Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Journal of Hydrology



**Research** papers

# Water-use efficiency and its relationship with environmental and biological factors in a rubber plantation



HYDROLOGY

Youxing Lin<sup>a,b</sup>, John Grace<sup>c</sup>, Wei Zhao<sup>a,b</sup>, Yuxin Dong<sup>a,b</sup>, Xiang Zhang<sup>a,b</sup>, Liguo Zhou<sup>a,b</sup>, Xuehai Fei<sup>a,b</sup>, Yanqiang Jin<sup>a,b</sup>, Jing Li<sup>a,b</sup>, Syed Moazzam Nizami<sup>a</sup>, Durairaj Balasubramanian<sup>a</sup>, Wenjun Zhou<sup>a</sup>, Yuntong Liu<sup>a</sup>, Qinghai Song<sup>a</sup>, Liqing Sha<sup>a</sup>, Yiping Zhang<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory of Tropical Forest Ecology, Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Menglun 666303, China

<sup>b</sup> University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

<sup>c</sup> School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FF, United Kingdom

# ARTICLE INFO

This manuscript was handled by Marco Borga, Editor-in-Chief, with the assistance of Yuting Yang, Associate Editor

Keywords: Biometric survey Carbon gain Coupled process Sap flow Water consumption

# ABSTRACT

Water-use efficiency (*WUE*) at the whole-plant level plays as an important role as an indicator of both the wateruse strategy of the plant, and the ecophysiological processes linking the carbon and water cycles. However, the variation in the annual pattern of *WUE*, the interannual trends, and the regulating factors of *WUE* in rubber plantations remain poorly understood. Here, we used a four-year (2013–2016) continuous biometric survey along with sap flow data recorded at a monthly resolution to examine the annual and interannual dynamics of *WUE* and the relationships of *WUE* with environmental and biological factors in rubber (*Hevea brasiliensis*) plantations in Southwest China. The results showed that (1) there was no definitive pattern in *WUE* between April and December, but the peak *WUE* values generally occurred around August; (2) the multi-year mean *WUE* was 2.34 ± 0.19 g C·kg<sup>-1</sup> H<sub>2</sub>O, and a negative correlation of *WUE* to photosynthetically active radiation was found at the interannual scale (r = -0.962, P < 0.05); and (3) a moderate, significant and parabolic relationship of *WUE* with air temperature ( $R^2 = 0.40$ ), net radiation ( $R^2 = 0.37$ ) and growth rate of the diameter at breast height ( $R^2 = 0.35$ ) was observed at the monthly scale during the growing season (P < 0.001). These findings indicate that *WUE* might be regulated by factors affecting the carbon sequestration rather than water consumption, and it will provide a deeper understanding of the ecohydrological and coupled processes between the carbon and water cycles of rubber plants.

# 1. Introduction

The structure and function of terrestrial ecosystems are affected by anthropogenic impacts, e.g., land transformation (Vitousek, 1997). Despite the environmental consequences associated with the conversion of rainforest into rubber tree (*Hevea brasiliensis*) plantations, more than 500,000 ha of rubber plantations in tropical Southeast Asia have been created over several decades to meet market demands (Mann, 2009; Ziegler et al., 2009). Approximately 40% of these plantations occur in the Xishuangbanna Prefecture, Southwest China. There have been reports that since the establishment of these plantations, local people have faced water shortages during the dry season, which seldom occurred prior (Li et al., 2008; Qiu, 2010). Rubber plantations are often considered the cause of such shortages and have acquired the reputation of being "water pumps" due to perceived high levels of water consumption and strong negative impacts on local water resources (Giambelluca et al., 2016; Lin et al., 2016; Tan et al., 2011). Consequently, the coupled processes between the carbon and water cycles are gaining increasing amounts of attention.

Water-use efficiency (*WUE*) is used to describe the coupled processes of assimilating carbon from the atmosphere and transpiring water to the atmosphere (deWit, 1958). *WUE* is a common indicator of the relative rates of these coupled processes (Baldocchi, 1994; Beer et al., 2009; deWit, 1958; Ponton et al., 2006; Yang et al., 2013; Yu et al., 2004), and has been widely used to evaluate the impacts of plant growth on water resources or to evaluate the "water costs" of components of the terrestrial carbon sink (Tong et al., 2014). Moreover, *WUE* can indicate the water-use strategy of plants in different environments (Donovan and Ehleringer, 1991; Tong et al., 2014). Although the application of this important index is partially limited because it has been defined in various ways (McVicar et al., 2002; Stanhill, 1986) and is measured at a variety of spatial and temporal scales using different

E-mail address: yipingzh@xtbg.ac.cn (Y. Zhang).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.05.026

Received 11 December 2017; Received in revised form 16 April 2018; Accepted 10 May 2018 Available online 12 May 2018 0022-1694/ © 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.



<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

methods (Arneth et al., 2006; Bchir et al., 2016; Beer et al., 2009; Goulden et al., 1996; Nock et al., 2011), these limitations are overcome when the *WUE* is clearly defined. In the present study, both the carbon gain estimated from biometric surveys and biomass models with highly accurate and broadly applicable (Ketterings et al., 2001; Tang et al., 2009), and the water consumption evaluated using simple, accurate and long-term continuous sap flow observations (Granier et al., 1990; Saugier et al., 1997) at the whole-plant scale and on a monthly time scale, were applied to assess the degree of the coupling between the carbon and water cycles. Despite the belief that rubber plantations cause water shortages, little is known regarding the coupling between the carbon and water cycles in the case of rubber trees, although the plantations represent a substantial carbon sink in this part of China (Chen et al., 2011; Song et al., 2016).

Our specific objectives were: (1) to analyse the dynamic patterns of *WUE* during the growing season and the interannual trend of *WUE* in rubber plantations, and (2) to explore the environmental and biological factors that control the variation in monthly *WUE*. The results obtained from this study will (i) provide a baseline for subsequent studies on alternative cropping systems in the area and (ii) enable foresters and hydrologists to make rational decisions about the management of forests in water catchments in the study region and elsewhere in the tropics.

## 2. Materials and methods

# 2.1. Study area

Our study site was in the Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden (XTBG; 21°55′30″N, 101°15′59″E), Yunnan Province, Southwest China

(Fig. 1). The original tropical forest of the Xishuangbanna Prefecture is an extension of the tropical forest of Southeast Asia (Zhu and Yan, 2009), but in recent years, much of this forest has been replaced with rubber plantations. The climate is strongly seasonal; two air masses alternate throughout the year (Zhang, 1963). The multi-year (1994-2008) mean annual rainfall is 1504 mm, and approximately 87% of the rainfall occurs during the rainy season; the tropical southern monsoon from the Indian Ocean delivers most (typically  $\sim$ 75%) of the region's annual rainfall from May to October; the remaining rainfall occurs during the dry season, which is dominated by the dry and cold air of the southern edges of the subtropical jet stream (Tan et al., 2010, 2011). The mean monthly rainfall during the dry season, which is sometimes divided into the fog-cool (between November and February) and dry-hot (March and April) seasons (Zhang, 1963), is less than 40 mm. The mean annual temperature is 21.7 °C, and the mean monthly values range from 15.9 to 25.7 °C (Tan et al., 2010). The general topography consists of hilly terrain and lateritic soil (pH 5.6) derived from siliceous rocks.

