# Natural hybridization and reproductive isolation between two *Primula* species<sup>16</sup>

Free Access

**Summary** Natural hybridization frequently occurs in plants and can facilitate gene flow between species, possibly resulting in species refusion. However, various reproductive barriers block the formation of hybrids and maintain species integrity. Here, we conducted a field survey to examine natural hybridization and reproductive isolation (RI) between sympatric populations of *Primula secundiflora* and *P. poissonii* using ten nuclear simple sequence repeat (SSR) loci. Although introgressive hybridization occurred, species boundaries between *P. secundiflora* and *P. poissonii* were maintained through nearly complete reproductive isolation. These interfertile species provide an excellent model for studying the RI mechanisms and evolutionary forces that maintain species boundaries.

Natural hybridization is common in plants, and has many evolutionary consequences. Introgressive hybridization increases species diversity and ecological adaptability (Jensen et al. 2005; Abbott et al. 2013), and excessive introgressive hybridization results in gene flow and, eventually, species refusion which blear species boundary (Rieseberg and Ellstrand 1993; Runyeon Lager and Prentice 2000). By contrast, reproductive isolation (RI) blocks the formation of hybrids and promotes species isolation (Rogers and Bernatchez 2006; Baack et al. 2015). Most studies on plant RI have focused on only one or a few particular barriers to limit interspecific gene flow, although there are exceptions (e.g., Scopece et al. 2013; Baek et al. 2016; Ma et al. 2016). To determine how species boundaries are maintained between hybridizing species, it is important to understand both the causes and results of hybridization (Furches et al. 2013) and the reproductive barriers that determine the relationship between species boundaries and hybridization of taxa (Widmer et al. 2009; De hert et al. 2012).

*Primula* L. is a genus of flowering plants with a heterostylous breeding system and extreme species richness, particularly in the eastern Sino-Himalaya

region (between 90° and 100° E and 25° to 30° N) (Richards 2003). Only two cases of natural hybridization have been reported in this region (Zhu et al. 2009; Ma et al. 2014). Interspecific hybridization between P. secundiflora Franchet and P. poissonii Franchet was identified using nuclear internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequences (Zhu et al. 2009). However, the status of the hybrid individuals and interspecific RI were not mentioned. To explore the consequence of hybridization and the maintenance of species boundaries between these two species, we identified the genetic structure of 110 individuals in the sympatric populations using ten SSR (simple sequence repeats) loci. In addition, we conducted field experiments in Shangri-La to evaluate the contribution of various reproductive barriers (pre-pollination isolation: phonological and pollinator-mediated isolation; post-pollination isolation: seed number, viability and germination) to the total RI between these two species (File S1).

The number of alleles per locus ranged from 5 to 11 (average 7.9); the allele size range and number of alleles per locus are shown in Table S1. Results from the  $N_{EW}H_{YBRIDS}$  program suggested that 97 of the 100 morphological parental individuals were pure parental species (with posterior probabilities of  $\geq$  90.7%), while the remaining three individuals were backcrosses to P. poissonii. All ten hybrids were backcrosses to P. poissonii (with posterior probabilities of >85.8%; Figure 1A). We assigned individuals that had been previously morphologically identified as P. secundiflora to one cluster with high probability (q = 0.993) $\pm$  0.001) using the S<sub>TRUCTURE</sub> software and those that had been previously morphologically identified as P. poissonii to the other cluster with a similarly high probability (q =  $0.985 \pm 0.005$ ). The mean estimated proportion of P. secundiflora was  $0.340 \pm 0.017$  in the ten hybrids (Figure 1B). P. poissonii and P. secundiflora individuals were separated into two clusters in PCoA (Figure 2).

<sup>© 2017</sup> Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences



Figure 1. Bayesian clustering analysis of Primula poissonii, the hybrids, and P. secundiflora using nSSR data Clustering results based on the programs (A)  $N_{EW}H_{YBRIDS}$  and (B)  $S_{TRUCTURE}$  for K = 2.

