# Environmental determinism of community structure across trophic levels: moth assemblages and substrate type in the rain forests of south-western China

# R. L. Kitching<sup>\*, †,1</sup>, A. Nakamura<sup>\*</sup>, M. Yasuda<sup>\*</sup>, A. C. Hughes<sup>\*</sup> and Cao Min<sup>\*</sup>

\* Key Laboratory of Tropical Forest Ecology, Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Gardens, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Menglun, Yunnan 666303, China † Environmental Futures Research Institute and Griffith School of Environment, Griffith University, Nathan, Queensland 4111, Australia

(Received 20 June 2014; revised 9 September 2014; accepted 10 September 2014; first published online 13 October 2014)

**Abstract:** Soil type may drive vegetation structure. In turn, the richness, identity and diversity of arthropod herbivores may be related to plant diversity through specific host plant relationships in a location. We test the hypothesis that the soil type (calcicolous vs alluvial soils) will drive the assemblage structure of a dominant group of arthropod herbivores: the moths. We used sampling sites in rain-forest fragments in south-western China around the Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Gardens (21°41′N, 101°25′E) to test this hypothesis. We used Pennsylvania style light traps to take point samples of macromoths and pyraloids from four sampling sites in forest remnants on a limestone geological base and four from alluvial-based forest. A total of 3165 moths (1739 from limestone-based and 1255 from alluvium-based forests) was collected representing 1255 species. The limestone-based sites showed statistically similar levels of species richness and other alpha diversity indices to the four alluvium-based site. Nevertheless the sites were clearly significantly different in terms of species composition. Analysis of contrasting similarity ('beta' diversity) indices suggested that there was 'leakage' between the two classes of sites when 'rare' species were emphasized in the calculations. We used an indicator value procedure to select species that most characterized this separation. We expect that these differences reflect associated changes in plant assemblage structure acting through the herbivorous habits of larval moths. Accordingly, in any assessment of landscape level diversity the nature of the design of conservation programmes.

Key Words: China, Lepidoptera, limestone, substrate, Xishuangbanna

#### INTRODUCTION

Early workers determined that the nature of the geological substrate and the resulting soil was a key factor in determining the composition of plant assemblages and the vegetation formations associated with them (Salisbury 1920). In the case of calcium-rich ('calcicolous') regions, particularly those forming karst landscapes, these earlier observations were expanded to the point where whole regional floras were identified, defined by the underlying substrate. The consequential structuring of animal assemblages has been less well studied. The association of karst formations with bat diversity is well-known (Chapman 1993, Struebig *et al.* 2009), and specific associations between particular birds and limestone

landscapes is also recognized (Mansor *et al.* 2011, Rahman *et al.* 2004). Within the invertebrates, the relationship between environments rich in calcium carbonate and the diversity of shell-bearing gastropods is well established (Schilthuizen *et al.* 2003, 2005). Chalk and limestone landscapes have long been associated with particular butterfly assemblages (Dennis 2012). Assemblages of other terrestrial invertebrates associated with calcicolous environments, however, are less well known to naturalists.

Most of these general statements are based on work in temperate regions of Europe and North America (although we have quoted other references where they exist). In the tropics, again, it is karst landscapes in particular that have received, and continue to receive, the attention of researchers (Clements *et al.* 2006). The focus again has been on vegetation, selected vertebrate species and gastropods. Isolated outcrops of limestone and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Corresponding author. Email: R.Kitching@griffith.edu.au, randbkitching@bigpond.com

well-defined areas of karst have high levels of endemism and their conservation importance is becoming widely recognized (Clements *et al.* 2006).

The diversity of insect herbivores found in a particular patch of forest is increasingly being thought of as a function of underlying plant diversity: all else being equal, the greater the diversity of available food resources, the greater will be the diversity of the associated phytophages (Novotny *et al.* 2004, 2006). Accordingly, where there is a known environmental factor such as substrate type driving the vegetation composition, then we predict that driver will have an indirect impact on the assemblage of associated insect herbivores.