The characteristics of the four plots of the rubber plantations are shown in Table 1. The rubber trees were planted 2 m apart in rows of mixed spacing between 4 m and 12 m. The main rubber-tapping period was from May to November. Fertilization with mineral fertilizer (containing 15% N as  $(NH_2)_2CO$ , 15% P as  $NH_4H_2PO_4$ , and 15% K as KCl) was performed in April and July of each year (Zhou et al., 2016). Understory weeds and seedlings were removed by hand or using herbicides. The rubber trees strongly responded to cold stress, with a pattern of intense defoliation and dormancy for approximately 50 days between January and February as well as a slow recovery from dormancy in March (unpublished data; Figs. 3b, c and S1; Table S1). Therefore, we defined the growing season of rubber trees in Southwest China as April



Fig. 1. Geographic location of the study site (star; plotted using ArcGIS 10.5).

CIIal actel ISU	cs of the piant surve			un prote.								
Planting year	· Location	Altitude (m)	Plot area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Planting density (trees·ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Distance <sup>*</sup> (km)	Sampling number (tree)	Tree height (m)	Diameter at breast height (cm)	Sapwood area (cm <sup>2,</sup> tree <sup>-1</sup> )	<i>Canopy height</i> (m)	Leaf area index (m <sup>2.</sup> m <sup>-2</sup> )	Tapping or not
2002	21°50′29″ N 101°15′50″ E	776	$20 \times 20$	431 ± 53	~12.13	9	$17.64 \pm 1.13$	$19 \pm 1$	$190 \pm 1$	$\sim$ 11.9	$3.00 \pm 0.29$	Yes
1990	21°54′21″ N 101°16′15″ E	565	20  imes 20	581 ± 53	$\sim 1.13$	9	$18.26 \pm 0.43$	$21 \pm 2$	$230 \pm 31$	$\sim$ 12.4	$3.18 \pm 0.19$	Yes
1982	21°54′41″ N 101°16′22″ E	592	20  imes 20	346 ± 33	0	9	21.87 ± 0.16	$31 \pm 1$	$420 \pm 21$	$\sim$ 15.0	$3.18 \pm 0.15$	Yes
1965	21°55′33″ N 101°15′58″ E	570	20  imes 20	300 ± 45	$\sim 2.25$	9	$24.33 \pm 0.73$	$43 \pm 2$	650 ± 33	$\sim 16.5$	$2.80 \pm 0.36$	No
Note that "*'	' indicates the distar	nce between tl	he plot and 1	micrometeorological t	tower, " $\sim$ " ind	icates that the val	lues are estimat	ted, and values sep	arated by " $\pm$ " indi	icate the mean p	olus/minus the stan	dard error.

Table

to December (thus defining the non-growing season as January to March), and our analyses related to monthly *WUE* were restricted to these months.

### 2.2. Data collection

## 2.2.1. Observations of environmental factors

Environmental variables including precipitation ( $P_{re}$ , mm), air temperature ( $T_a$ , °C), photosynthetically active radiation (PAR, mol·m<sup>-2</sup>), and net radiation ( $R_n$ , MW·m<sup>-2</sup>) were measured by sensors mounted on a 55-m tall micrometeorological tower that was established in XTBG in 2009. The microclimatic instruments included a rain gauge (Rain Gauge 52203, R. M. Young Co., USA) and sensors for  $R_n$  (CNR-1, Kipp & Zonen, NED) and *PAR* (LQS70-10, Apogee, USA) at a height of 28.6 m. The water vapour pressure deficit (*VPD*, hPa) was calculated from  $T_a$  and relative humidity (%), which were monitored by a hydrothermograph (HMP45C, Vaisala, Finland) at a height of 28.6 m. The surface soil water content (*SWC*, %) was monitored using a soil water reflectometer (CS616-L, Campbell Sci., USA) at a depth of 5 cm. All meteorological data were collected using a data logger (CR1000, Campbell Sci., USA) every 30 min and averaged or summed to correspond with the monthly biometric survey and sap flow data.

# 2.2.2. Biomass measurements

We randomly selected six rubber trees in each plot and observed their monthly growth of the diameter at breast height (*DBH*, m) using metal bands. We collected the litterfall by establishing ten randomly placed litter traps (area of  $0.5 \text{ m}^2$  each) to obtain the total dry weight of litterfall (*L*, g), and measured the leaf area index (*LAI*, m<sup>2</sup>·m<sup>-2</sup>) (Plant Canopy Analysers LAI-2000/2200, LiCor, USA) near each litter trap. We expressed the growth rate of the *DBH* (*D<sub>r</sub>*,%) as

$$D_{r_i} = (DBH_i - DBH_{i-1}) / DBH_{i-1} \times 100\%$$
(1)

where  $D_{ri}$  is the growth rate (%) of *DBH* in a specific month from January to December in a stated year and where *DBH<sub>i</sub>* and *DBH<sub>i-1</sub>* (m) represent the *DBH* value of the corresponding month and last value of that month, respectively. Additionally, the dry weight of litterfall for rubber trees ( $L_w$ , kg·tree<sup>-1</sup>) was calculated as

$$L_{w_i} = L_i \times 2000 \times 1000/N$$
 (2)

where  $L_{wi}$  is the dry weight of litterfall (kg-tree<sup>-1</sup>) in a specific month,  $L_i$  is the total dry weight of litterfall (g) in that month, and N is the planting density (trees-ha<sup>-1</sup>) of the rubber plantations (see Table 1).

To estimate the biomass of rubber trees, we used the general regression equation from our previous reports (Tang et al., 2009) as follows:

$$W = 0.136 \times DBH^{2.437} \tag{3}$$

where *W* is the total biomass per rubber tree (kg). According to the studies of Pang (2009) and Wang et al. (2011), the mean carbon content of rubber trees and rubber latex are 48.6% and 30.0%, respectively. Thus, the incremental carbon sequestration ( $\Delta C$ , kg-tree<sup>-1</sup>) can be calculated from the following equation:

$$\Delta C_i = (W_i - W_{i-1} + L_{w_i}) \times 0.\ 486 + W_{latex} \times 0.\ 30 \times n_i \tag{4}$$

where

 $\Delta C_i$  is the incremental carbon sequestration (kg-tree<sup>-1</sup>) in a specific month;

 $W_i$  and  $W_{i-1}$  represent the biomass (kg-tree<sup>-1</sup>) value of the corresponding month and the last value of that month, respectively;

 $W_{latex}$  is the total rubber latex (kg) that rubber trees can produce per tree per year (according to multi-year observations, the yields of latex are 18 kg·tree<sup>-1</sup>·year<sup>-1</sup>);

and  $n_i$  is the weight coefficient (%) for specific months (from May to November), which is calculated in accordance with the incremental *DBH* during the same period by the following equation:



Fig. 2. Annual dynamic (mean plus standard error) of (a) precipitation ( $P_{re}$ ), (b) air temperature ( $T_a$ ), (c) surface soil water content (SWC), (d) water vapour pressure deficit (VPD), (e) photosynthetically active radiation (PAR), and (f) net radiation (R<sub>n</sub>). Each value represents the average from 2013 to 2016 on a monthly time scale. The light grey and white areas represent the growing season and non-growing season, respectively.