The total isolation of each species was quite high, i.e., 1.0000 for P. secundiflora and 0.9968 for P. poissonii when it served as mother donor (Table 1). Postpollination isolation explained 54.70% and 51.76% of the total isolation for P. secundiflora and P. poissonii, respectively, which is a little more than that explained by pre-pollination isolation. Pollinator-mediated barriers and low interspecific seed number contributed the most to the total RI. Post-pollination isolation limited interspecific gene flow when pre-pollination isolation was permeable. Detailed information for each barrier was documented in File S2. Although introgressive hybridization had occurred, species boundaries were maintained by multiple reproductive barriers. As the flowering times of the two species were nearly coincident, flowering time represents only a minor reproductive barrier. Pollinator assemblage mediated barriers contributed an asymmetric moderate isolation, with stronger isolation in P. poissonii, because all the visits to P. secundiflora were from Hymenoptera (bumblebees and Anthophora species), whereas about 30% of visits to P. poissonii were from Lepidoptera (butterflies). These findings suggest that prepollination barriers between P. secundiflora and P. poissonii were not complete, in such case,

post-pollination barriers would work to restrict hybridization. Here, we showed that interspecific hybridized  $F_1$ seed numbers were significantly lower than those for the intraspecific crosses, especially when *P. secundiflora* was the maternal donor. Furthermore, embryo development failure was common in seeds produced by interspecific crossing, and the seed viability resulting from hybridization was significantly lower than that in intraspecific crosses, visible under X-ray as empty seeds and stunted embryos. Finally, low germination rate is a known post-pollination barrier preventing hybridization, and similarly, we found low germination rates for hybrid seeds in both *P. poissonii* and *P. secundiflora*.

Disturbed habitats might maximize the opportunities for interspecific hybridization (Arnold 1997). A convincing evidence is sunflower hybrid swarms that formed following habitat disturbance due to grazing, and/or trail and road construction (Heiser 1979). In another case, sheep disturbance was believed to be a cause for hybridization of *Psidium socorrense* and *P.* sp. aff. *Sartorianum* (López-Caamal et al. 2014). Grazing activity from livestock is common in the *P. secundiflora* × *P. poissonii* populations, and may have created habitat disturbances and favored the formation of hybrids. Once  $F_1$ s arise, they can backcross

Principal coordinates (PCoA)



# Figure 2. Plot of genetic structure (PCoA) based on variation at 10 nSSRs of Primula poissonii, P. secundiflora, and hybrids

The x-axes and y-axes represent 62.21% and 5.88% of the variance in genetic structure, respectively.

to parental species, following a classic pattern of natural hybridization (Arnold 1997; Rieseberg and Carney 1998). The differences between the two parental species in heteromorphic incompatibility might explain the occurrence of backcrosses to *P. poissonii*. Viable seed was generally set only when pollination occurred between different morphs (termed as "legitimate" crosses in *Primula*), but in many species illegitimate

| Reproductive barriers | Components of RI           |                           | Absolute contribution to total RI |                           |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|
|                       | P. secundiflora $^{\circ}$ | P. poissonii <sup>♀</sup> | P. secundiflora $^{\circ}$        | P. poissonii <sup>¢</sup> |
| Phenological          | 0.130                      | 0.111                     | 0.1304                            | 0.1111                    |
| Pollinator mediated   | 0.371                      | 0.416                     | 0.3226                            | 0.3698                    |
| Pre-pollination RI    |                            |                           | 0.4530                            | 0.4809                    |
| Seed number           | 0.980                      | 0.704                     | 0.5361                            | 0.3654                    |
| Seed viability        | 0.895                      | 0.788                     | 0.0098                            | 0.1211                    |
| Seed germination      | 0.989                      | 0.902                     | 0.0011                            | 0.0294                    |
| Post-pollination RI   |                            |                           | 0.5470                            | 0.5159                    |
| Total RI              |                            |                           | 1.0000                            | 0.9968                    |