The Xishuangbanna Dai Autonomous Region in the extreme south-west of Yunnan, China, presents a fragmented landscape with remnant forest patches on limestone-derived substrates intermixed with other, principally alluvial soils. It provides an ideal situation to test the contention that herbivore assemblages will be driven by substrate type. There is a rich background of vegetation research in the region (Cao et al. 2006, Lan et al. 2008, Zhu et al. 2006) including explicit studies of the limestone flora (Wang et al. 1997, Zhu 2002, Zhu et al. 1996, 1998, 2003) and the impacts of forest fragmentation (Zhu et al. 2004). There are few, if any, parallel zoological studies although several workers have examined soil arthropods in calcicolous landscapes elsewhere in China (Chen et al. 2011, Fan et al. 2013). The readily sampled, herbivorous, night-flying Lepidoptera are particularly diverse in the region and provide an ideal tool for looking at the second-order effects of substrate on faunal diversity.

We have used this combination of factors to test the hypothesis that there will be particular herbivore faunas associated with rain-forest remnants occurring on particular substrates. We base this hypothesis on the expectation that the adult Lepidoptera encountered in a particular location will reflect the available larval foodplants in the vicinity. We further expect that, if this is the case, there will be a subset of lepidopteran taxa which drives any such relationship. These communitystructuring species will be of importance in trying to understand the observed diversity within these forests.

#### METHODS

#### Study sites

The region surrounding the Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Gardens is a mixed landscape in which remnants of tropical rain forest lie within a matrix of human settlements, disturbed riparian vegetation, agricultural land, and, increasingly and pervasively, rubber plantations. At higher elevations, tea gardens may be mixed with or replace rubber as an extensive single-species plantation crop (Li *et al.* 2007, 2009). The underlying geology and pedology is complex but comprises outcroppings of limestone within a mix of sedimentary deposits (Cao *et al.* 2006). Broadly rain-forest remnants may be classed as associated with a limestone or an alluvial base (Figure 1).

Cao & Zhang (1997) compare and contrast the dominant trees of remnant forests in the region. The alluvial forests (as we refer to them here) are tropical seasonal rain forests, recorded by Cao & Zhang (1997) as dominated by tree species such as *Pometia tomentosa* (Sapindaceae), *Terminalia myriocarpa* (Combretaceae), *Semecarpus reticulata*, *Dracontomelon macrocarpum* (Anacardiaceae) and *Ficus altissima* (Moraceae). The dipterocarp species *Shorea chinensis*, although present to the south of the sites, does not occur in the remnants studied. The limestone sites are clothed in monsoon forest dominated by tree species such as *Tetrameles nudiflora* (Tetrameleaceae), *Cleistanthus sumatranus* (Phyllanthaceae), *Garuga pinnata* (Burseraceae) and *Dracaena cochinchinesis* (Asparagaceae).

The average annual temperature for the region is 21.4°C. The summer climate is dominated by warm, wet air masses that move eastwards from the Indian Ocean. Cooler, drier continental air masses drive the winter climate. Average annual rainfall is 1539 mm of which over 80% falls in the summer wet season (Cao & Zhang 1997).

#### Sampling design

We selected eight sampling sites for study, four on limestone geology and four on alluvium. Choice of sites was based on accessibility and availability. Inter-site distances ranged from 1.79 to 13.56 km (mean, all pairs of sites 7.82  $\pm$  0.77 km; alluvial sites 7.12  $\pm$  2.19 km, limestone sites  $7.32 \pm 2.19$  km) (Figure 1). Pennsylvaniastyle light traps, modified for use in rain forests, were used (Kitching et al. 2005). Pennsylvania light traps are similar in design to the familiar Heath traps (Heath 1965). Unlike the larger Robinson or Rothamsted (and other) traps (Robinson & Robinson 1950, Williams 1948), traps of this kind are designed to sample a very local area and not to garner as large a sample as possible from the largest possible area (Beck & Linsenmair 2006, Taylor & French 1974). Pennsylvania traps use a vertical actinic tube powered by a 12-v battery. The light is surrounded by three transparent vanes suspended over a collecting bucket. Rain-forest-specific modifications include a rain cover above and a battery holder beneath the trap so that the whole can be hung as an independent unit. Traps were placed within rain-forest remnants suspended at



Figure 1. Map of the study region within the Xishuangbanna Dai Autonomous Prefecture, southern Yunnan, China, showing sampling sites and limestone and alluvial forest remnants in 2003. The map was compiled in ArcMap 10.1.

head height from suitable branches. Three traps were deployed at each sampling site placed so as to be visually independent of each other. Because the response of moths to traps during any particular night is highly dependent on current and unpredictable local conditions, a target size of sample was established. Essentially we aimed to sample at least 70 individuals of the target taxa (or as close to that number as could reasonably be achieved) from each trap. The number of trap nights within each remnant, therefore, varied from three to ten trap nights. Traps remained 'open' all night in every case. The two days each side of the full moon were avoided. Actual catches per trap varied from 69 to 210 and per site from 253 to 502. Catches from the three traps positioned at each site were combined, except for the indicator value (IndVal) analysis where each trap was treated separately. All trapping was carried out between 4 September 2004 and 10 October 2004.

