## FOUR FLAVONOLS WITH ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY FROM THE BARK OF *Cajanus cajan*

Xiao-Yu Xu,<sup>1</sup> Qing-Fei Fan,<sup>2</sup> Rui Zhan,<sup>3</sup> Ai-Ping Li,<sup>1\*</sup> Zhi-Ming Kang,<sup>1</sup> Qi-Shi Song,<sup>2\*</sup> and Kai-Bin Zheng<sup>1,4\*</sup>

*Cajanus cajan*, namely pigeonpea, is an edible bean belonging to the genus *Cajanus*, of the family Leguminosae, which is widely distributed in tropical and subtropical regions. Pigeonpea is used as a traditional medicine in the folk medicine of many countries [1–3].

Pigeonpea has been affirmed to possess a number of bioactivities such as antimicrobial [4], antioxidant [5], hypolipidemic [6], anticancer [3], and anti-osteoporosis [7, 8], characterized by flavonoids and stilbenoids. In the present study, bioassay-guided investigation of the pigeonpea bark led to the isolation of four flavonols.

The air-dried bark (1.0 kg) of *Cajanus cajan* was collected, then shattered and refluxed with 70% ethanol (20 L) for 2 h three times. The extracts were combined and concentrated in vacuum to yield the residue, then suspended in water and successively partitioned three times with petroleum ether, dichloromethane, and *n*-butanol. These three fractions were screened by DPPH radical scavenging activity, and the *n*-butanol fraction was chosen for further fractionation. The *n*-butanol fraction was subjected to DM-101 macroporous resin with gradient eluent by MeOH–H<sub>2</sub>O, then repeatedly chromatographed over silica gel column with an eluent of  $CH_2Cl_2$ –MeOH (95:5–75:25) and purified by Sephadex LH-20 to afford compounds 1–4. Their structures were identified by ESI-MS, 1D NMR, and 2D NMR.

**Quercetin (1).** Yellow crystals (MeOH). ESI-MS m/z 301 [M – H]<sup>–</sup>. Based on <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data and comparison with those reported in the literature [9].

**Isoquercitrin (2)**. Yellow crystals (MeOH). ESI-MS m/z 463 [M – H]<sup>–</sup>. D-Glucose was identified after acid hydrolysis of **2**. Based on <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data and comparison with those reported in literature [10].

**Quercetin 3-***O*-*β***-D-Xylofuranosyl(1→2)**-*β***-D-galactopyranoside (3)**. Yellow powder (MeOH). ESI-MS *m/z* 619  $[M + Na]^+$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, δ, ppm, J/Hz): 7.75 (1H, dd, J = 8.5, 2.2, H-6'), 7.51 (1H, d, J = 2.2, H-2'), 6.81 (1H, d, J = 8.5, H-5'), 6.38 (1H, d, J = 1.9, H-8), 6.17 (1H, d, J = 2.0, H-6), 5.69 (1H, d, J = 7.7, H-1"), 4.54 (1H, d, J = 7.4, H-1"'), 3.75 (1H, m, H-2"), 3.67 (1H, m, H-4"), 3.61 (1H, m, H-3"), 3.34 (1H, m, H-5"), 3.26 (2H, m, H-6", 4"'), 3.12 (1H, m, H-3"'), 3.05 (1H, m, H-2"'), 3.04 (1H, m, H-5"'). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, δ, ppm): 177.38 (C-4), 164.07 (C-7), 161.25 (C-5), 156.17 (C-2), 155.23 (C-9), 148.49 (C-4'), 144.94 (C-3'), 133.05 (C-3), 122.27 (C-6'), 121.15 (C-1'), 115.74 (C-2'), 115.19 (C-5'), 104.66 (C-1"'), 103.81 (C-10), 98.59 (C-6), 98.29 (C-1"), 93.37 (C-8), 79.84 (C-2"), 76.20 (C-3"'), 75.88 (C-5"), 73.94 (C-2"'), 73.62 (C-3"), 69.39 (C-4"'), 67.72 (C-4"), 65.65 (C-5"'), 59.94 (C-6"). D-Galactose and D-xylose were identified in the acid hydrolysis of **3**. The two sugars were (1→2) linked as confirmed by the HMBC correlation of H-1" at δ 5.69 with C-3 at δ 133.05. Thus, 3 was identified as quercetin 3-*O*-*β*-D-xylofuranosyl(1→2)-*β*-D-galactopyranoside [11].

<sup>1)</sup> Institute of Crop Sciences, Fujian Academy of Agricultural Sciences, No. 100, Pudang Rd., 350013, Fuzhou, P. R. China; 2) Key Laboratory of Tropical Plant Resources and Sustainable Use, Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, No. 88, Xuefu Rd., 650223, Kunming, P. R. China, e-mail: songqs@xtbg.ac.cr; 3) Institute of Quality Standards & Testing Technology for Agro-Products, Xinjiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, No. 403, Nanchang Rd., 830091, Urumqi, P. R. China; 4) Institute of Subtropical Agriculture, Fujian Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Dengke, 363005, Zhangzhou, P. R. China, e-mail: k03163@163.com. Published in *Khimiya Prirodnykh Soedinenii*, No. 5, September–October, 2017, pp. 815–816. Original article submitted January 10, 2016.

**Quercetin 3-***O*- $\beta$ -**D**-**Glucuronopyranoside (4)**. Yellow plates (MeOH). ESI-MS m/z 477 [M – H]<sup>–</sup>. D-Glucuronic acid was identified after acid hydrolysis of 4. Based on <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data and comparison with those reported in literature [12].

