

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Agricultural and Forest Meteorology



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/agrformet

# Drivers of difference in CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions between rubber plantation and tropical rainforest soils

Wenjun Zhou <sup>a,b,c,f</sup>, Jing Zhu <sup>d</sup>, Hongli Ji <sup>e</sup>, John Grace <sup>g</sup>, Liqing Sha <sup>a,b,c,\*</sup>, Qinghai Song <sup>a,b,f</sup>, Yuntong Liu <sup>a,b</sup>, Xiaolong Bai <sup>a,f</sup>, Youxing Lin <sup>a,f</sup>, Jinbo Gao <sup>a,b,f,l</sup>, Xuehai Fei <sup>a,f</sup>, Ruiwu Zhou <sup>a,f,l</sup>, Jianwei Tang <sup>a,b</sup>, Xiaobao Deng <sup>a,c</sup>, Guirui Yu <sup>h</sup>, Junhui Zhang <sup>i</sup>, Xunhua Zheng <sup>f,j</sup>, Junbin Zhao <sup>k</sup>, Yiping Zhang <sup>a,b,c,f,\*</sup>

- <sup>i</sup> Institute of Applied Ecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 72 Wenhua Road, Shenyang 110016, China
- <sup>j</sup> State Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Boundary Layer Physics and Atmospheric Chemistry, Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing

100029, China

<sup>1</sup> Yuxi Normal University, Yuxi, 653100, China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Soil CO<sub>2</sub> CH<sub>4</sub> Drivers Landuse change Difference

#### ABSTRACT

With large area of primary tropical rainforest converted into rubber (*Hevea brasiliensis*) plantation in Southeast Asia, it is necessary to examine the change in soil CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions, and their underlying drivers in tropical rainforest (TRF) and rubber plantation. In TRF and RP in Xishuangbanna Southwest China, we measured the soil CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, temperature, and water content once each week from 2003 to 2008, and twice weeks in 2013 and 2014. Additionally, the concentrations of soil carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) fractions from 2013 to 2014 were observed. Inputs of litter and live, dead, decomposed fine roots dynamics were also included. TRF transplanted to RP did not change significantly the annual soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (TRF, 359 ± 91 and RP 352 ± 41 mg CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) but decreased soil CH<sub>4</sub> uptake significantly (TRF,  $-0.11 \pm 0.18$  mg CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) RP,  $-0.020 \pm 0.087$  mg CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>). The most important influence on soil CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions in the RP was the leaf area index and soil water content, respectively, whereas the soil Water content, soil temperature, and dead fine roots were the most important factors in the TRF. Variations in the soil CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> caused by land-use transition were individually explained by soil temperature and fine root growth and decomposition, respectively. The results show that land-use change varied the soil CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> emission dynamics and drivers by the variation of soil environmental and plant's factors.

#### 1. Introduction

*Hevea brasiliensis* is the major source of natural rubber for the annual production of more than one billion car, truck, and aircraft tires worldwide (Li and Fox, 2012). The rapid expansion in this industry is

driving land use conversion from tropical rainforests to rubber plantations in Southeast Asia, where 97% of the world's natural rubber is produced (FAO, 2013). Rubber production in Southeast Asia increased from just over 300,000 tons in 1961 to more than 5 million tons in 2011 (FAO, 2013), and this trend is likely to be enhanced as the rubber

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2021.108391

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> CAS Key Laboratory of Tropical Forest Ecology, Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Mengla, Yunnan 666303, China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Center of Plant Ecology, Core Botanical Gardens, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Mengla, 666303, China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Xishuangbanna Station for Tropical Rain Forest Ecosystem Studies, Chinese Ecosystem Research Net, Mengla, Yunnan 666303, China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Guangxi Normal University, Guilin 541004, China

e Lushan Botanical Garden, Jiangxi Province and Chinese Academy of Sciences, Jiujiang, 332900, China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup> University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100039, China

g School of GeoSciences, the University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FF, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>h</sup> Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100101, China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>k</sup> Department of Biogeochemistry and Soil Quality, Norwegian Institute of Bioeconomy Research, Høgskoleveien 8, 1433 Ås, Norway

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors at: CAS Key Laboratory of Tropical Forest Ecology, Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Mengla, Yunnan 666303, China.

E-mail addresses: Shalq@xtbg.ac.cn (L. Sha), yipingzh@xtbg.ac.cn (Y. Zhang).

Received 9 February 2020; Received in revised form 2 March 2021; Accepted 3 March 2021 Available online 21 March 2021 0168-1923/© 2021 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

demands keep increasing. While rubber plantations in China, Vietnam, and Thailand are mainly small-holdings, large-scale economic enterprises are dominant in Cambodia, Laos, Indonesia, and Myanmar (Fox and Castella, 2013; Fox et al., 2014). The conversion of rainforests to rubber plantations has many environmental consequences, e.g., decreases in water reserves (Ziegler et al., 2009), exacerbation of seasonal drought (Tan et al., 2011), disrupted local carbon budgets (Song et al., 2014), increases in water-induced soil erosion (Chen et al., 2017), declines in soil productivities and ecosystem biodiversity (Ahrends et al., 2015; Warren-Thomas et al., 2015). The current tendency for rubber plantations to expand may therefore threaten biodiversity and the livelihoods of local inhabitants and may result in ecosystem instability and drought (Song et al., 2017).

The emission of carbon, in the forms of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) and methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) as greenhouse gases (GHG), from the soil into the atmosphere is one of the major pathways of soil carbon loss. Measuring CO2 and CH4 emissions from rubber plantations provides estimates of carbon loss potential due to shifts in soil conditions and plant types as the lands changed from primary tropical forests. Various studies have compared greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from rubber plantation soils to those from primary forest soils over a short-term (<3 years) (Fang and Sha, 2006; Lang et al., 2017; Yan et al., 2008; Lu et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2008; Sha et al., 2005; Zhou et al., 2016a). For example, Lang et al. (2017) found in a 2-year study that rubber plantation soils emitted less CO2 than tropical rainforest soils, and, at the same time, they also consumed less CH<sub>4</sub> which differ to wetland and paddy soil as CH<sub>4</sub> is the prevailing GHGs (Dalal and Allen, 2008; Daniel et al., 2019). Similar results were also reported in the study of Hassler et al (2015). These studies were mostly conducted over a short-term after the land conversion (< 3 years). Over the long-term, plantation characteristics, such as floor diversity, landscape, the understory/soil environment, forest microclimate may vary, and, thus, affect the dynamics of CO2 and CH4 emissions. However, studies after >10 years of land conversion are rare. And therefore, there is a need to quantify and assess soil CO2 and CH4 emissions and the underlying drivers over the long-term after tropical rainforest is converted to rubber plantation.

Variations in soil  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  are influenced by soil temperature, soil moisture (Daniel et al., 2019; Lang et al., 2017; Werner et al., 2006), plant phenology, fine root biomass (Dalal and Allen, 2008), litter inputs (Dou et al., 2016; Gao et al., 2018), pH and microbial dynamics (Lammel et al., 2015; Kooch et al., 2016). In addition, Hassler et al. (2015) found that  $CH_4$  uptake was negatively correlated with net N mineralization rate and soil mineral N content, which may suggest that the soil  $CH_4$  uptake may be enhanced in N-limited rubber plantations as converted from primary forests.

As a tropical rainforest is converted to a rubber plantation, corresponding changes can occur to characteristics of soil, plants, and microbes (Chan et al., 2008; Gao et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2016a). Consequently, the main factors that drive soil CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions may also be altered. At the same time, different management plan and stand age also influences the soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of rubber plantations as indicated by studies carried in different age rubber plantations (Cheng et al., 2007; Wu et al., 2014). Specifically, the length of time over which the rubber plantation has been managed may affect the physical and chemical characteristics of the soil and plant growth dynamics, while the age of the plantation may influence CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes. Therefore, we need to understand how one plantation site affects soil CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes by comparing to a local primary forest and track how the fluxes change over time in both the rubber plantation and the primary forest.