 $K = (\Delta T_m - \Delta T) / \Delta T$ 

$$n_i = (DBH_i - DBH_{i-1}) / \Delta DBH_i \tag{5}$$

where 
$$DBH_i$$
 and  $DBH_{i-1}$  represent the  $DBH$  (m) values for specific months (from May to November), and  $\Delta DBH$  is the difference in  $DBH$  between the months of November and May.

## 2.2.3. Sap flow measurements

The sap flow density of the same six rubber trees in each plot was measured using custom-made heat dissipation sensors (Granier, 1985). Pairs of 20-mm-long and 2-mm-wide heating probes were inserted into the same-facing side of the trunks of each rubber tree at a 1.3-m height above the stem base and were shielded from solar radiation, thermal gradients and rainfall by reflective insulation. The upper probe was heated with a constant power of 0.2 W, and the lower probe was not heated and served as a reference for the upper probe, with a distance of approximately 10 cm between the two sensor probes to avoid thermal interference. The temperature difference between the two probes was recorded with copper-constantan thermocouples using a data logger (CR10X/CR10XTD, Campbell Sci., USA) to collect the data (averaged and stored every 30 min), after which the difference was used to calculate the sap flow density  $(J_s, gm^{-2}s^{-1})$ , which was estimated according to the empirical calibration equation reported by Granier (1985):

where *K* is a temperature constant determined as follows:

riod (tvpically 7 days in a row) and  $\Delta T$  is the instantaneous temperature difference (°C) between the two sensor probes. Here, to obtain the sapwood area  $(A_s, m^2)$ , we used our previous reported correlation relationship (Zhao et al., 2014) as follows:

where  $\Delta T_m$  is the maximum temperature difference (°C) at which time

(7)

$$A_s = 0.18776 \times DBH - 0.01638 \tag{8}$$

Accordingly, the instantaneous transpiration rate  $(E_r, g \cdot s^{-1})$  of a rubber tree was calculated as follows:

$$E_r = J_s \times A_s \tag{9}$$

Then, the transpiration (*E*, kg  $H_2O$  tree<sup>-1</sup>) was calculated as follows:

$$E_i = E_{r_i} \times 60 \times 60 \times 24 \times d_i / 1000$$
(10)

where  $E_i$  is the transpiration in a specific month (kg H<sub>2</sub>O·tree<sup>-1</sup>·month<sup>-1</sup>),  $\overline{E_{ri}}$  is the mean transpiration rate of a rubber tree in that month (g·s<sup>-1</sup>), and  $d_i$  is the number of days in that month.

$$J_s = 119 \times K^{1.231}$$
(6)

2.2.4. Calculation of WUE

The WUE of a rubber tree was calculated from the water

consumption per unit of carbon gain at the single-tree scale (Tu, 2007) during a specific period (month, season, or year) following previous authors (El Aou-Ouad et al., 2015; Medrano et al., 2015). The calculation formula was as follows:

$$WUE_i = \Delta C_i \times 1000/E_i \tag{11}$$

where  $WUE_i$  is the water-use efficiency (g C·kg<sup>-1</sup> H<sub>2</sub>O) in a specific month,  $\Delta C_i$  is the incremental carbon sequestration in that month (kg C·tree<sup>-1</sup>·month<sup>-1</sup>), and  $E_i$  is the transpiration in that month (kg H<sub>2</sub>O·tree<sup>-1</sup>·month<sup>-1</sup>). Thus, we obtained the annual *WUE* and the monthly *WUE* during the growing season from April to December using the above calculations.

### 2.3 Data analysis

Correlation analysis of the four annual mean values of *WUE* and environmental and biological factors was performed using *Pearson Correlation*, and *2-tailed* tests of significance were used. Linear fitting and multiple linear regression analysis of *WUE* and environmental and biological factors on a monthly time scale during the growing season between April and December were performed with significance testing with *F-statistics*, and plotted using Origin 8.0 software.

# 3. Results

# 3.1 Variations in annual and interannual WUE and related factors

During the four years of observations,  $P_{re}$ ,  $T_a$  and *SWC* each showed a pronounced annual pattern, with the highest values occurring in the middle of the growing season (Fig. 2). The lowest *SWC* value occurred in April, but the highest value was in August, reflecting the variability in  $P_{re}$ . *VPD*, *PAR* and  $R_n$  fluctuated due to the tropical monsoonal  $P_{re}$ from May to October. *VPD* increased sharply in response to low  $P_{re}$  and  $T_a$  during the non-growing season but decreased gradually following the onset of the growing season. Both *PAR* and  $R_n$  increased smoothly as the solar azimuth angle increased.

There was a significant annual pattern in  $D_r$ ; its values were greater than 0.10% per month during the growing season from April to December but close to zero during the non-growing season (Fig. 3b).  $J_s$ showed the same pattern as  $D_r$ , with both  $D_r$  and  $J_s$  peaking twice during the growing season (Fig. 3b and c). We found that unlike  $D_r$  or  $J_{ss}$ , WUE did not exhibit a regular pattern; generally, it gradually increased from May until peaking around August during the four years of observations (Fig. 3).

Among the four years of observations, the annual *WUE* ranged from 1.97 to 2.87 g C·kg<sup>-1</sup> H<sub>2</sub>O, and the multi-year mean value was 2.34 g C·kg<sup>-1</sup> H<sub>2</sub>O (Table 2). The annual *SWC*,  $T_a$ , *VPD*,  $R_n$ , *LAI*,  $D_r$  and  $J_s$  changed little, with mean values of 29.27%, 22.1 °C, 6.71 hPa, 3730 MW·m<sup>-2</sup>·year<sup>-1</sup>, 3.04 m<sup>2</sup>·m<sup>-2</sup>, 2.45% and 13.54 g·m<sup>-2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. However, there was large interannual variation in  $P_{re}$  and *PAR*, with values of 1315 ± 269 mm·year<sup>-1</sup> and 8297 ± 306 mol photons·m<sup>-2</sup>·year<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. During the four years of observations, *WUE* had a negative but non-significant correlation with  $P_{re}$ , similar to the relationships of *WUE* with  $J_s$  and  $D_r$  (P > 0.05). However, *WUE* significantly decreased with increasing *PAR* (P < 0.05).

