoles linear, ca. 1.5 mm, acute, ciliate, caducous. Pedicels slender, 3-8 mm, slightly dilated on top, glabrous. Flowers small, ca. 2.5 mm. Perianth tube short; perianth lobes 6, broadly ovate, slightly acute, glabrous outside, pubescent inside, subequal, outer ones ca. 2 × 1.5 mm, inner ones ca. 2.5 × 2 mm, deciduous. Fertile stamens 9, minute, 1.5-2 mm in 1st and 2nd whorls, 1.7-2.2 mm in 3rd whorl; filaments villous, 0.7-1 mm in 1st and 2rd whorls, 1-1.2 mm in 3rd whorl, those of 3rd whorl each with 2 shortly stalked orbicular-cordate glands at base, others glandless; anthers of 1st and 2nd whorls ovate, almost as long as filaments, with introrse cells, those of 3rd whorl elliptic, slightly shorter than filaments, with extrorse cells. Staminodes conspicuous, ca. 1.5 mm, sagittate, stalked. Ovary ovoid, 1.2-1.5 mm, glabrous, attenuate into a 0.5-0.8 mm long style; stigma discoid, inconspicuous. Infructescence subterminal, 10-18 cm, robust, glabrous, with one or two well-developed fruits. Fruit oblate, $4-5 \times 5-6$ cm, immature fruit green, brown when mature, fruit stalk robust, 3-4 mm in diameter, apex dilated, 5–10 mm in diameter, sometimes nearly cylindrical, fleshy and warty when fresh.

Phenology. Flowering from May to June and fruiting from July to September.

Distribution and habitat. Hekou County and Maguan County, Yunnan Province, China. Tropical limestone forests in valleys, usually near streams, at an elevation of 150–850 m.

Conservation status. Currently, *Alseodaphnopsis hokouensis* is known from Hekou Country and Maguan Country (Yunnan Province, China) with four populations (Fig. 1). Two populations found in Maguan Country are all located in Gulinqing Provincial Nature Reserve, each with about 20 mature individuals



Figure 4. Different habitats of *Alseodaphnopsis hokouensis* **A** in the forest of the Nature Reserve **B** on the roadside **C** in the strongly disturbed forest near the village.

(Fig. 4). The other two populations found in Hekou Country, each with about 10 mature individuals, are not located in any nature reserve and those individuals mostly occur on the roadsides or in strongly-disturbed forests near the villages (Fig. 4). No individual was found at the type locality, Masike, Nanxi Town, Hekou County. According to the IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria version 15.1 (July 2022), the conservation status of *Alseodaphnopsis hokouensis* is re-evaluated as Critically Endangered (CR, C2a(i)).

Additional specimens examined. CHINA. Yunnan Province: Hekou County, 17 June 2020, Lang Li et al. 2020064 (HITBC); 18 June 2020, Lang Li et al. 2020071 & 2020072 (HITBC); 25 August 2020, Lang Li and Guan-long Cao, 2020135, 2020136, 2020137 & 2020138 (HITBC). 13 May 2022, Lang Li and Dian-yang Zou, 2022027 (HITBC). Maguan County, 19 June 2020, Lang Li et al. 2020082, 2020083 & 2020086 (HITBC); 20 June 2020, Lang Li et al. 2020091, 2020092, 2020093, 2020094, 2020095 & 2020096 (HITBC); 24 August 2020, Lang Li and Guan-long Cao, 2020127 & 2020128 (HITBC); 2 August 2022, Lang Li et al. 2022034, 2022035 & 2022036 (HITBC).

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Xi-bing Guo and Jin-guo Zhang for their kind help during the field surveys and to Jens G. Rohwer for his valuable comments on the manuscript.

Additional information

Conflict of interest

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Ethical statement

No ethical statement was reported.

Funding

This work was financially supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31970222), the Yunnan Fundamental Research Projects (No. 202101AT070067, No. 202201AS070055) and the 14th Five-Year Plan of Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences (XTBG-1450101).

Author contributions

Conceptualization: JL, LL. Data curation: LL, DZ. Formal analysis: DZ, LL. Funding acquisition: JL, LL. Investigation: LL, DZ, YM. Methodology: LL. Project administration: JL, LL. Supervision: JL, LL. Visualization: YM, LL. Writing - original draft: LL. Writing - review and editing: JL, DZ, YM, LL.

Author ORCIDs

Lang Li [®] https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7439-2030 Jie Li [®] https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8067-749X

Data availability

All of the data that support the findings of this study are available in the main text.

References

- Akaike H (1974) A new look at the statistical model identification. IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control 19(6): 716–723. https://doi.org/10.1109/TAC.1974.1100705
- Chanderbali AS, van der Werff H, Renner SS (2001) Phylogeny and historical biogeography of Lauraceae: Evidence from the chloroplast and nuclear genomes. Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden 88(1): 104–134. https://doi.org/10.2307/2666133
- Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R, Posada D (2012) jModelTest 2: More models, new heuristics and parallel computing. Nature Methods 9(8): 772. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nmeth.2109
- Lee SK, Wei FN, Wei YT, Li HW (1979) Materiae ad floram Lauracearum Sinicarum (III). Zhiwu Fenlei Xuebao 17(2): 45–74. https://www.plantsystematics.com/CN/Y1979/ V17/I2/45
- Li J, Li XW (2004) Advances in Lauraceae systematic research on the world scale. Yunnan Zhi Wu Yan Jiu 26(1): 1–11.
- Li HW, Li J, Huang PH, Wei FN, van der Werff H (2008) Lauraceae. In: Wu ZY, Raven PH, Hong DY (Eds) Flora of China, vol. 7. Science Press and Missouri Botanical Garden Press, Beijing, China, St. Louis, Missouri, USA.
- Li L, Li J, Rohwer JG, van der Werff H, Wang ZH, Li HW (2011) Molecular phylogenetic analysis of the *Persea* group (Lauraceae) and its biogeographic implications on the evolution of tropical and subtropical Amphi-Pacific disjunctions. American Journal of Botany 98(9): 1520–1536. https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1100006
- Li L, Tan YH, Meng HH, Ma H, Li J (2020) Two new species of *Alseodaphnopsis* (Lauraceae) from southwestern China and northern Myanmar: Evidence from morphological and molecular analyses. PhytoKeys 138: 27–39. https://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.138.38569

- Mo YQ, Li L, Li JW, Rohwer JG, Li HW, Li J (2017) *Alseodaphnopsis*: A new genus of Lauraceae based on molecular and morphological evidence. PLoS ONE 12(10): e0186545. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186545
- Posada D, Buckley TR (2004) Model selection and model averaging in phylogenetics: Advantages of Akaike information criterion and Bayesian approaches over likelihood ratio tests. Systematic Biology 53(5): 793–808. https://doi. org/10.1080/10635150490522304
- Rohwer JG (2000) Toward a phylogenetic classification of the Lauraceae: Evidence from matK sequences. Systematic Botany 25(1): 60–71. https://doi.org/10.2307/2666673
- Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP (2003) MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) 19(12): 1572–1574. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg180
- Swofford DL (2003) PAUP*: Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and other methods), version 4.0b10. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts, USA.
- van der Werff H, Richter HG (1996) Toward an improved classification of Lauraceae. Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden 83(3): 409–418. https://doi. org/10.2307/2399870
- Yang Y, Liu B (2015) Species catalogue of Lauraceae in China: Problems and perspectives. Shengwu Duoyangxing 23(2): 232–236. https://doi.org/10.17520/biods.2015027