Recent meta-analyses on effects of land use change on GHG emissions (Harris et al., 2015; Shrestha et al., 2014) highlighted soil temperature as the most important drivers of GHG emissions caused by land use change. As most environmental factors are collinearly or unlinearly correlated with each other, there are limited reports on multiple factors (characters of soil physical and chemical, LAI, litter and fine roots etc.) influence on soil  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  fluxes from different land uses and on mechanisms that cause variations in soil  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  fluxes with a change in land use (Gütlein et al. 2017; Wong et al. 2020; Zona et al. 2013). Therefore, it is also necessary to determine how multiple factors contribute to soil  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  fluxes from different land use types, particularly those under the conversion to agroforestry rubber plantations in tropical regions. Until now, most studies have focused on how land use affects emission fluxes and mechanisms that control the emissions. However, controls on variations in GHG emissions between tropical rainforest and rubber plantations, and between other changes in land use or land cover, remain unclear. It is therefore important to determine how the fluxes and dynamics of soil  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  fluxes differ as well as the main factors that drive the differences in emissions between tropical rainforests.

Given that increasing land areas are being converted to rubber plantations in Southeast Asia, it is important to quantify changes in GHG emissions and the underlying drivers that are associated with the land change. In this study, we have measured  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  fluxes from a rubber plantation which has been actively managed for over 10 years. At the same time,  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  fluxes were measured from a local primary tropical rain forest. By comparing the fluxes from the two sites, we aimed to answer 1) how soil  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  emissions change after the land was converted from tropical rainforests to rubber plantations, and 2) are the factors that control  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  fluxes different between the two sites? The results would improve our understanding of the influences of land use change on local soil carbon inventory as well as climate change.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Study site

The studied tropical rainforest (21°56′N, 101°16′E, elevation 720 m a.s.l.) and the managed rubber plantation (21°55′30″N, 101°15′59″E; elevation 580 m a.s.l.) are located in Xishuangbanna, Yunnan Province, Southwest China. In Xishuangbanna, the long-term annual average temperature is 21.7°C and the mean annual precipitation is 1557 mm (Wang & Zhang, 2005). The climate is characterized by a rainy season from May to October with 80% of the precipitation and a dry season from November to March. *Terminalia myriocarpa* and *Pometia tomentosa* are the main species (Cao et al., 1996). The slope angle is between 12° and 18°, and the experimental site soil is mainly composed of oxisol that formed from Cretaceous yellow sandstone. The physical and chemical characteristics of the soil are listed in Table 2.

For the studied rubber plantation, it was converted from a tropical rainforest in 1990 and rubber (*Hevea brasiliensis*) seedlings were planted in May 1993. Trees were planted 2 m apart in rows and either 3 or 19 m apart between rows, resulting in a density of 495 trees per hectare. The rubber plantation is on a 15° slope and is covered with a thick layer of oxisol (see Table 2 for details) (Zhou et al., 2016a). The canopy height of the rubber plantation ranged from 20 to 30 m in 2016. The main rubber-tapping period lasts from May to November each year. The plantation was not irrigated, but fertilizer was applied twice each year in March/April and August/September. In line with local farming practices, 1 kg of mineral fertilizer (Hubei Sanning Chemical, China), containing 15% N as (NH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CO, 15% P as NH<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> and 15% K as KCl in total, was applied to each rubber tree each year, amounting to a rate of 75 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 2.2. Experimental design

We established 6 GHG sampling plots  $(10 \text{ m} \times 10 \text{ m})$  in the tropical rainforest that were randomly selected and located more than 100 m apart to avoid disturbance during sampling. Taking into account fertilizer effect, we also monitored the soil CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes at 14 sites in the rubber plantation, at 4 sites on terraces, 6 sites in the fertilizer trenches (3 during the dry season and 3 during the rainy season), 2 sites

on narrow slopes, and 2 sites on wide slopes.

#### 2.3. Litter production

The litter produced in the rubber plantation and the rainforest was collected in 40 circular litter traps made of nylon (1.0 mm mesh, 0.25 m<sup>2</sup>) (Gao et al., 2018). The litter in the traps was collected each month on days of  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  observations.

#### 2.4. Fine roots

We established three 10  $\times$  10 m plots at each study site, each of which contained ten subplots as replicates. Soil was sampled to a depth of 20 cm every 3 months at each site using a soil corer with a diameter of 8 cm. Samples were sieved (2 mm mesh) to capture fine roots (defined as having a diameter of < 2 mm). The fine roots were classified as live or dead, and were sorted according to colour, elasticity, and shape. They were then dried in an oven (80°C) and weighed to estimate the fine root biomass in a unit area (g m $^{-2}$ ) as follows:

Fine root biomass = Fine root biomass of soil core 
$$\times \frac{10^3}{\pi \times \left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^2}$$
 (1)

where d is the inner diameter (cm) of the soil corer (Fang and Sha, 2005).

#### 2.5. Fine root growth

In each plot, six parallel sampling lines were established in both sites and, along the line, six sample points were defined with a fixed distance between each other. At each sampling point, a 10-cm diameter hole (depth = 5 cm) was made with a soil corer. A nylon bag filled with soil (without roots) was placed into each hole at the beginning of the experiment and then collected afterward every two months. The fine root growth was calculated from the biomass of living roots extracted from the bags (Fang and Sha, 2005).

#### 2.6. Fine root decomposition

Fine roots were first collected from both the study sites and then washed, oven-dried at 80°C, and cut into 5 cm sections. We then placed these fine roots into  $15 \times 20$  cm nylon bags (36 bags in total at per site) with 5.0 g roots each and buried in the soil at a 10 cm depth at the corresponding site. Six of the incubated fine root bags were sampled randomly every two months. The decomposed fine root biomass was calculated as the amount of fine root biomass lost between two sampling occasions.

#### 2.7. Measurement of CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> effluxes

Static opaque chamber tops (Zhou et al., 2016a; Gao et al., 2018) were used to measure the GHG effluxes at weekly intervals in the tropical rainforest from 2003 to 2008 and from 2013 to 2014, and in the rubber plantation from 2004 to 2008 and from 2012 to 2014. A chamber base collar made of PVC casing that covered an area of  $0.12 \text{ m}^2$  was inserted to a soil depth of 0.10 m at the centre of each plot. The chamber base collars were kept in place throughout the entire measurement period. Open-top-chambers, each with a base area of  $0.12 \text{ m}^2$  and a height of 0.2 m, were fixed onto the base collars. The chambers were closed and sealed with a PVC lid during flux measurements which were conducted between 09:00 and 11:00 am. Gas samples were collected with 100-ml gas-tight syringes 0, 15, 30, 45, and 60 min after the chambers were determined within 24 h after the sample collection using a gas chromatograph (Agilent 4890D, Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto,

California, USA) equipped with an electron capture detector. For details of the  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  analysis method, see Zheng et al. (2008). We used the method described by Zhou et al. (2016a) and Gao et al. (2018) to calculate the efflux of soil  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$ :

$$\mathbf{F} = \rho \frac{V}{A} \frac{P}{P_0} \frac{T_0}{T} \frac{dC_t}{dt}$$
(2)

where F is the observed gas efflux ( $\mu g m^{-2} h^{-1}$ );  $\rho$  is the observed gas density at the test temperature ( $\mu g m^{-3}$ ); *V* is the volume of the chamber ( $m^3$ ); *A* is the area of ground covered by the chamber ( $m^2$ ); *T* and *P* are the air temperature (°C) and atmospheric pressure (hPa) in the field at the time of sampling, respectively;  $T_0$  and  $P_0$  are the air temperature and atmospheric pressure under standard conditions, respectively ( $T_0 = 25^{\circ}$ C,  $P_0 = 1013$  hPa); and  $C_t$  is the observed gas concentration of the mixed volume ratio of gases in the chamber at time *t* (10<sup>-6</sup>).

#### 2.8. Measurement of soil physico-chemical properties

During the gas sampling, the corresponding soil volumetric water content (0–12 cm soil depth) was determined with a time-domain reflectometry (TDR100, Campbell Scientific, USA) in the soil at the gas sampling plot. At the same time, soil (0 – 5 cm) and air temperatures were recorded with a needle thermometer.