# 3.2 Relationships between WUE and related factors at the monthly scale

*WUE* showed a significant parabolic relationship with *SWC*,  $T_a$ , *VPD*, *PAR*,  $R_n$  and  $P_{re}$  (P < 0.01), but the coefficients of determination ( $R^2$ ) were generally low (Fig. 4). Relatively stronger and highly significant relationships were observed between *WUE* and  $T_a$  with a coefficient of determination of  $R^2 = 0.40$ , and between *WUE* and  $R_n$  with a coefficient of determination of  $R^2 = 0.37$  (P < 0.001) (Fig. 4b and e). The maximum monthly *WUE* occurred when *SWC*,  $T_a$ , *VPD*, *PAR*,  $R_n$  and  $P_{re}$  reached 30.8%, 27 °C, 7.6 hPa, 833 mol

photons  $\text{m}^{-2}$  month<sup>-1</sup>, 340 MW·m<sup>-2</sup>·month<sup>-1</sup> and 260 mm·month<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Fig. 4). In addition, *WUE* showed a positive correlation with  $T_a$  and *PAR*, with coefficients of 0.60 and 0.50, respectively (P < 0.01) (Fig. 4b and d).

Regarding the biological factors,  $J_s$  and  $D_r$  tended to increase with *LAI*, with slopes of  $J_s$  and  $D_r$  to *LAI* were 2.83 and 0.66, respectively (Fig. 5a and c). However,  $D_r$  exhibited a significant parabolic relationship with  $J_s$ , with  $D_r$  explaining approximately 46% of the variance in  $J_s$  (P < 0.001) (Fig. 5b). During the growing season from April to December, the monthly  $D_r$  and  $J_s$  showed similar relationships with *WUE*, with the monthly *WUE* first increasing and then decreasing with increasing  $D_r$  and  $J_s$ . However, these transformations occurred when the monthly  $D_r$  and  $J_s$  were approximately 0.45% and 15 gm<sup>-2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (P < 0.001) (Fig. 5e and f). Furthermore, *WUE* increased with  $D_r$ , with a correlation coefficient of 0.57 (P < 0.001) (Fig. 5e). *WUE* showed a trend of increase with increasing *LAI*, although the relationship was very weak and non-significant ( $R^2 = 0.02$ , P = 0.2135) (Fig. 5d).

Multiple linear regressions of *WUE* as a function of environmental and/or biological factors were performed; the results are shown in Table 3. Regardless of whether the environmental and biological factors were considered separately or together, these factors could only explain approximately 35% of the variation in *WUE* generally, although these regressions were significant (P < 0.05). Moreover, the degree of interpretation in the variation in *WUE* among these regressions was equal to or smaller than the degree that could be explained by individual variables (i.e.,  $T_a$ ,  $R_n$  and  $D_r$ ) (Figs. 4 and 5; Table 3).

#### 4. Discussion

# 4.1 Patterns of annual and interannual WUE

No clear pattern of *WUE* on a monthly time scale during the growing season from April to December was observed in this study, but a peak *WUE* occurred around August during the four years of observations (Fig. 3), which is similar to the results generated in another study based on stable isotope methods (Wu et al., 2016). In general, the coupling between the carbon and water cycles of rubber trees gradually strengthened beginning in May, perhaps to permit more carbon gain to produce fruit around August, as the rubber trees develop leaves rapidly in March (Fig. S1) and then produce flowers around April (Priyadarshan, 2017).

The WUE data of selected evergreen broadleaved and tropical forests are summarized in Tables 4: the mean WUE of broadleaved forests is 2.78 g C·kg<sup>-1</sup> H<sub>2</sub>O, which is close to the mean value reported by Beer et al. (2009); the mean WUE of tropical forests is 2.87 g C·kg<sup>-1</sup> H<sub>2</sub>O, which is much smaller than that reported by Tan et al. (2015). The low variation of WUE among broadleaved forests might be due to the similarities among these forests in leaf morphology and structure, e.g., they all have broad leaves with a strongly reflective glabrous surface. Furthermore, these forests presumably evolve where there is an adequate supply of water, without the need for strong water-conserving mechanisms (Tan et al., 2015).

Perhaps due to the advantages of a favourable thermal environment and an ample supply of water, the average *WUE* from tropical forests (2.87 g C·kg<sup>-1</sup> H<sub>2</sub>O) is much higher than the multi-annual mean value reported here for the rubber plantations in Southwest China: 2.34 g C·kg<sup>-1</sup> H<sub>2</sub>O (Table 2). This latter value is greater than the range of 0.67–2.01 g C·kg<sup>-1</sup> H<sub>2</sub>O reported previously for rubber plantations (Dey and Vijayakumar, 2005; Nugawela et al., 1995; Priyadarshan, 2017; Rodrigo et al., 2005). Furthermore, this value is much lower than the nine-year average *WUE* of 3.16 g C·kg<sup>-1</sup> H<sub>2</sub>O obtained previously in a primary tropical rainforest very close to the present study site (Tan et al. 2015). This low value of *WUE* might due to the origin of rubber trees in the wet tropics; the over-saturation in soil moisture did not favour carbon gain (Nugawela et al., 1995; Priyadarshan, 2017).



**Time series** 

**Fig. 3.** Mean values (plus standard errors) of (a) the leaf area index (*LAI*), (b) growth rate of the diameter at breast height ( $D_r$ ), and (c) sap flow density ( $J_s$ ) on a perarea-of-trunk basis as well as (d) water-use efficiency (*WUE*) on a per-tree basis from 2013 to 2016. The light grey and white areas represent the growing season and non-growing season, respectively.

# Table 2

Annual mean and *Pearson Correlation* coefficients of *WUE* and related environmental/biological factors from 2013 to 2016.

	2013	2014	2015	2016	Correlation coefficient
WUE (g C·kg <sup><math>-1</math></sup> H <sub>2</sub> O)	1.97	2.28	2.87	2.24	1.000
$P_{re}$ (mm·year <sup>-1</sup> )	1641	983	1320	1318	-0.322
SWC (%)	31.28	27.98	29.01	28.82	0.185
$T_a$ (°C)	21.2	22.2	22.1	22.1	-0.473
VPD (hPa)	5.80	6.76	6.03	8.25	-0.140
PAR (mol·m <sup>-2</sup> ·year <sup>-1</sup> )	8660	8370	7910	8250	$-0.962^{*}$
$R_n$ (MW·m <sup>-2</sup> ·year <sup>-1</sup> )	3690	3840	3770	3620	0.362
LAI $(m^2 \cdot m^{-2})$	_	3.45	2.94	2.73	-0.187
$D_r$ (%)	2.92	2.52	2.22	2.12	-0.651
$J_s$ (g·m <sup>-2</sup> ·s <sup>-1</sup> )	14.68	15.12	11.35	13.02	-0.832

Note that "—" indicates that values were not obtained, and an asterisk indicates statistical significance at the 0.05 level.