Catches were returned to the laboratory and all macro-moths plus Pyraloidea were recovered from the catches. The 'macro-moths' in this case included the clade currently designated as Obtectomera (Regier *et al.* 2013) plus superfamilies conventionally considered as 'macros' by lepidopterists (in this case the Hepialoidea, Cossoidea and Zygaenoidea). All were sorted into morphospecies and counted. A mounted reference collection was established

and, subsequently, deposited at the Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Gardens.

To produce forest maps for Xishuangbanna at or near the time of sampling, we compiled Figure 1 in ArcMap 10.1 using the ESRI MapServer 'world\_imagery' file for 2003 (http://services.arcgisonline.com/arcgis/rest/ services/World\_Imagery/MapServer). The base layer is composed of three layers of data of varied resolution, allowing an accuracy of 2.5 m (based on SPOT imagery) to 15 m (based on TerraColor imagery) for the region surrounding XTBG. Each vegetation class of interest was digitized manually using the highest resolution cloudfree data for the region, and the two forest types (alluvial and limestone) differentiated based on topography and ground-truthed data to determine limestone and nonlimestone areas. We used this forest map to calculate the area of each forest fragment using QGIS software. The elevation and aspect were derived from the digital elevation model ASTER GDEM (USGS/NASA) (30 m resolution) for all eight study plots.

#### Analysis

We designed our analyses around a sequence of four basic questions, followed by a statistical identification of species indicative of each substrate type.

Are there differences in diversity between the alluvial and limestone assemblages of moths? We used measures of abundance, species richness, and three complimentary composite diversity indices – the exponential of the Shannon index, the inverse of the Simpson index and the Shannon measure of evenness (Jost 2006, Magurran 2003). We tested the significance of differences in these values for alluvial and limestone forests using simple t-tests. All diversity calculations were conducted using the *vegan* package (R package version 2.0–10. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package = vegan).

How well was the fauna in each forest type sampled? We used individual-based and coverage-based rarefaction curves (Chao & Jost 2012) to address this question. Individual-based rarefaction curves plot species richness against a given number of individuals selected randomly from the observed samples until all individuals have been accumulated (Colwell *et al.* 2012). Coverage-based rarefaction curves, on the other hand, plot species richness against rarefied sample completeness, which is the estimated proportion of the total number of individuals in a community represented by the species sampled (Chao & Jost 2012). For both types of rarefaction curves, we used 100 replicate bootstrapping to estimate 95%

confidence intervals. Rarefaction curves were generated using the *iNEXT* package (iNEXT: iNterpolation and EXTrapolation for species diversity. R package version 1.0. http://chao.stat.nthu.edu.tw/blog/) in R.

Were there differences in species composition between sites within each forest type (i.e. within soil-type 'beta'-diversity)? For this we used a methodology based on diversity partitioning. We used additive partitioning of species richness to partition alpha and beta from gamma diversities. That is: we calculated our beta ( $\beta$ ) values from the relationship:

$$\beta = \gamma - \alpha$$

where  $\gamma$  is the total number of species encountered within each forest type and  $\alpha$  is the mean number of species encountered at each sampling site within the particular forest type. The significance of alpha and beta diversities were calculated using *Partition 3.0* software (PARTITION: software for hierarchical partitioning of species diversity. version 3.0. http://www.users.muohio. edu/cristto/partition.htm.) using 4999 randomizations.

Do the two substrate types have different associated assemblages of moths? We calculated assemblage similarities using four types of similarity index and then by ordinations based on these indices. Each of the indices uses a different, complimentary, basis on which to calculate similarity and hence provides different insights into the similarities and differences between the two classes of sites. The Sørensen index calculates assemblage similarities based on simple presence/absence data; Bray-Curtis similarities incorporate relative abundance of the species (Jost *et al.* 2011); the Raup–Crick index (Chase et al. 2011) returns a probabilistic resemblance measure which calculates the probability that observed sites have a greater number of shared species than two sites with species drawn at random from the species pool of a given habitat; and, finally, the Chao-Jaccard index (Chao et al. 2005, 2006) makes estimates of shared species based on the number of observed rare, shared species between two sites. In calculating the Sørensen, Bray-Curtis and Raup-Crick indices, all species that were represented by single individuals were excluded. The Bray-Curtis index was calculated using log-transformed proportional abundances. All similarity indices were calculated using the vegan package in R.