Compounds **3** and **4** were isolated for the first time from the family Leguminosae, while **3** has been reported only once from *Nelumbo nucifera* [11].

Antioxidant Activity. The ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) of compounds was determined as reported by [13]. The DPPH radical scavenging activity of fractions and compounds was performed as reported by [14]. ABTS<sup>++</sup> radical scavenging capacity of fractions and compounds was carried out as described by [15]. Ascorbic acid was used as positive control. The rank order for FRAP of the four compounds was 1 > 2 > 4 > 3. The DPPH radical scavenging activity of the *n*-butanol fraction (EC<sub>50</sub> 33.8 ± 0.7 µg·mL<sup>-1</sup>) was higher than the dichloromethane and petroleum ether fractions (EC<sub>50</sub> 280 ± 6.4, > 400 µg·mL<sup>-1</sup>). Compounds **1**, **2**, and **4** exhibited good DPPH scavenging activities (EC<sub>50</sub> 11.8 ± 0.4, 18.2 ± 0.9, 18.3 ± 1.6 µg·mL<sup>-1</sup>), while compound **3** (EC<sub>50</sub> 33.2 ± 1.0 µg·mL<sup>-1</sup>) exhibited moderate activity compared with ascorbic acid (EC<sub>50</sub> 14.9 ± 0.4 µg·mL<sup>-1</sup>). The *n*-butanol fraction displayed the highest ABTS<sup>++</sup> scavenging activity with EC<sub>50</sub> of 221.2 ± 5.9 µg·mL<sup>-1</sup> compared with the other two fractions (EC<sub>50</sub> > 800, 800 µg·mL<sup>-1</sup>). Compound **1** exhibited good ABTS<sup>++</sup> scavenging activity (EC<sub>50</sub> 67.3 ± 0.8 µg·mL<sup>-1</sup>), while compounds **2–4** (EC<sub>50</sub> 229.1 ± 3.6, 361.5 ± 15.4, 241.8 ± 4.9 µg·mL<sup>-1</sup>) exhibited moderate activity compared with ascorbic acid (EC<sub>50</sub> 82.8 ± 0.4 µg·mL<sup>-1</sup>).

Structure–Antioxidant Activity Relationship. The glycosylation of the hydroxyl group at C-3 of the C-ring reduced the antioxidant activity, as shown in compound 2 compared with 1. The antioxidant activity of compound 4 was lower than 2, showing that the type of glycosyl at C-3 affects antioxidant activity. A disaccharide unit led to a greater reduction of antioxidant activity than a monosaccharide unit at C-3 of the aglycone, as shown in compound 3 compared with 2 and 4 [16]. These results demonstrated that the existence, type, and number of glycosyl affected the antioxidant activity of the flavonol.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank the Key Projects of Fujian Province (No. 2014N0024) and the Special Funds of Fujian Province (No. CXTD-1-1301) for financial support.

## REFERENCES

- 1. J. K. Grover, S. Yadav, and V. Vats, J. Ethnopharmacol., 81, 81 (2002).
- 2. P. K. Mukherjee, K. Maiti, K. Mukherjee, and P. J. Houghton, J. Ethnopharmacol., 106, 1 (2006).
- 3. J. S. Ashidi, P. J. Houghton, P. J. Hylands, and T. Efferth, J. Ethnopharmacol., 128, 501 (2010).
- 4. O. L. Oyewole, A. A. Owoseni, and E. O. Faboro, J. Med. Plants Res., 4, 2004 (2010).
- 5. T. Ghosh, T. K. Maity, and A. Bose, J. Nat. Rem., 9, 228 (2009).
- 6. O. A. Akinloye and O. O. Solanke, J. Med. Plants Res., 5, 2521 (2011).
- 7. J. C. Zhang, C. L. Ba, X. Y. Ji, S. G. Shen, M. S. Yang, and S. X. Wang, *Pharm. Biol.*, 47, 841 (2009).
- 8. Y. Y. Zheng, J. Yang, D. H. Chen, and L. Sun, Yaoxue Xuebao, 42, 386 (2007).
- 9. A. M. Pawlowska, F. Camangi, A. Bader, and A. Braca, Food Chem., 112, 858 (2009).
- 10. J. Y. Kim, J. Y. Cho, Y. K. Ma, K. Y. Park, S. H. Lee, K. S. Ham, H. J. Lee, K. H. Park, and J. H. Moon, *Food Chem.*, **125**, 55 (2011).
- 11. C. H. Park, J. M. Hur, K. S. Song, and J. C. Park, Korean J. Pharm., 38, 263 (2007).
- 12. H. Handoussa, R. Hanafi, I. Eddiasty, M. El-Gendy, A. El Khatib, M. Linscheid, L. Mahran, and N. Ayoub, *J. Funct. Foods*, **5**, 1204 (2013).
- 13. L. M. Tian, Y. Zhao, C. Guo, and X. B. Yang, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 83, 537 (2011).
- 14. K. Shimada, K. Fujikawa, K. Yahara, and T. Nakamura, J. Agric. Food Chem., 40, 945 (1992).
- 15. P. Deetae, P. Parichanon, P. Trakunleewatthana, C. Chanseetis, and S. Lertsiri, Food Chem., 133, 953 (2012).
- 16. N. Krafczyk, F. Woyand, and M. A. Glomb, Mol. Nutr. Food Res., 53, 635 (2009).