Rubber trees have previously been shown to consume more water than other tree species in tropical forests (Giambelluca et al., 2016; Lin et al., 2016; Tan et al., 2011), and the gross primary production of tropical forests has been reported to be greater than that of rubber plantations (Malhi et al., 1999; Song et al., 2014; Tan et al., 2010).

The response of WUE to drought is a highly debated topic

(Ehleringer and Cooper, 1988; Liu et al., 2015; Niu et al., 2007; Wolf et al., 2013), but we are able to tentatively infer how plants or whole ecosystems might react to drought by comparing data between dry and normal years. A decrease in transpiration can lead to an increase in WUE if photosynthesis can still maintain at a high level during a drought (Krishnan et al., 2006; Wolf et al., 2013), although this possibility is debated (Beer et al., 2009; Reichstein et al., 2007). Liu et al. (2015) concluded that moderate and extreme droughts reduced the annual WUE in Southern China, while severe droughts slightly increased it. In addition, higher WUE is observed under drier conditions (Ehleringer and Cooper, 1988; Liu et al., 2015). Although previous studies reported that the spatial pattern of WUE is mainly controlled by  $P_{re}$  and that a strong relationship exists between annual WUE and  $P_{re}$ (Niu et al., 2007; Xiao et al., 2013; Yu et al., 2008), a negative and nonsignificant correlation (r = -0.332, Table 2) was observed between annual WUE and  $P_{re}$  in the present study. This result might be due to the short period (four years) of observations, which may have been insufficient to reflect the pattern of interannual change. The year 2014 was much drier (983 mm) than the others (Table 2); however, 2014 was not considered as a drought year per se, and the decrease in  $P_{re}$  in 2014 likely did not result in an increase in WUE, as the annual mean SWC remained largely stable among the four years of observations (Table 2). Additionally, the rubber plants might adjust their strategy of water use



**Fig. 4.** Relationships between water-use efficiency (*WUE*) on a per-tree basis and environmental factors including (a) surface soil water content (*SWC*), (b) air temperature ( $T_a$ ), (c) water vapour pressure deficit (*VPD*), (d) photosynthetically active radiation (*PAR*), (e) net radiation ( $R_n$ ), and (f) precipitation ( $P_{re}$ ) on a monthly time scale during the growing season from 2013 to 2016.

to reduce transpiration loss and thereby avoid the effects of water stress (Priyadarshan, 2017). Interestingly, rubber trees have a well-developed root system to facilitate the uptake of deep ground water, which they might use during dry seasons or years (Carr, 2012; Lin et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2014).

# 4.2 Factors controlling WUE on the monthly time scale

One difficulty in analysing *WUE* on a monthly time scale involves the fact that growth may take place using carbohydrate reserves that were accumulated by photosynthesis in previous months. The results of the analyses at the monthly scale for the growing season between April and December should therefore be viewed as suggestive rather than definitive; however, these results are valuable, since little was previously known about the patterns of *WUE* and related factors in rubber plantations and a limited amount of work had been conducted due to challenges involved in assessing growth and water use in *Hevea* rubber plants (Priyadarshan, 2017).

To investigate the possible controls of *WUE* in the present case, environmental variables were related to *WUE* by curve fitting (Fig. 4). Previous studies reported strong relationships of *WUE* with both  $T_a$  and  $P_{re}$  at the interannual scale (Niu et al., 2007; Xiao et al., 2013; Yu et al.,

2008). However, in the current study, the only environmental variables to show significant relationships with WUE were  $T_a$  and  $R_n$ , which showed moderately strong parabolic relationships with WUE at the monthly time scale (Fig. 4b and e). The net flux of radiant energy,  $R_m$ , is often closely correlated with  $T_a$ ; thus, it is not surprising that WUE was similarly correlated with  $T_a$  and  $R_n$ . However, WUE generally increased as  $T_a$  increased (Fig. 4b), similar to reports in temperate and subtropical forests (Song et al., 2017; Zhu et al., 2014) but in contrast to findings in tropical and subtropical forests (Tan et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2014). Moreover, the increases in  $T_a$  and PAR might have enhanced WUE on a monthly scale, whereas the other environmental factors were only weakly correlated with WUE or had suppressing effects on WUE (Fig. 4). A potential explanation for these results is that these other factors controlled WUE on a smaller time scale. For example, Tan et al. (2015) and Yang et al. (2009) reported that the WUE strongly depended on VPD on the daily time scale. However, the strong correlation between WUE and  $T_a$  might reflect the expansion of rubber cultivation to sub-optimal areas where the effect of SWC or  $P_{re}$  on growth  $(D_r)$  is smaller than that of  $T_a$  (Table S2). In addition, neither the thermal environment nor the water supply was superior to that of native regions (Priyadarshan, 2017).

Regarding the biological factors, WUE showed a stronger correlation



**Fig. 5.** Relationships between water-use efficiency (*WUE*) on a per-tree basis and biological factors. Regressions of sap flow density ( $J_s$ ) on a per-area-of trunk basis with (a) the leaf area index (*LAI*) and (b) growth rate of the diameter at breast height ( $D_r$ ); of (c) *LAI* and  $D_r$ ; and of *WUE* with (d) *LAI*, (e)  $D_r$  and (f)  $J_s$  are shown. All the regression analyses were based on a monthly time scale during the growing season between April and December from 2013 to 2016.

#### Table 3

Multiple linear regressions of WUE and environmental and biological factors.

Expression of the regression relation	$R^2$	Р	Eq.
$WUE_{bio} = 0.13 \times LAI + 4.36 \times D_r^{**} - 0.06 \times J_s + 1.35$	0.3111	0.0088	(12)
$WUE_{env} = 0.002 \times PAR + 0.20 \times T_a^* + 0.06 \times SWC - 0.09 \times VPD - 0.006 \times R_n$	0.3680	0.0152	(13)
$-0.01 \times P_{re} - 3.52^*$			
$WUE_{gen} = 0.18 \times LAI + 1.6 \times D_r - 0.10 \times J_s + 0.002 \times PAR + 0.17 \times T_a + 0.06 \times SWC$	0.3676	0.0383	(14)
$-0.03 \times VPD - 0.003 \times R_n - 0.002 \times P_{re} - 3.4$			

Note that "\*" and "\*\*" indicate that the coefficients of a variable or constant are statistically significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 levels, respectively, and that Eqs. (12–14) represent the multiple linear regressions of *WUE* with biological, environmental and all factors, respectively.