Throughout the study period, soil samples ( $\sim 200$  g) were collected at a depth of 0 – 20 cm each month from a location close to the static chambers using a stainless-steel auger (3 cm in diameter). The soils were immediately passed through a 2 - mm sieve to remove roots, gravel, and stones in the lab. The soil samples were mixed with a 2.0 mol  $L^{-1}$  KCL solution at a soil: water ratio of 1:10 and shaken for 1 h at 160 rpm. The soil suspension was then filtered and the ammonia-nitrogen (NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub>-N) and nitrate-nitrogen (NO3-N) concentrations were determined with a continuous flow auto-analyser (AutoAnalyzer 3; Germany). A portion of each of the treated soil samples was combined to make a composite sample for analyses of microbial biomass nitrogen (MBN), microbial biomass carbon (MBC), dissolved organic carbon (DOC), and total dissolved nitrogen (TDN). Soil MBC and MBN were determined with the chloroform fumigation-extraction method (Wu et al., 1990). Four replicate samples of each treated soil (7.0 g) were fumigated with ethanol-free chloroform for 24 h at  $25^{\circ}$ C in a sealed incubator in the dark to completely removed chloroform. At the same time, three samples of each treated soil (7.0 g) were left unfumigated. Both the fumigated and unfumigated samples were mixed with 35 ml of freshly prepared 0.05 M K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (soil: water ratio of 1:5), capped, and shaken at 300 rpm for 1 h. The suspensions were then centrifuged for 10 min at 5000 g and the supernatants were filtered through 0.45-µm nitrocellulose membrane filters (Pall Life Science Company, Beijing, China). The dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and dissolved nitrogen (DN) concentrations of the filtered samples were determined using Pt-catalysed high-temperature combustion (680°C) and a total organic carbon/total nitrogen analyser (LiquiTOC II, Elementar Analyzer System, Germany). The concentrations of DOC and TDN on the unfumigated filters were determined, and MBC and MBN were taken as the differences in the DOC and TDN concentrations between the unfumigated and fumigated filters, respectively. Mineral N was the sum of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>–N and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>–N, and dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) was the difference between TDN and mineral N. All the soil analyses were completed within 48 hours after the soil sampling.

#### 2.9. Calculations

As the GHG fluxes measured at the rubber plantation covered different treatments and terrains, the mean GHG fluxes was weighed by the areas of terrence, fertilizer trench and slope as follows:

W. Zhou et al.

$$F = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{i} (a_i \times F_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{i} a_i}$$
(3)

where *F* is the flux of CO<sub>2</sub> (mg m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) or CH<sub>4</sub> (µg m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>),  $a_i$  is the treatment area (ha),  $F_i$  is the CO<sub>2</sub> or CH<sub>4</sub> flux under a specific treatment, and *i* is the treatment.

Compared to the tropical rain forest, the changes at the rubber plantation in all studied variables, including  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  effluxes, soil temperature, soil water content,  $NO_3^--N$ ,  $NH_4^+-N$ , mineral N, DON, MBN, DOC, MBC, litter biomass, leaf area index (LAI), fine root growth, live fine roots, dead fine roots, and decomposed fine roots were calculated as follows:

$$Change \% = \frac{F_{Rp} - F_{TRF}}{F_{TRF}} \times 100\%$$
(4)

where  $F_{RP}$  and  $F_{TRF}$  indicate the parameter measured from the rubber plantation and tropical rainforest, respectively.

#### 2.10. Statistical analyses

Mixed effect linear models was used to detect differences between

the rubber plantation and the tropical rainforest for variables including monthly soil  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  effluxes, soil temperature, soil water content,  $NO_3^--N$ ,  $NH_4^+-N$ , mineral N, DON, MBN, DOC, MBC, litter biomass, LAI, fine root growth, live fine roots, dead fine roots, and decomposed fine roots. Months were included in the models as a random effect. Pearson correlation analysis was used to determine the relationships between the monthly  $CO_2$  or  $CH_4$  efflux and environmental variables including soil temperature, soil water content,  $NO_3^--N$ ,  $NH_4^+-N$ , mineral N, DON, MBN, DOC, MBC, litter biomass, LAI, fine root growth, live fine roots, dead fine roots and decomposed fine roots. Stepwise linear regression analysis was used to explore the controls on the monthly  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$ effluxes. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used for normality of all the data. Data are presented as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). SPSS 16.0 statistical software was used for data analysis.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Effects of land use change on environmental parameters

Both soil water content (0 - 10 cm) and temperatures (0 - 5 cm) were higher in the rainy season than in the dry season in tropical rainforest.



Fig. 1. Soil temperature at 5 cm depth (a) and soil water content (V/V%) at 0–10 cm depth (b) in a tropical rainforest (black circles) and a rubber plantation (open circles) in Xishuangbanna. Monthly mean values (± SD) over 2003-2008 and 2012-2014 are presented.

#### Table 1

Summary of the analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the mixed effects models for soil C and N fractions and the biomass of litter and fine roots in a tropical rainforest (TRF) and a rubber plantation (RP) in Xishuangbanna.

| Parameters  | TRF                              | RP   | F    | р       | Parameters                                       | TRF                              | RP                      | F     | р       |
|---|----------------------------------|--|------|---------|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|---------|
| Dissolved organic carbon (mg C $kg^{-1}$ )        | $99.7 \pm 27.8$                  | $\begin{array}{c} 474.9 \pm \\ 29.0 \end{array}$ | 87.2 | < 0.001 | Litter biomass (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )            | $801\pm119$                      | $784 \pm 119$           | 0.01  | 0.906   |
| Microbial biomass carbon (mg C kg <sup>-1</sup> ) | $1963 \pm 120$                   | $1708 \pm 125$                                   | 2.4  | 0.147   | Leaf area index(m <sup>2</sup> m <sup>-2</sup> ) | $6.1\pm0.2$                      | $3.1\pm0.2$             | 254.8 | < 0.001 |
| Dissolved nitrogen (mgN kg <sup>-1</sup> )        | $\textbf{46.4} \pm \textbf{3.6}$ | $\textbf{25.8} \pm \textbf{3.8}$                 | 16.6 | 0.002   | Growth of fine root (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )       | $\textbf{334} \pm \textbf{79.4}$ | $122 \pm \textbf{79.4}$ | 3.6   | 0.072   |
| Microbial biomass nitrogen (mg N                  | $\textbf{241.2} \pm$             | $\textbf{66.3} \pm \textbf{28.3}$                | 22.0 | 0.001   | Living fine root (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )          | $3697 \pm 215$                   | $1462\pm215$            | 54.1  | < 0.001 |
| kg <sup>-1</sup> )                                | 27.1                             |  |      |         |  |                                  |                         |       |         |
| $NH_4^+$ -N (mg N kg <sup>-1</sup> )              | $\textbf{4.9} \pm \textbf{0.6}$  | $10.2\pm0.6$                                     | 43.8 | < 0.001 | Dead fine root (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )            | $\textbf{483} \pm \textbf{44.3}$ | $238 \pm 44.3$          | 15.2  | 0.001   |
| $NO_3^N$ (mg N kg <sup>-1</sup> )                 | $\textbf{5.4} \pm \textbf{0.9}$  | $\textbf{5.3} \pm \textbf{0.9}$                  | 0.01 | 0.923   | Total fine root (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )           | $4180\pm203$                     | $1700\pm203$            | 74.7  | < 0.001 |
| Dissolved organic nitrogen (mg N                  | $\textbf{36.1} \pm \textbf{3.5}$ | $11.4\pm3.6$                                     | 24.7 | < 0.001 | Decomposed fine root (kg                         | $\textbf{49.5} \pm \textbf{9.6}$ | $17.5\pm9.6$            | 6.7   | 0.025   |
| kg <sup>-1</sup> )                                |                                  |  |      |         | $ha^{-1}$ )                                      |                                  |                         |       |         |



Fig. 2. Soil NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub>–N (a), NO<sup>-</sup><sub>3</sub>–N (b), mineral N (c), MBN (d), DON (e), DN (f), DOC (g) and MBC (h) in a tropical rainforest (black circles) and a rubber plantation (open circles) in Xishuangbanna. Monthly mean values over 2003-2008 and 2012-2014 are presented.