Table 1. The strength of each reproductive barrier component, and the absolute contribution of this component to total reproductive isolation when *Primula secundiflora* and *P. poissonii* served as mothers

pollinations (selfs or crosses between plants of the same morph) result in some seed set (Richards 2003). When crosses happened on *P. poissonii* mothers, more seeds could be produced, while few or no seeds could be formed on *P. secundiflora* mothers. It is possible that the weak heteromorphic incompatibility system in *P. poissonii* provided a greater chance for hetero-specific pollen grains to penetrate their stigmas and styles. Similarly, for another pair of *Primula* species, *P. beesiana* and *P. bulleyana*, where the numbers of  $F_1$  seeds are substantially lower on *P. bulleyana* mothers (Ma et al. 2014).

Overall, despite the sympatry, synchronous flowering times and shared pollinators, we found that *P. poissonii* and *P. secundiflora* maintained species integrity for long periods of time due to strong RI, reducing the instances of natural hybridization. These naturally hybridizing *Primula* species with different incompatibilities, offer a unique chance to understand the evolutionary importance of RI in heterostylous species.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31500194 and U1202261). We would especially like to thank Potatso National Park and the Shangri-La Alpine Botanic Garden for logistical support.

# Yanping Xie<sup>1,2</sup>, Xingfu Zhu<sup>1</sup>, Yongpeng Ma<sup>3</sup>, Jianli Zhao<sup>4</sup>, Li Li<sup>1,2</sup> and Qingjun Li<sup>4\*</sup>

Beijing 10049, China

 Key Laboratory of Tropical Forest Ecology, Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Mengla 666303, China
Graduate University of Chinese Academy of Sciences,

3. Key Laboratory for Plant Diversity and Biogeography of East Asia, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650201, China

4. Laboratory of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, State Key Laboratory for Conservation and Utilization of Bio-Resources in Yunnan, Yunnan University, Kunming 650091, China

\*Correspondence: Qingjun Li (qingjun.li@ynu.edu.cn) doi: 10.1111/jipb.12546 Edited by: Meng-Xiang Sun, Wuhan University, China Received Mar. 28, 2017; Accepted Apr. 17, 2017; Online on Apr. 21, 2017 FA: Free Access, paid by JIPB

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Y. X., X. Z., Y. M., J. Z., and Q. L. designed the research and wrote the manuscript; Y. X., X. Z., and L. L. performed experiments; Y. X. analyzed data and prepared the figures and tables.

### REFERENCES

- Abbott R, Albach D, Ansell S, Arntzen JW, Baird SJE, Bierne N, Boughman J, Brelsford A, Buerkle CA, Buggs R (2013) Hybridization and speciation. **J Evol Biol** 26: 229–246
- Arnold ML (1997) Natural Hybridization and Evolution. Oxford University Press, New York
- Baack E, Melo MC, Rieseberg LH, Ortiz-Barrientos D (2015) The origins of reproductive isolation in plants. New Phytol 207: 968–984
- Baek YS, Royer SM, Broz AK, Covey PA, Lopez-Casado G, Nunez R, Kear PJ, Bonierbale M, Orillo M, van der Knaap E, Stack SM, McClure B, Chetelat RT, Bedinger PA (2016) Interspecific reproductive barriers between sympatric populations of wild tomato species (Solanum section Lycopersicon). Am J Bot 103: 1964–1978
- De hert K, Jacquemyn H, Van Glabeke S, Roldán-Ruiz I, Vandepitte K, Leus L, Honnay O (2012) Reproductive isolation and hybridization in sympatric populations of three *Dactylorhiza* species (Orchidaceae) with different ploidy levels. **Ann Bot** 109: 709–720
- Furches MS, Small RL, Furches A (2013) Hybridization leads to interspecific gene flow in Sarracenia (Sarraceniaceae). Am J Bot 100: 2085–2091
- Heiser CB (1979) Hybrid populations of Helianthus divaricatus and Helianthus microcephalus after 22 years. **Taxon** 28: 71–75
- Jensen AB, Palmer KA, Boomsma JJ, Pedersen BV (2005) Varying degrees of *Apis mellifera ligustica* introgression in protected populations of the black honeybee, *Apis mellifera mellifera*, in northwest Europe. **Mol Ecol** 14: 93–106
- López-Caamal A, Cano-Santana Z, Jiménez-Ramírez J, Ramírez-Rodríguez R, Tovar-Sánchez E (2014) Is the insular endemic *Psidium socorrense* (Myrtaceae) at risk of extinction through hybridization? **Plant Systemat Evol** 300: 1959–1972
- Ma YP, Xie WJ, Tian XL, Sun WB, Wu ZK, Milne R (2014) Unidirectional hybridization and reproductive barriers between two heterostylous primrose species in north-west Yunnan, China. **Ann Bot** 113: 763–775