with  $D_r$  than that with *LAI* at the monthly scale (Fig. 5). This result conflicts with reports that *LAI* was the crucial factor controlling *WUE* (Beer et al., 2009; Hu et al., 2012). Although *LAI* was more strongly correlated with  $J_s$  than that with  $D_r$  (Fig. 5a and c), it showed no significant relationship with *WUE* (Fig. 5d). The power of all the factors together in explaining the variation in *WUE* was smaller than the power of the individual variables (Fig. 4; Table 3), i.e.,  $T_a$ , which is an environmental factor. Both  $T_a$  and  $D_r$  could explain most of the variance in *WUE*, and  $T_a$  was found to be the most correlated with  $D_r$  (Figs. 4b and 5e; Table S2); thus,  $T_a$  might control  $D_r$  and hence *WUE*. Since  $D_r$  and  $J_s$  generally reflect the extent of carbon gain and transpiration of rubber trees, respectively, *WUE* showed a stronger correlation with  $D_r$  than with the remaining biological factors, although it tended to be compromised when  $J_s$  exceed a certain threshold (Fig. 5e and f). Furthermore, *WUE* and  $D_r$  generally exhibited the strongest correlations with  $T_a$  (Fig. 4b; Table S2). These findings indicate that the coupling processes between the carbon and water cycles (*WUE*) of the rubber plantations were mainly controlled by factors (i.e.,  $T_a$ ,  $R_n$  and *PAR*) that affect the carbon sequestration rather than water consumption in Southwest China.

#### Table 4

Mean annual WUE for different forest zones and forest types according to the Whittaker Biome Diagram and International Geosphere-Biosphere Program, respectively.

Forest types	Number of sites	WUE (g C·kg <sup><math>-1</math></sup> H <sub>2</sub> O)	Forest zones	Number of sites	WUE (g C·kg $^{-1}$ H <sub>2</sub> O)
Evergreen broadleaved	11 6	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 2.78 \ \pm \ 0.15^{\rm a} \\ 2.95 \ \pm \ 0.23^{\rm b,c} \end{array}$	Tropical	4 2	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 2.87 \ \pm \ 0.20^{a} \\ 3.16 \ \pm \ 0.00^{b} \end{array}$
Evergreen needle	16	$3.47 \pm 0.24^{b,c}$	Subtropical	3	$2.25 \pm 0.33^{b}$
Deciduous broadleaved	7	$4.14 \pm 0.45^{b,c}$	Temperate	13	$4.30 \pm 1.13^{b}$
Mixed	4	$3.86 \pm 0.51^{b,c}$	Boreal	5	$3.25~\pm~0.50^{\rm b}$

Note that the *WUE* values represent the mean plus/minus the standard error. Sources are a: this study (calculated from the data in Table S3), b: Tan et al. (2015), and c: Beer et al. (2009).

#### 5. Conclusions

A pattern in annual *WUE* characterized by peak values generally occurring around August was observed during the growing season from April to December. The multi-year mean *WUE* of rubber plantations was markedly lower than the annual mean *WUE* values reported for evergreen broadleaved and tropical forests. However, no definitive interannual pattern of *WUE* was observed other than a negative correlation between *WUE* and *PAR*. *WUE* was controlled more by  $T_a$ ,  $R_n$  and  $D_r$  than by other factors. Regarding the biological factors,  $D_r$  was more correlated with  $T_a$  as well as it could explain more of the variation in *WUE* than  $J_s$ . Our results indicate that the coupling processes between the carbon and water cycles of the rubber plantations might be mainly controlled by factors that affect the carbon sequestration rather than water consumption in Southwest China, while the latter might tend to decrease the strength of the coupling processes when it exceeded a certain threshold.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank four anonymous reviewers and the Journal of Hydrology Editorial Team for helping improve this manuscript, and we thank Donghai Yang, Xianhui Tang, Ruiwu Zhou, Huizhu Mo, Huiling Guan, Yun Deng and Hui Chen for their assistance with the fieldwork. This work was supported by Xishuangbanna Station for Tropical Rain Forest Ecosystem Studies (XSTRE), and the Public Technology Service Center, XTBG, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS). This work was funded by the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (2016YFC0502105), the National Science Foundation of China (U1602234, 31770528, 41671209), the CAS 135 project (2017XTBG-T01), and the Chinese Academy of Sciences President's International Fellowship Initiative (2017VCA0036).

# Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.05.026.

#### References

- Arneth, A., Veenendaal, E.M., Best, C., Timmermans, W., Kolle, O., Montagnani, L., Shibistova, O., 2006. Water use strategies and ecosystem-atmosphere exchange of CO<sub>2</sub> in two highly seasonal environments. Biogeosci. Discuss. 3, 345–382.
- Baldocchi, D.D., 1994. A comparative study of mass and energy exchange over a closed C<sub>3</sub> (wheat) and an open C<sub>4</sub> (corn) canopy II. CO<sub>2</sub> exchange and water use efficiency. Agric. For. Meteorol. 67, 291–321.
- Bchir, A., Escalona, J.M., Gallé, A., Hernández-Montes, E., Tortosa, I., Braham, M., Medrano, H., 2016. Carbon isotope discrimination (δ<sup>13</sup>C) as an indicator of vine water status and water use efficiency (WUE): looking for the most representative sample and sampling time. Agric. Water Manage. 167, 11–20.
- Beer, C., Ciais, P., Reichstein, M., Baldocchi, D.D., Law, B.E., Papale, D., Soussana, J.F., Ammann, C., Buchmann, N., Frank, D., Gianelle, D., Janssens, I.A., Knohl, A., Köstner, B., Moors, E., Roupsard, O., Verbeeck, H., Vesala, T., Williams, C.A., Wohlfahrt, G., 2009. Temporal and among-site variability of inherent water use efficiency at the ecosystem level. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 23 GB2018.
- Carr, M.K.V., 2012. The water relations of rubber (*Hevea brasiliensis*): a review. Exp. Agric. 48, 176–193.
- Chen, D.M., Zhang, C.L., Wu, J.P., Zhou, L.X., Lin, Y.B., Fu, S.L., 2011. Subtropical plantations are large carbon sinks: evidence from two monoculture plantations in South China. Agric. For. Meteorol. 151, 1214–1225.
- deWit, C.T., 1958. Transpiration and crop yield. Verslagen van Landbouwkundige Onderzoekingen 64, 1–88.
- Dey, S.K., Vijayakumar, K.R., 2005. Photosynthesis and biomass production of three popular *Hevea brasiliensis* clones as affected by water stress. Nat. Rubb. Res. 18, 46–54.
- Donovan, L.A., Ehleringer, J.R., 1991. Ecophysiological differences among juvenile and reproductive plants of several woody species. Oecologia 86, 594–597.
- Ehleringer, J.R., Cooper, T.A., 1988. Correlations between carbon isotope ratio and microhabitat in desert plants. Oecologia 76, 562–566.
- El Aou-Ouad, H., Florez-Sarasa, I., Ribas-Carbó, M., Flexas, J., Medrano, H., Gulías, J., 2015. Trade-offs between seedling growth, plant respiration and water-use efficiency in two Mediterranean shrubs *Rhamnus alaternus* and *Rhamnus ludovici-salvatoris*. Photosynthetica 53, 537–546.