However soil temperature and soil water content was bimodal dynamic with the highest in June and August respectively in rubber plantation (Fig. 1). The annual average soil water content (RP:  $34.9 \pm 2.5\%$ , TRF:  $20.9 \pm 3.9\%$ , F = 220.6, p < 0.001) and soil temperature (RP:  $20.9 \pm 1.4^{\circ}$ C, TRF:  $19.6 \pm 2.8^{\circ}$ C, F = 5.8, p = 0.035) were significantly higher in the rubber plantation than in the tropical rainforest, and the differences were greater in the dry season than in the rainy season (Fig. 1 a, b).

TRF: and DON, showed different seasonal patterns, which reached maximum values in July (Fig. 2). Overall, the soil DOC, MBC, DN, MBN,  $NH_4^+-N$ , gher  $NO_3^--N$ , Mineral N, and DON showed strong fluctuations across the seasons in the rubber plantation but were relatively stable in the rainforest (Fig. 2).

The concentrations of DOC,  $NH_4^+$ –N, and mineral N were higher, and those of MBC, DN, MBN, and DON were lower, in the rubber plantation

The litter input to the soil was higher in the rainy season than in the dry season and in the tropical rainforest than in the rubber plantation,

than in the rainforest (Table 1). The differences between tropical rain-

forest and rubber plantation in the soil C and N fractions, except DOC



**Fig. 3.** Litter biomass (a), LAI (b), fine root growth (c), dead fine roots (d), live fine roots (e), total fine roots (f) and decomposed fine roots (g) in a tropical rainforest (black circles) and a rubber plantation (open circles) in Xishuangbanna. Monthly mean values ( $\pm$  SD) over 2003-2008 and 2012-2014 are presented.

but the annual sums were similar between the two sites (Fig. 3a; Table 1). All other biotic variables, including LAI, fine root growth, live fine roots, dead fine roots, total fine roots, and decomposed fine roots, were generally lower in the rubber plantation than in the tropical rainforest, and the difference were more pronounced during the dry season than in the rainy season (Fig. 3).

#### 3.2. Effect of land use change on soil CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions

The soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux followed a unimodal pattern in both ecosystems with peaks exhibited in the rainy season (Fig. 4a; Fig. 5). However, the peak occurred much later in the tropical rainforest than in the rubber plantation (Fig. 4a). The annual mean CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes were similar between the two sites (359.95  $\pm$  92.11 and 351.99  $\pm$  41.29 mg CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> in the rainforest and rubber plantation, respectively). The annual soil CO<sub>2</sub> emission was 11.53  $\pm$  2.92 t C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> and 11.30  $\pm$  1.32 t ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in tropical rainforest and rubber plantation respectively (Table 2).

Soil CH<sub>4</sub> sink was significantly higher in tropical rainforest (-12.83  $\pm$  -12.8kg C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) than rubber plantation (-2.34  $\pm$  2.34n kg C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) (F = 56.6, p < 0.001). Across the studied period, soil was sinks for CH<sub>4</sub> except August in the rubber plantation (Fig. 4b; Fig. 5b). The CH<sub>4</sub> consumptions in the rubber plantation (-0.020  $\pm$  0.087 mg CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) were overall significantly lower than in the tropical rainforest (-0.11  $\pm$  0.18 mg CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>). It is noteworthy that rubber plantation

exhibited a strong seasonal variation in the  $CH_4$  fluxes with a peak during the rainy season, while the tropical rainforest site showed no clear seasonality.

There was not significant difference in global warming potential (GWP) of soil  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  between tropical rainforest and rubber plantation (Table 2).

## 3.3. Drivers of the soil $CO_2$ and $CH_4$ difference between rubber plantation and tropical rainforest

There were more environment factors significantly correlated with  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  fluxes in the tropical rainforest than in the rubber plantation (Table 3). The differences in  $CO_2$  emissions between the two sites were positively correlated with litter biomass, soil water content, soil temperature, decomposed fine roots, DN, and MBN, and was negatively correlated with LAI, live fine roots, fine root growth, and total fine roots. The differences between TRF and RP in the  $CH_4$  flux were negatively correlated with fine root growth and live fine roots, and positively correlated with soil temperature (Table 3).

The linear stepwise regressions showed that soil CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes were driven by different factors in the two sites. For the soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux, LAI ( $R^2 = 0.31$ ) and SWC ( $R^2 = 0.84$ ) was the main control in rubber plantation and tropical rainforest separately. For the soil CH<sub>4</sub> flux, SWC was the main control of the CH<sub>4</sub> flux ( $R^2 = 0.56$ ), followed by the dead



Fig. 4. Soil CO<sub>2</sub> (a) and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes (b) in a tropical rainforest (black circles) and a rubber plantation (open circles) at Xishuangbanna. Monthly mean values ( $\pm$  SD) over 2003-2008 and 2012-2014 are presented.

fine roots; together, SWC and dead fine roots explained 87% of the CH<sub>4</sub> flux variance in rubber forest. In the rainforest, soil temperature and dead fine roots together explained 79% of the variantion in the CH<sub>4</sub> flux.

Linear regression models for the flux differences between the two sites showed that the soil temperature explained 76% of the variance in the  $CO_2$  flux differences. By adding soil water content, dead fine roots and litter biomass to the regression, up to 97% of the variance in the  $CO_2$ flux differences was explained. For the  $CH_4$  flux differences between the tropical rainforest and rubber plantation, fine root growth was the most important factor which explained 47% of the variance (Table 4).

#### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1. Soil CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes

The annual soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the tropical rainforest were not

significantly higher than those in the rubber plantation (Table 2). Soil  $CO_2$  emissions in the rubber plantation showed a significant seasonality, which is in line with the previous studies in south Asia (Lang et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2015; Fang and Sha, 2006; Lu et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2008; Sha et al., 2005; Fang et al., 2010) (Table S1). The observed  $CO_2$  flux differences between the tropical rainforest and the rubber plantation soils was much smaller than those reported by Lu et al. (2009), Lang et al. (2017) and Fang and Sha (2006), which found higher  $CO_2$  emissions from the rubber plantation than from the tropical rainforest in Xishuangbanna, possibly due to the physical and chemical characteristics of the study sites and also the observation methods. Our measurements spanned over the period 2003-2014, representing 8 years of intensive monitoring (Fig. 4, Fig. 5). Our results present the average seasonal patterns in soil  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  fluxes that minimized the effect of inter-annual variations.

As the main carbon substrates, SOC concentrations were higher in



Fig. 5. Temporal variations in monthly soil CO<sub>2</sub> (a) and CH<sub>4</sub> (b) fluxes in a tropical rainforest and a rubber plantation in Xishuangbanna during 2003-2008 and 2012-2014.

Table 2

Global warming potential of soil  $\rm CO_2$  and  $\rm CH_4$  in tropical rainforest and rubber plantation in Xishuangbanna.

|                        | Annual flux                |  | GWP (1 $	imes$ 1                                 | GWP (1 $	imes$ 10 <sup>3</sup> )                          |  |  |
|------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| Forest                 | $CO_2 t C ha^{-1} yr^{-1}$ | CH4kg C ha <sup>-1</sup><br>yr <sup>-1</sup> | 20 years   | 100 years   |  |  |
| Tropical<br>rainforest | $11.53 \pm 2.92$           | $-12.83 \pm 21.02$                           | $\begin{array}{c} 11.42 \pm \\ 3.10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 11.49 \pm \\ \textbf{2.99} \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Rubber<br>plantation   | $11.30\pm1.32$             | $-2.34\pm10.16$                              | $\begin{array}{c} 11.29 \pm \\ 1.35 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 11.30 \pm \\ 1.35 \end{array}$          |  |  |

The global warming potential (GWP) inclusion of climate–carbon feedbacks of  $CH_4$  is 86 and 34 times that of  $CO_2$  over a period of 20 and 100 years respectively (Myhre et al., 2013).

Where  $GWP_{20}$  and  $GWP_{100}$  indicates the GWP during 20 and 100 years for summary of the  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4.$ 

the rubber plantation than in the tropical rainforest (Tang et al., 2007), but this difference did not result in a higher  $CO_2$  emissions from the rubber plantation than from the tropical rainforest (Fig. 4a). This is likely because the amount of chemical fertilizer that was added to the rubber plantation did not change the soil C mineralization significantly. By contrast, previous studies found that land use change has an effect on soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to fertilizer application (de Urzedo et al., 2013; Gütlein et al., 2017; Shrestha et al., 2014; Wong et al., 2020; Zona et al., 2013).