- Ma YP, Xie WJ, Sun WB, Marczewski T (2016) Strong reproductive isolation despite occasional hybridization between a widely distributed and a narrow endemic *Rhododendron* species. **Sci Rep** 6: 19146
- Richards J (2003) Primula (new edition). Timber Press, Inc., Oregon. USA
- Rieseberg LH, Carney SE (1998) Plant hybridization. New Phytol 140: 599–624
- Rieseberg LH, Ellstrand NC (1993) What can molecular and morphological markers tell us about plant hybridizaiton? **Crit Rev Plant Sci** 12: 213–241
- Rogers SM, Bernatchez L (2006) The genetic basis of intrinsic and extrinsic post-zygotic reproductive isolation jointly promoting speciation in the lake whitefish species complex (*Coregonus clupeaformis*). J **Evol Biol** 19: 1979–1994
- Runyeon-Lager H, Prentice HC (2000) Morphometric variation in a hybrid zone between the weed, *Silene vulgaris*, and the endemic, *Silene uniflora* ssp. *petraea* (Caryophyllaceae), on the Baltic island of Öland. **Can J Bot** 78: 1384–1397
- Scopece G, Croce A, Lexer C, Cozzolino S (2013) Components of reproductive isolation between Orchis mascula and Orchis pauciflora. **Evolution** 67: 2083–2093
- Widmer A, Lexer C, Cozzolino S (2009) Evolution of reproductive isolation in plants. **Heredity** 102: 31–38
- Zhu XF, Li Y, Wu GL, Fang ZD, Li QJ, Liu JQ (2009) Molecular and morphological evidence for natural hybridization between *Primula secundiflora* Franchet and *P. poissonii* Franchet (Primulaceae). Acta Biol Crac Ser Bot 51: 29–36

# SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article: http:// onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/jipb.12546/suppinfo

**Figure S1.** The sympatric populations of *P. poissonii* and *P. secundiflora* and flowers of *P. poissonii*, hybrid individuals and *P. secundiflora* 

(A) The sympatric populations and a representative flower of (B) *P. poissonii*, (C) the natural hybrid, and (D) *P. secundiflora* 

File S1. Materials and Methods

**File S2.** Reproductive isolation between *P. poissonii* and *P. secundiflora* 

**Table S1.** Basic allele information for the ten nSSR loci inthe two parental species and hybrids

**Table S2.** Observations of pollinator visits to P.secundiflora and P. poissonii, and the proportion ofvisits of each pollinator to each plant species

**Table S3.** Seed numbers per flower resulting from 16pollination treatments of the two parental species *P.*secundiflora and *P.* poissonii

**Table S4.** Effects of cross-pollination treatments (intraor inter-species, mother species, pin or thrum as mother) on seed production



Scan using WeChat with your smartphone to view JIPB online



Scan with iPhone or iPad to view JIPB online