Giambelluca, T.W., Mudd, R.G., Liu, W., Ziegler, A.D., Kobayashi, N., Kumagai, T.,

- Miyazawa, Y., Lim, T.K., Huang, M.Y., Fox, J., Yin, S., Mak, S.V., Kasemsap, P., 2016. Evapotranspiration of rubber (*Hevea brasiliensis*) cultivated at two plantation sites in Southeast Asia. Water Resour. Res. 52, 660–679.
- Goulden, M.L., Munger, J.W., Fan, S.M., Daube, B.C., Wofsy, S.C., 1996. Measurements of carbon sequestration by long-term eddy covariance: methods and a critical evaluation of accuracy. Global Change Biol. 2, 169–182.
- Granier, A., 1985. Une nouvelle méthode pour la mesure du flux de seve brute dans le tronc des arbres. Ann. For. Sci. 42, 193–200.
- Granier, A., Bobay, V., Gash, J.H.C., Gelpe, J., Saugier, B., Shuttleworth, W.J., 1990. Vapour flux density and transpiration rate comparisons in a stand of maritime pine (*Pinus pinaster* Ait.) in Les Landed forest. Agric. For. Meteorol. 51, 309–319.
- Hu, H.L., Zhang, J., Wan, X.Q., Chen, H., Yi, W.Y., Zhou, Y.C., 2012. The water consumption and water use efficiency of the seedlings of *Eucalyptus grandis* and other five tree species in Sichuan Province. Acta Ecol. Sin. 32, 3873–3882.
- Ketterings, Q.M., Coe, R., van Noordwijk, M.V., Ambagau, Y., Palm, C.A., 2001. Reducing uncertainty in the use of allometric biomass equations for predicting above-ground tree biomass in mixed secondary forests. For. Ecol. Manage. 146, 199–209.
- Krishnan, P., Black, T.A., Grant, N.J., Barr, A.G., Hogg, E.H., Jassal, R.S., Morgenstern, K., 2006. Impact of changing soil moisture distribution on net ecosystem productivity of a boreal aspen forest during and following drought. Agric. For. Meteorol. 139, 208–223.
- Li, H.M., Ma, Y.X., Aide, T.M., Liu, W.J., 2008. Past, present and future land-use in Xishuangbanna, China and the implications for carbon dynamics. For. Ecol. Manage. 255, 16–24.
- Lin, Y.X., Zhang, Y.P., Zhao, W., Zhang, X., Dong, Y.X., Fei, X.H., Li, J., 2016. Comparison of transpiration characteristics in different aged rubber plantations. Chin. J. Ecol. 35, 855–863.
- Liu, Y.B., Xiao, J.F., Ju, W.M., Zhou, Y.L., Wang, S.Q., Wu, X.C., 2015. Water use efficiency of China's terrestrial ecosystems and responses to drought. Sci. Rep. 5, 13799.
- Malhi, Y., Baldocchi, D.D., Jarvis, P.G., 1999. The carbon balance of tropical, temperate and boreal forests. Plant Cell Environ. 22, 715–740.
- Mann, C.C., 2009. Addicted to rubber. Science 325, 564-566.
- McVicar, T.R., Zhang, G., Bradford, A.S., Wang, H., Dawes, W.R., Zhang, L., Li, L.T., 2002. Monitoring regional agricultural water use efficiency for Hebei province on the North China Plain. Aust. J. Agric. Res. 53, 55–76.
- Medrano, H., Tomás, M., Martorell, S., Flexas, J., Hernández, E., Rosselló, J., Pou, A., Escalona, J.M., Bota, J., 2015. From leaf to whole-plant water use efficiency (WUE) in complex canopies: limitations of leaf WUE as a selection target. Crop J. 3, 220–228.
- Niu, S., Wu, M., Han, Y., Xia, J., Li, L., Wan, S., 2007. Water-mediated responses of ecosystem carbon fluxes to climatic change in a temperate steppe. New Phytol. 177, 209–219.
- Nock, C.A., Baker, P.J., Wanek, W., Leis, A., Grabner, M., Bunyavejchewin, S., Hietz, P., 2011. Long-term increases in intrinsic water-use efficiency do not lead to increased stem growth in a tropical monsoon forest in Western Thailand. Global Change Biol. 17, 1049–1063.
- Nugawela, A., Long, S.P., Aluthhewage, R.K., 1995. Possible use of certain physiological characteristics of young *Hevea* plants in predicting yield at maturity. Indian J. Nat. Rubber Res. 8, 100–108.
- Pang, J.P., 2009. Carbon storage and its allocation of rubber plantation in Xishuangbanna, Southwest China (Master's Thesis). Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, pp. 26–27.
- Ponton, S., Flanagan, L.B., Alstad, K.P., Johnson, B.G., Morgenstern, K.A.I., Kljun, N., Black, T.A., Barr, A.G., 2006. Comparison of ecosystem water-use efficiency among Douglas-fir forest, aspen forest and grassland using eddy covariance and carbon isotope techniques. Global Change Biol. 12, 294–310.

Priyadarshan, P.M., 2017. Biology of *Hevea* Rubber, second Ed. Springer, Switzerland.

- Qiu, J., 2010. China drought highlights future climate threats. Nature 465, 142–143. Reichstein, M., Ciais, P., Papale, D., Valentini, R., Running, S., Viovy, N., Cramer, W., Granier, A., OgÉE, J., Allard, V., Aubinet, M., Bernhofer, C., Buchmann, N., Carrara, A., GrÜNwald, T., Heimann, M., Heinesch, B., Knohl, A., Kutsch, W., Loustau, D., Manca, G., Matteucci, G., Miglietta, F., Ourcival, J.M., Pilegaard, K., Pumpanen, J., Rambal, S., Schaphoff, S., Seufert, G., Soussana, J.F., Sanz, M.J., Vesala, T., Zhao, M., 2007. Reduction of ecosystem productivity and respiration during the European summer 2003 climate anomaly: a joint flux tower, remote sensing and modelling analysis. Global Change Biol. 13, 634–651.
- Rodrigo, V.H.L., Stirling, C.M., Teklehaimanot, Z., Samarasekera, R.K., Pathirana, P.D., 2005. Interplanting banana at high densities with immature rubber crop for improved water use. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 25, 45–54.
- Saugier, B., Granier, A., Pontailler, J.Y., Duerêne, E., Baldocchi, D.D., 1997. Transpiration of a boreal pine forest measured by branch bag, sap flow and micrometeorological methods. Tree Physiol. 17, 511–519.
- Song, Q.H., Braeckevelt, E., Zhang, Y.P., Sha, L.Q., Zhou, W.J., Liu, Y.T., Wu, C.S., Lu, Z.Y., Klemm, O., 2016. Evapotranspiration from a primary subtropical evergreen forest in Southwest China. Ecohydrology e1826.
- Song, Q.H., Fei, X.H., Zhang, Y.P., Sha, L.Q., Liu, Y.T., Zhou, W.J., Wu, C.S., Lu, Z.Y., Luo, K., Gao, J.B., Liu, Y.H., 2017. Water use efficiency in a primary subtropical evergreen forest in Southwest China. Sci. Rep. 7 srep43031.
- Song, Q.H., Tan, Z.H., Zhang, Y.P., Sha, L.Q., Deng, X.B., Deng, Y., Zhou, W.J., Zhao, J.F., Zhao, J.B., Zhang, X., Zhao, W., Yu, G.R., Sun, X.M., Liang, N.S., Yang, L.Y., 2014. Do the rubber plantations in tropical China act as large carbon sinks? iForest-Biogeosci. For. 7, 42–47.
- Stanhill, G., 1986. Water use efficiency. Adv. Agron. 39, 53-85.
- Tan, Z.H., Zhang, Y.P., Deng, X.B., Song, Q.H., Liu, W.J., Deng, Y., Tang, J.W., Liao, Z.Y., Zhao, J.F., Song, L., Yang, L.Y., 2015. Interannual and seasonal variability of water use efficiency in a tropical rainforest: results from a 9 year eddy flux time series. J.