The annual soil  $CO_2$  fluxes of the tropical rainforest and the rubber plantation in this study differ from those reported from other studies in Xishuangbanna (Fang and Sha, 2006; Fang et al., 2010; Lang et al., 2017; Lu et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2008; Sha et al., 2005) (Table S1). This difference may due to the fact that the studies were carried out in different sites in Xishuangbanna with great differences in soil characteristics, especially in soil temperature without considering land use types. And furthermore, the soil  $CO_2$  emissions from the tropical rainforest in this study are lower than those reported by Lang et al. (2017) in Xishuangbanna, and by other researchers in South Asia (de Urzedo et al., 2013; Hassler et al., 2015; Mohd Kusin et al., 2015; Shrestha et al., 2014). Our results are in line with Lang et al. (2017), which reported an increase in annual soil  $CO_2$  flux along with the increasing annual mean temperature and precipitation.

Previous studies have reported that soil temperature is the main driver of soil CO<sub>2</sub> dynamics, followed by soil water content (Oertel et al., 2016). We found that had the greatest influence on soil CO<sub>2</sub> dynamics in both the tropical rainforest and rubber plantation. As LAI is positively

#### Table 3

Statistically significant correlations between soil  $CO_2/CH_4$  fluxes and environmental parameters in a tropical rainforest (TRF) and a rubber plantation (RP) in Xishuangbanna.

|                                 | TRF             |                 | RP              |                 | Difference between TRF and RP in percentage <sup>a</sup> |                 |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|-----------------|
|                                 | CO <sub>2</sub> | CH <sub>4</sub> | CO <sub>2</sub> | CH <sub>4</sub> | CO <sub>2</sub>  | CH <sub>4</sub> |
| Litter biomass                  |                 |                 |                 |                 | 0.509*   |                 |
| LAI                             |                 |                 | 0.618**         | 0.561*          | -0.847***  |                 |
| Growth fine root                |                 |                 |                 |                 | -0.710***  | -0.721***       |
| Living fine roots               | -0.682**        |                 |                 |                 | -0.755***  | -0.533*         |
| Died fine roots                 |                 |                 | -0.599**        |                 |  |                 |
| Total fine roots                | -0.682**        |                 |                 |                 | -0.743***  |                 |
| Decomposed fine roots           | 0.686**         | 0.760***        |                 |                 | 0.522*   |                 |
| SWC                             | 0.926***        | 0.789***        |                 | 0.765***        | 0.816***   |                 |
| T5                              | 0.915***        | 0.838***        |                 |                 | 0.884***   | 0.657**         |
| DOC                             |                 |                 |                 |                 |  |                 |
| MBC                             | 0.574*          |                 |                 | 0.698**         |  |                 |
| DN                              | 0.711***        | 0.511*          |                 |                 | 0.575*   |                 |
| MBN                             | 0.878***        | 0.662**         |                 |                 | 0.538*   |                 |
| NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N |                 |                 |                 | 0.677**         |  |                 |
| NO <sub>3</sub> -N              | 0.643**         | 0.633**         |                 |                 |  |                 |
| DON                             | 0.704**         |                 |                 |                 |  |                 |

\*\*\* statistically significant at the 0.01 level,

\*\* statistically significant at the 0.05 level,

\* statistically significant at the 0.1 level.

<sup>a</sup> (RP – TRF)/TRF  $\times$  100.

#### Table 4

Results of stepwise linear regression for  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  fluxes with soil C, N fractions, soil water content, soil temperature, litter fall, LAI, died and growth roots as independent variables in a tropical rainforest and a rubber plantation in Xishuangbanna.

|  | CO <sub>2</sub>   |                |            | CH <sub>4</sub>                            |                |            |  |
|--|---|----------------|------------|--|----------------|------------|--|
|  | Equation  | $\mathbb{R}^2$ | р          | Equation                                   | $\mathbb{R}^2$ | р          |  |
| TRF  | -100.2 +<br>22.0 SWC  | 0.84           | <<br>0.001 | -0.3 +<br>0.01 T <sub>5</sub>              | 0.67           | <<br>0.001 |  |
|  | -15.2 + 14.6<br>SWC + 0.3<br>MBN                                  | 0.90           | <<br>0.001 | -0.2 +<br>0.007 T <sub>5</sub> -<br>0.2DFR | 0.79           | <<br>0.001 |  |
| RP   | 229.9 + 37.2<br>LAI   | 0.31           | 0.034      | -0.04 +<br>0.01 SWC                        | 0.56           | 0.009      |  |
|  |   |                |            | -0.04 +<br>0.01 SWC<br>-0.0001<br>DFR      | 0.87           | <<br>0.001 |  |
| Difference<br>between<br>TRF and RP<br>(%) | -11.4 + 1.8<br>T <sub>5</sub>                                     | 0.76           | <<br>0.001 | -81.8 -<br>0.3GFR                          | 0.47           | 0.03       |  |
|  | -28.1 + 7.7<br>T <sub>5</sub> + 0. 3<br>SWC                       | 0.84           | <<br>0.001 |  |                |            |  |
|  | -60.5 + 0.7<br>T <sub>5</sub> + 0.7 SWC<br>- 0.2 DFR              | 0.94           | <<br>0.001 |  |                |            |  |
|  | -70.0 + 0.8<br>T <sub>5</sub> + 0.8 SWC<br>- 0.2 DFR -<br>0.06 LB | 0.97           | <<br>0.001 |  |                |            |  |

Note: acronym for terms

SWC, soil water content; MBN, Microbial biomass nitrogen; LAI, Leaf area index;  $T_5$ , Soil temperature at 5 cm depth; DFRB, Dead fine root biomass; LB, litter biomass; GFR, Growth fine root biomass; TRF, Tropical rainforest; RP, Rubber plantation

link to photosynthesis and then its effect on autotrophic respiration (Zhou et al., 2008). Furthermore, photosynthesis also regulate root excreta which will supply subtracts for heterotrophic respiration (Lu et al., 2009). Thus, LAI has the direct correlation with soil respiration and soil water content, but not with soil temperature. As soil temperature has greater influence on soil  $CO_2$  with LAI due to annual variation, of soil water content which is greater than soil temperature (Fig. 1).

However, other studies in similar settings concluded that soil temperature is the most important control on soil  $CO_2$  emissions (Fang and Sha, 2006; Lang et al., 2017; Lu et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2008; Sha et al., 2005). This indicates that causes of the flux differences may be due to other different environmental conditions between the sites.

Due to a stronger seasonality in the precipitation than in the temperature in Xishuangbanna, soil water content at both sites exhibited a stronger seasonal variation than soil temperature (Fig. 1). This seasonal soil water content variation also showed a greater influence on the  $CO_2$ flux than soil temperature in this tropical rainforest. Heterotrophic respiration has been reported as the main contributor to soil  $CO_2$  production in tropical rainforests (Zhou et al., 2016b), accounting for 74% of the  $CO_2$  fluxes. Soil heterotrophic respiration is mainly controlled by soil microbes, phenology of fine root growth and litter decomposition and these processes tended to have similar seasonal dynamics with soil temperature and soil water content (Zhou et al., 2016b). Thus, soil water content is the most important factors for soil respiration in this tropical rainforest.

In the rubber plantation, the area-weighted mean value of soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux used in the present study differ from the values documented in other studies (Fang and Sha, 2006; Lang et al., 2017; Lu et al., 2009). These previous studies did not consider differences in topography throughout the rubber plantation. Management activities (e.g., weeding, pesticide application, tapping, and fertilization) disturb surface soil and influence soil microbial activity in a rubber plantation. We found a lower sensitivity of soil CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes to changes in temperature and soil water content in the rubber plantation than in the tropical rainforest (Tables 2 and 3). Because of the intensive management and trampling by farmers during the rubber tapping period, the soil is more tightly packed in the rubber plantation than in the tropical rainforest. In addition, there is more seasonal variation in soil erosion in the rubber plantation than in the tropical rainforest (Chen et al., 2017). Consequently, more seasonal variation in the soil carbon substrate is present in the rubber plantation than in the tropical rainforest (Zhang et al., 2010). The ratio of autotrophic respiration to total soil respiration for rubber trees was 42% (Lu et al., 2009), which is higher than the value of 27% reported by Zhou et al. (2016b) in a tropical rainforest in the same region of Xishuanbanna. Soil respiration was also controlled in part by photosynthesis, as indicated by the significant effect of LAI on the  $CO_2$  flux (Tables 3, 4). More factors (e.g., soil temperature, soil moisture, fine roots, and different soil C and N fractions) contributed to soil CO2 emissions in the

tropical rainforest than in the rubber plantation (Table 3), which again confirms that soil  $CO_2$  emission mechanisms differ when the tropical rainforest was replaced by the rubber plantation in Xishuangbanna.

As regional differences are mainly attributable to differences in soil temperature (Table S1; Lang et al., 2017), the difference in soil temperature explained 76% of the seasonal variations in the soil  $CO_2$  emissions percentage change between the tropical rainforest and the rubber plantation. Adding soil water content, dead fine roots and litter biomass, the  $CO_2$  emission differences were explained by up to 97% (Table 3), indicating that fresh labile C inputs through litters influence the soil  $CO_2$  fluxes (Zhou et al., 2015). This also indicates that dead fine roots substantially contribute to the soil  $CO_2$  production (Zhou et al., 2008; Zhou et al., 2016a). In summary, with the limitation of linked knowledge in variations of characteristics of the environment and substrates, to disclose the drive mechanisms of spatial heterogeneity in soil  $CO_2$  fraction emission after land use change should be concerned in future studies.

#### 4.2. Soil CH<sub>4</sub> flux

The annual means of CH<sub>4</sub> sinks in the tropical rainforest (-12.83  $\pm$  21.02 kg C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) is stronger than rubber plantation (-2.34  $\pm$  10.16 kg C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 2, Fig. 4). These results agree with most previous studies that studied CH<sub>4</sub> flux in rubber plantations (Lang et al., 2017; Fang et al., 2010; Hassler et al., 2015) in Xishuangbanna. By contrast, Ishizuka et al. (2005) found higher CH<sub>4</sub> sink in a rubber plantation than in a tropical rainforest with loam Acrisol. This may indicate that the sink strength of a rubber plantation for CH<sub>4</sub> depends on the physical and chemical characteristics of the soil. Overall, considering soil CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> contribute to the 20-year and 100 year GWP, soil CH<sub>4</sub> sink is far more less than soil CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> contributions to the GWP are similar without considering tropical rainforest and rubber plantation carbon cycle (Table 2).

After tropical rainforest is converted to a rubber plantation, the rate of soil to uptake  $CH_4$  decreases (Fig. 4B), possibly because of changes in the soil water content, soil temperature, dead fine roots and fine roots growth (Tables 2, 3). These changes in the environmental factors are generally reflections of the more intensive management activities (e.g., fertilizer application) after the forest conversion, as discussed earlier. Due to the human interferences, less environmental factors were found to be correlated with  $CH_4$  fluxes in the rubber plantation than in the tropical rainforest (Table 3).

In the tropical rainforest, the soil CH<sub>4</sub> flux is affected by a combination of soil temperature, soil DN, MBN, NO3 -N, DON, and decomposed fine roots. Among the factors, soil temperature was the most important control (Table 3). By contrast, soil water content was the most important control in the rubber plantation, reflecting the different soil physico-chemical characteristics caused by distinctive vegetation composition and management practices of the two ecosystems. Since soil water content was less than 30% in the tropical rainforest and the soil contained more gravel particles in the surface layer than that in the rubber plantation soil (Tang et al., 2007), the soil oxygen content was likely higher in the tropical rainforest than in the rubber plantation. With a better soil aerobic condition, soil water content was less a limiting factor for CH<sub>4</sub> consumption/production in the tropical rainforest and soil temperature played a dominant role in controlling methanotrophic/methanogenic activities (Table 4). Soil methanotrophic communities were more abundant and active when soil temperature was higher, leading to more CH<sub>4</sub> uptake (Dunfield et al., 1993). In the rubber plantation, soil water content was generally higher than that in the rainforest (Fig. 1), making water content the primary control on the CH<sub>4</sub> flux. This result is in line with Fang et al. (2010) and Werner et al. (2006) and this may relate to lower soil porosity (Chen et al., 2017) and a heavy soil structure. Net CH4 fluxes (emission or uptake) are the result of both CH4 consumption and production. When soil water

content is high,  $CH_4$  consumption is inhibited and production is promoted, which results in smaller net  $CH_4$  uptake rates and weakens  $CH_4$ sink.Under a high moist condition in the rainy season, the rubber plantation can even turn into a  $CH_4$  source in August (Fig. 1, 4b) as  $CH_4$ productions exceeded consumptions.

Production of CH<sub>4</sub> in the soil is also influenced by soil mineral N. The  $NO_{3}^{-}N$  concentrations in the tropical rainforest, which were slightly higher than those of NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub>–N, may have influenced the seasonal dynamics of CH<sub>4</sub> according to Fang et al. (2010) and Lang et al. (2017). In addition, soil MBN and DN, but not NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N, were strongly correlated with soil CH<sub>4</sub> flux in the tropical rainforest, suggesting that methanogens do not compete for electrons with nitrate, ferric iron, or sulphate reducers (Chidthai-song and Conrad, 2000). This may also explain that CH<sub>4</sub> uptake decreased with the increase in soil NO<sub>3</sub>-N in the tropical rainforest (Table 3). In agreement with King and Schnell (1994), only the soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>–N was significantly and positively correlated with soil CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes. As suggested by King and Schnell (1994), the inhibition of NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub>–N on CH<sub>4</sub> consumption may intensify as the CH<sub>4</sub> concentration increases, because of competitive inhibition of  $NH_4^+$ –N oxidation to  $NO_2^-$ , resulting in a negative relationship between CH<sub>4</sub> consumption and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N (Kiese et al., 2003).

Plant growth, above- and below-ground litter decomposition have significant influences on soil carbon emissions as they reduce the activity carbon supply for soil microbes, which then influence the soil CH4 flux. In our study, we found that dead fine roots provided organic matter for microbes and that most of the decomposition occurred under aerobic conditions, such that the oxides competed for CH<sub>4</sub>, resulting in a negative correlation between CH<sub>4</sub> flux and dead fine roots (Tables 2, 3). The growing fine roots consume more nutrients and compete for nutrient ions, and, at the same time, root exudates in the rhizosphere promotes CH<sub>4</sub> production. However, these processes did not seem to alter soil CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes as associated with the land use change (Table 4). There was a significant difference in the living fine roots between the rubber plantation and the tropical rainforest (Table 2). The greater below-ground productivity in the rainforest was likely driven by a greater nutrient availability and higher soil temperature. This high root productivity may also have stimulated CH<sub>4</sub> production in the rhizosphere through a greater supply of labile substrates to methanogen communities (King and Schnell, 1994; Lammel et al., 2015; Oertel et al., 2016). Accordingly, the differences in fine root growth explained 47% of the difference in CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes between the tropical rainforest and the rubber plantation (Table 4).

#### 5. Conclusions

This study indicates that  $CO_2$  emissions did not vary with land use change, but the sink strength for  $CH_4$  decreased significantly, and the GWP contribution of soil  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  did not change significantly. The associated seasonal dynamics in  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  were also changed due to different environmental drivers that controlled the underlying processes. Specifically, soil temperature and soil water content were the main controls on  $CO_2$  fluxes differs, while fine root growth was the main control on  $CH_4$  fluxes variation. Our results suggest that the soil biogeochemical processes associated with fine roots and C and N mineralization should be considered as effects of land use change on soil C dynamics and emissions. Future evaluations of effects of land use change on the local environment should also consider the carbon balance at the ecosystem level.

#### **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant number 42073080, 31770528, 41961144017, U1602234), Natural Science Foundation of Yunnan province (Grant number 2016FB073, 2015FB188), Science and Technology Service Network Initiative of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant number KFJ-EW-STS-084), Chinese Academy of Sciences Key Laboratory of Tropical Forest Ecology (09KF001B04), Chinese Academy of Sciences 135 project (Grant number 2017XTBG-F01,T01), and Chinese Academy of Sciences President's International Fellowship Initiative (Grant number 2017VCA0036). We appreciate the assistance from the staffs and technicians at the Xishuangbanna Station for Tropical Rainforest Ecosystem and students in the field measurements and laboratory works. We thank Public Technology Service Center of Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences for measuring soil C and N fractions and Dr Palingamoorthy Gnanamoorthy for English revision.

#### Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2021.108391.

#### References

- Ahrends, A., Hollingsworth, P.M., Ziegler, A.D., Fox, J.M., Chen, H., Su, Y., Xu, J., 2015. Current trends of rubber plantation expansion may threaten biodiversity and livelihoods. Global Environ. Change 34, 48–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. gloenvcha.2015.06.002.
- Cao, M., Zhang, J., Feng, Z., Deng, J., Deng, X., 1996. Tree species composition of a seasonal rain forest in Xishuangbanna, Southwest China. Trop. Ecol. 37, 183–192. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1018367630923.
- Chan, O.C., Casper, P., Sha, L.Q., Feng, Z.L., Fu, Y., Yang, X.D., Ulrich, A., Zou, X.M., 2008. Vegetation cover of forest, shrub and pasture strongly influences soil bacterial community structure as revealed by 16S rRNA gene T-RFLP analysis. Microbiol Ecol 64, 449–458. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2008.00488.x.
- Chen, C., Liu, W., Jiang, X., Wu, J., 2017. Effects of rubber-based agroforestry systems on soil aggregation and associated soil organic carbon: Implications for land use. Geoderma 299, 13–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2017.03.021.
- Cheng, C.M., Wang, R.S., Jiang, J.S., 2007. Variation of soil fertility and carbon sequestration by planting *Hevea brasiliensis* in Hainan Island, China. J. Environ. Sci. 19 (3), 348–352. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(07)60057-6.
- Chidthaisong, A., Conrad, R., 2000. Turnover of glucose and acetate coupled to reduction of nitrate, ferric iron and sulfate and to methanogenesis in anoxic rice field soil. Fems Microbiol. Ecol. 31, 73–86. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2000.tb00673.x.
- Dalal, R., Allen, D., 2008. Greenhouse gas fluxes from natural ecosystems. Aust. J. Bot. 56 (5), 396–407. https://doi.org/10.1071/BT07128.
- Daniel, L.Mario, Guevara, W., Inamdar, S., Vargas, R., 2019. Upscaling soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes across a topographically complex forested landscape. Agric. For. Meteorol. 264, 80–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2018.09.020.
- de Urzedo, D.I., Franco, M.P., Pitombo, L.M., do Carmo, J.B., 2013. Effects of organic and inorganic fertilizers on greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in tropical forestry. For. Ecol. Manage 310, 37–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2013.08.018.
- Dou, X., Zhou, W., Zhang, Q., Cheng, X., 2016. Greenhouse gas (CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O) emissions from soils following afforestation in central China. Atmos. Environ. 126, 98–106. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.11.054.
- Dunfield, P., Knowles, R., Dumont, R., Moore, T.R., 1993. Methane production and consumption in temperate and subarctic peat soils: response to temperature and pH. Soil Biol. Biochem. 25 (3), 321–326. https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(93)90130-4.
- Fang, Q.L., Sha, L.Q., 2005. Study of fine roots biomass and turnover in the rubber plantation of Xishuangbanna. J. Central South Univ. Forestry 25, 40–44. https://doi. org/10.3969/j.issn.1673-923X.2005.05.006 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Fang, Q.L., Sha, L.Q., 2006. Soil respiration in a tropical seasonal rain forest and rubber plantation in Xishuangbanna, Yunnan, SW China. Acta Phytoecologica Sinica 30, 97–103. https://doi.org/10.17521/cjpe.2006.0014 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Fang, H., Yu, G., Cheng, S., Zhu, T., Wang, Y., Yan, J., Wang, M., Cao, M., Zhou, M., 2010. Effects of multiple environmental factors on CO<sub>2</sub> emission and CH<sub>4</sub> uptake from old-growth forest soils. Biogeosciences 7, 395–407. https://doi.org/10.5194/ bg-7-395-2010.

Fao, I., 2013. WFP, the state of food insecurity in the world 2013-the multiple dimensions of food security. FAO, Rome.

Fox, J., Castella, J.C., 2013. Expansion of rubber (*Hevea brasiliensis*) in Mainland Southeast Asia: what are the prospects for smallholders? The Journal of Peasant Studies 40 (1), 155–170. https://doi.org/10.1080/03066150.2012.75060.

- Fox, J., Castella, J.C., Ziegler, A., Westley, S., 2014. Rubber plantations expand in mountainous Southeast Asia: what are the consequences for the environment? Asia Pacific Issues 114, 1–8.
- Gao, J.B., Zhou, W.J., Liu, Y.T., Zhu, J., Sha, L.Q., Song, Q.H., Ji, H.L., Lin, Y.X., Fei, X.H., Bai, X.L., Zhang, X., Deng, Y., Deng, X.B., Yu, G.R., Zhang, J.H., Zheng, X.H., Grace, J., Zhang, Y.P., 2018. Effects of litter inputs on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from a tropical rainforest in Southwest China. Ecosystems 21 (5), 1013–1026. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s10021-017-0199-8.
- Gütlein, A., Gerschlauer, F., Kikoti, I., Kiese, R., 2017. Impacts of climate and land use on N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes from tropical ecosystems in the Mt. Kilimanjaro region, Tanzania. Global Change Biol. 24 (3), 1239–1255. https://doi.org/10.1111/ gcb.13944.
- Harris, Z.M., Spake, R., Taylor, G., 2015. Land use change to bioenergy: A meta-analysis of soil carbon and GHG emissions. Biomass Bioenergy 82, 27–39. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.biombioe.2015.05.008.
- Hassler, E., Corre, M.D., Tjoa, A., Damris, M., Utami, S.R., Veldkamp, E., 2015. Soil fertility controls soil-atmosphere carbon dioxide and methane fluxes in a tropical landscape converted from lowland forest to rubber and oil palm plantations. Biogeosciences 12 (19), 5831–5852. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-5831-2015.
- Ishizuka, S., Iswandi, A., Nakajima, Y., Yonemura, S., Sudo, S., Tsuruta, H., Murdiyarso, D., 2005. The variation of greenhouse gas emissions from soils of various land-use/cover types in Jambi province, Indonesia. Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst. 71 (1), 17–32. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10705-004-0382-0.
- Kiese, R., Hewett, B., Graham, A., Butterbach-Bahl, K., 2003. Seasonal variability of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions and CH<sub>4</sub> uptake by tropical rainforest soils of Queensland, Australia. Global Biogeochem. Cyc. 17 (2), 1043. https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GB002014.
- King, G.M., Schnell, S., 1994. Ammonium and nitrite inhibition of methane oxidation by methylobacter albus *BG8* and methylosinus trichosporium *OB3b* at low methane concentrations. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 60 (10), 3508–3513. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/AEM.60.10.3508-3513.1994.
- Kooch, Y., Moghimian, N., Bayranvand, M., Alberti, G., 2016. Changes of soil carbon dioxide, methane, and nitrous oxide fluxes in relation to land use/cover management. Environ. Monit. Assess. 188 (6), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10661-016-5342-z.
- Lammel, D.R., Feigl, B.J., Cerri, C.C., Nusslein, K., 2015. Specific microbial gene abundances and soil parameters contribute to C, N, and greenhouse gas process rates after land use change in Southern Amazonian. Soils Front. Microbiol. 6, 1057. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-016-5342-z.
- Lang, R., Blagodatsky, S., Xu, J., Cadisch, G., 2017. Seasonal differences in soil respiration and methane uptake in rubber plantation and rainforest. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 240, 314–328. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2017.02.032.
- Li, Z., Fox, J.M., 2012. Mapping rubber tree growth in mainland Southeast Asia using time-series MODIS 250 m NDVI and statistical data. Appl. Geogr. 32 (2), 420–432. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2011.06.018.
- Lu, H.Z., Sha, L.Q., Wang, J., Hu, W.Y., Wu, B.X., 2009. Seasonal variation of soil respiration and its components in tropical rain forest and rubber plantation in Xishuangbanna, Yunnan. Chin. J. Appl. Ecol. 10, 2315–2322. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/978-1-4020-9623-5\_5 (In Chinese with English abstract).
- Mohd Kusin, F., Izzati Mat Akhir, N., Mahamat-Yusuff, F., Auang, M., 2015. The impact of nitrogen fertilizer use on greenhouse gas emissions in an oil palm plantation associated with land use change. Atmosfera 8 (4), 243–250. https://doi.org/ 10.20937/ATM.2015.28.04.03.
- Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Breon, F.-M., Collins, W., Fuglestvedt, J., Huang, J., Koch, D., Lamarque, J.-F., Lee, D., Mendoza, B., Nakajima, T., Robock, A., Stephens, G., Takemura, T., Zhang, H., 2013. Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing. In: Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., Midgley, P.M. (Eds.), Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA [(eds.)].
- Oertel, C., Matschullat, J., Zurba, K., Zimmermann, F., Erasmi, S., 2016. Greenhouse gas emissions from soils—a review. Chemie der Erde - Geochem. - Interdiscip. J. Chem. Probl. Geosci. Geoecol. 76 (3), 327–352. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. chemer.2016.04.002.
- Sha, L.Q., Zheng, Z., Tang, J.W., Wang, Y.H., Zhang, Y.P., Cao, M., Wang, R., Liu, G.R., Wang, Y.S., Sun, Y., 2005. Soil respiration in tropical seasonal rainforest in Xishuangbanna, SW China. Science in China SerD. Earth Sci. 34 (Supp.II), 167–174 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Shrestha, R.K., Strahm, B.D., Sucre, E.B., 2014. Greenhouse gas emissions in response to nitrogen fertilization in managed forest ecosystems. New Forests 46 (2), 167–193. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11056-014-9454-4.
- Song, Q.H., Tan, Z.H., Zhang, Y.P., Sha, L.Q., Deng, X.B., Deng, Y., Zhou, W.J., Zhao, J.F., Zhang, X., Zhao, W., Yu, G.R., Sun, X.M., Liang, N.S., Yang, L.Y., 2014. Do the rubber plantations in tropical China act as large carbon sinks? iForest 7 (1), 42–47. https:// doi.org/10.3832/ifor0891-007.
- Song, Q.H., Zhang, Y.P., Zhou, W.J., Liu, Y.T., Deng, Y., Sha, L.Q., 2017. Comparison of infrared canopy temperature in a rubber plantation and tropical rain forest. Int. J. Biometeorol. 61 (10), 1885–1892. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-017-1375-4.
- Tan, Z.H., Zhang, Y.P., Song, Q.H., Liu, W.J., Deng, X.B., Tang, J.W., Deng, Y., Zhou, W. J., Yang, L.Y., Yu, G.R., 2011. Rubber plantations act as water pumps in tropical China. Geophys. Res. Lett. 38, L24406. https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL050006.
- Tang, Y.L., Deng, X.B., Li, Y-W., Zhang, S-B., 2007. Research on the difference of soil fertility in the different forest types in Xishuangbanna. Res. Anhui Agric. Sci. 35 (3), 779–781 (in Chinese with English abstract).