#### Y. Lin et al.

Geophys. Res. Atmos. 120, 464-479.

- Tan, Z.H., Zhang, Y.P., Song, Q.H., Liu, W.J., Deng, X.B., Tang, J.W., Deng, Y., Zhou, W.J., Yang, L.Y., Yu, G.R., Sun, X.M., Liang, N.S., 2011. Rubber plantations act as water pumps in tropical China. Geophys. Res. Lett. 38, L24406.
- Tan, Z.H., Zhang, Y.P., Yu, G.R., Sha, L.Q., Tang, J.W., Deng, X.B., Song, Q.H., 2010. Carbon balance of a primary tropical seasonal rain forest. J. Geophys. Res. 115 D00H26.
- Tang, J.W., Pang, J.P., Chen, M.Y., Guo, X.M., Zeng, R., 2009. Biomass and its estimation model of rubber plantation in Xishuangbanna, Southwest China. Chin. J. Ecol. 28, 1942–1948.
- Tong, X.J., Zhang, J.S., Meng, P., Li, J., Zheng, N., 2014. Ecosystem water use efficiency in a warm-temperate mixed plantation in the North China. J. Hydrol. 512, 221–228.
- Tu, J., 2007. Coupling water consumption and carbon sequestration of artificial *Pinus Elliottii* forest in sub-tropical red earth hilly area. Doctoral Dissertation. Nanchang University, Nanchang, China, pp. 115–116.
- Vitousek, P.M., 1997. Human domination of earth's ecosystems. Science 277, 494–499.
   Wang, C.Y., Chen, Q.B., Peng, Y., Liu, J.B., Yang, L.F., Wang, Z.H., 2011. Carbon storage and distribution in rubber plantation during regeneration. For. Res. 24, 579–584.
- Wolf, S., Eugster, W., Ammann, C., Häni, M., Zielis, S., Hiller, R., Stieger, J., Imer, D., Merbold, L., Buchmann, N., 2013. Corrigendum: contrasting response of grassland versus forest carbon and water fluxes to spring drought in Switzerland. Environ. Res.
- Lett. 9, 089501.
  Wu, J. E., Liu, W. J., Chen, C. F., Bennett, J., 2016. Can intercropping with the world's three major beverage plants help improve the water use of rubber trees? J. Appl. Ecol. 53, 1787–1799.
- Xiao, J.F., Sun, G., Chen, J.Q., Chen, H., Chen, S.P., Dong, G., Gao, S.H., Guo, H.Q., Guo, J.X., Han, S.J., Kato, T., Li, Y.L., Lin, G.H., Lu, W.Z., Ma, M.G., McNulty, S., Shao, C.L., Wang, X.F., Xie, X., Zhang, X.D., Zhang, Z.Q., Zhao, B., Zhou, G.S., Zhou, J., 2013. Carbon fluxes, evapotranspiration, and water use efficiency of terrestrial

- ecosystems in China. Agric. For. Meteorol. 182-183, 76-90.
- Yang, B.A.I., Pallardy, S.G., Meyers, T.P., Gu, L.H., Hanson, P.J., Wullschleger, S.D., Heuer, M., Hosman, K.P., Riggs, J.S., Sluss, D.W., 2009. Environmental controls on water use efficiency during severe drought in an Ozark Forest in Missouri, USA. Global Change Biol. 16, 2252–2271.
- Yang, Y.T., Long, D., Shang, S.H., 2013. Remote estimation of terrestrial evapotranspiration without using meteorological data. Geophys. Res. Lett. 40, 3026–3030.
- Yu, G.R., Song, X., Wang, Q.F., Liu, Y.F., Guan, D.X., Yan, J.H., Sun, X.M., Zhang, L.M., Wen, X.F., 2008. Water-use efficiency of forest ecosystems in eastern China and its relations to environmental variables. New Phytol. 177, 927–937.
- Yu, G.R., Wang, Q.F., Zhuang, J., 2004. Modeling the water use efficiency of soybean and maize plants under environmental stresses: application of a synthetic model of photosynthesis-transpiration based on stomatal behavior. J. Plant Physiol. 161, 303–318.
- Zhang, K.Y., 1963. An analysis on the characteristics and forming factors of climates in the southern part of Yunnan. Acta Meteorol. Sin. 33, 210–230.
- Zhao, W., Zhang, Y.P., Song, Q.H., Zhang, X., Ji, H.L., Nizami, S.M., Yu, L., 2014. Characteristics of transpiration of rubber trees (*Hevea brasiliensis*) and its relationship with environmental factors. Chin. J. Ecol. 33, 1803–1810.
- Zhou, W.J., Ji, H.L., Zhu, J., Zhang, Y.P., Sha, L.Q., Liu, Y.T., Zhang, X., Zhao, W., Dong, Y.X., Bai, X.L., Lin, Y.X., Zhang, J.H., Zheng, X.H., 2016. The effects of nitrogen fertilization on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from a rubber plantation. Sci. Rep. 6, 28230.
- Zhu, H., Yan, L.C., 2009. Biogeographical affinities of the flora of southeastern Yunnan China. Botanical Studies 50, 467–475.
- Zhu, X., Yu, G., Wang, Q., Hu, Z., Han, S., Yan, J., Wang, Y., Zhao, L., 2014. Seasonal dynamics of water use efficiency of typical forest and grassland ecosystems in China. J. For. Res. 19, 70–76.
- Ziegler, A.D., Fox, J.M., Xu, J., 2009. The rubber juggernaut. Science 324, 1024–1025.