#### W. Zhou et al.

- Wang, X., Zhang, Y.P., 2005. An analysis of the characteristics of rainfall and linear tread in the Menglun area of Xishuangbanna, SW China. J. Trop. Meteorol. 21, 658–664 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Wong, G.X., Hirata, R., Hirano, T., Kiew, F., Aeries, E.B., Musin, K.K., Waili, J.W., Lo, K. S., Melling, L., 2020. How do land use practices affect methane emissions from tropical peat ecosystems? Agric. For. Meteorol. 107869, 282–283. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2019.107869.
- Warren-Thomas, E., Dolman, P.M., Edwards, D.P., 2015. Increasing demand for natural rubber necessitates a robust sustainability initiative to mitigate impacts on tropical biodiversity. Conserv. Lett. 8 (4), 230–241. https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12170.
- Werner, C., Zheng, X.H., Tang, J.W., Xie, B.H., Liu, C.Y., Kiese, R., Butterbach-Bahl, K., 2006. N<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from seasonal tropical rainforests and a rubber plantation in Southwest China. Plant Soil 289 (1 - 2), 335–353. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s11104-006-9143-y.
- Wu, J., Joergensen, R., Pommerening, B., Chaussod, R., Brookes, P., 1990. Measurement of soil microbial biomass C by fumigation-extraction—an automated procedure. Soil Biol. Biochem. 22, 1167–1169. https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(90)90046-3.
- Wu, Z.X., Guan, L.M., Chen, B.Q., Yang, C., Lan, G.Y., Xie, G.S., Zhou, Z.D., 2014. Components of soil respiration and its monthly dynamics in rubber plantation ecosystems. Res. J. Appl. Sci. Eng. Technol. 7 (5), 1040–1048. https://doi.org/ 10.1109/ICDMA.2013.404.
- Yan, Y.P., Sha, L.Q., Cao, M., Zheng, Z., Tang, J.W., Wang, Y.H., Zhang, Y.P., Wang, R., Liu, G.R., Wang, Y.S., Sun, Y., 2008. Fluxes of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O from soil under a tropical seasonal rain forest in Xishuangbanna, southwest China. J. Environ. Sci. 20 (2), 207–215. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(08)60033-9.
- Zhang, X., Zhang, Y.P., Sha, L.Q., Wu, C.S., Tan, Z.H., Song, Q.H., Liu, Y.T., Dong, L.Y., 2015. Effects of continuous drought stress on soil respiration in a tropical rainforest in southwest China. Plant Soil 394 (1–2), 343–353. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11104-015-2523-4.

- Zhang, M., Zou, X., Schaefer, D.A., 2010. Alteration of soil labile organic carbon by invasive earthworms (Pontoscolex corethrurus) in tropical rubber plantations. Eur. J. Soil Biol. 46 (2), 74–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2009.11.004.
- Ziegler, A.D., Fox, J.M., Xu, J.C., 2009. The rubber juggernaut. Science 324 (5930), 1024–1025. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1173833.
- Zona, D., Janssens, I.A., Aubinet, M., Gioli, B., Vicca, S., Fichot, R., Ceulemans, R., 2013. Fluxes of the greenhouse gases (CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O) above a short-rotation poplar plantation after conversion from agricultural land. Agric. For. Meteorol. 169, 100–110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2012.10.008.
- Zheng, X., Mei, B., Wang, Y., Xie, B., Wang, Y., Dong, H., Xu, H., Chen, G., Cai, Z., Yue, J., Gu, J., Su, F., Zou, J., Zhu, J., 2008. Quantification of NO fluxes from soil-plant systems may be biased by the applied gas chromatograph methodology. Plant Soil 311, 211–234. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-008-9673-6.
- Zhou, W.J., Ji, H.L., Zhu, J., Zhang, Y.P., Sha, L.Q., Liu, Y.T., Zhang, X., Zhao, W., Dong, Y.X., Bai, X.L., Lin, Y.X., Zhang, J.H., Zheng, X.H., 2016a. The effects of nitrogen fertilization on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from a rubber plantation. Sci. Rep. 6, 28230. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep28230.
- Zhou, W-J., Lu, H-Z., Zhang, Y-P., Sha, L-Q., Schaefer, D., Song, Q-H., Deng, Y., Deng, X-B., 2016b. Hydrologically transported dissolved organic carbon influences soil respiration in a tropical rainforest. Biogeosciences 13 (19), 1–11. https://doi.org/ 10.5194/bg-13-5487-2016.
- Zhou, W.J., Sha, L.Q., Schaefer, D.A., Zhang, Y.P., Song, Q.H., Tan, Z.H., Deng, Y., Deng, X.B., Guan, H.L., 2015. Direct effects of litter decomposition on soil dissolved organic carbon and nitrogen in a tropical rainforest. Soil Bio. Biochem. 81, 255–258. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.11.019.
- Zhou, W.J., Sha, L.Q., Shen, S.G., Zheng, Z., 2008. Seasonal change of soil respiration and its influence factors in rubber (Hevea brasiliensis) plantation in Xishuangbanna, SW China. Moutain Res. 5.5 (03), 317–325. https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1008-2786.2008.03.012 (in Chinese with English abstract).