Similarity matrices of these four indices were then used to generate non-metric multi-dimensional scaling (NMDS) ordinations (with 25 random restarts to find the lowest stress values) using *PRIMER 6* software (Clarke & Gorley 2006). The differences in assemblage composition between limestone and alluvial forests were tested using the *ANOSIM* routine available within *PRIMER 6*. We also

tested whether changes in the assemblage compositions were related to the geographic proximities of the sites using the *RELATE* routine. Geographic proximities were calculated using Euclidean distances among the normalized latitude and longitude coordinates of the sites. The potential influences of other environmental factors (aspects, altitude and forest area) were investigated using the step-wise selection procedure available in the *DistLM* (Distance-based linear model) routine of *PRIMER 6* and *PERMANOVA*+ add-on software (Anderson *et al.* 2008).

Finding indicator species. To find species characteristic of limestone or alluvial forests, we used the indicator value protocol developed by Dufrêne & Legendre (1997), using the labdsv package in R (labdsv: Ordination and Multivariate Analysis for Ecology. R package, version 1.6-1. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=labdsv.). The indicator values combine a measure of specificity (relative abundance) and fidelity (relative frequency) of a species in a given habitat type, to calculate percentage indicator values (IndVals) for each species. Indicator value of 100% can be attained when a species is found from all of the samples (maximum fidelity) within a particular habitat (maximum specificity). We selected species which had significant indicator values based on 4999 permutations of the samples. We used individual traps (N = 12 per forest type) for indicator value analysis to measure fidelity. McGeoch *et al.* (2002) have suggested that a benchmark of 70% be adopted as an indicator value when designating a 'strong' indicator.

#### RESULTS

A total of 3165 moths was collected (1426 individuals in alluvial and 1739 in limestone locations), representing 1255 morphospecies. Despite the large number of species found, relatively few species were widespread and the majority of them were either represented by a single (756 species) or a pair (216 species) of individuals. There were no significant differences between alluvial and limestone forest in values of average abundance, species richness or any of the diversity indices used.

Both coverage-based and individual-based rarefaction curves showed similar trajectories for both alluvial and limestone forests, with 95% confidence intervals of extrapolated curves overlapping with each other (Figure 2). Both partitioned alpha and beta diversities showed similar proportional values between alluvial and limestone forests (alpha diversities: alluvial forest 31.5%; limestone forest 32.6%. Beta diversities: alluvial forest 68.5%; limestone forest 67.4%). In all cases these were significantly different than would be expected by chance. Alpha diversities were lower than expected (alluvial



**Figure 2.** Individual-based (a) and coverage-based (sample completeness) (b) rarefaction curves for moths sampled from alluvial (triangle) and limestone (square) forests in Xishuangbanna, Yunnan, China. These curves were extrapolated to twice the observed numbers of individuals. Grey areas indicate 95% confidence intervals.

expected,  $255 \pm 7.15$ ; limestone expected,  $292 \pm 8.32$ ). Beta diversities, in contrast, were higher than expected (alluvial expected,  $449 \pm 7.85$ ; limestone expected,  $481 \pm 7.43$ ). Error terms quoted are the 95% confidence limits in each case.

Despite obvious and marked similarities in values of the similarity indices used and partitioned diversities, an NMDS ordination based on Bray-Curtis similarity values (Figure 3) as well as Sørensen and Raup–Crick indices (results not shown) indicated clear differences between the species compositions of alluvial and limestone forests. An ANOSIM confirmed, statistically, that assemblage compositions measured by Bray-Curtis, Sørensen and Raup–Crick indices were significantly different between the two forest types (Table 1). Interestingly, however, this was not the case for the Chao-Jaccard estimated index which indicated no significant difference between the forest types. In that case, also uniquely, the analysis suggested that the similarities in assemblage compositions were significantly correlated with geographic distances among the sites (Table 2). The DistLM routine found no

**Table 1.** Analysis of similarity values between assemblages of moths from alluvial and limestone based forests in the Xishuangbanna Region of Yunnan in south-western China. Summary results of analyses of similarity (ANOSIM) (limestone vs alluvial forests) and Mantel tests (comparing moth assemblages with geographic distances) using four different similarity values are presented.

	ANOSIM		Mantel tests	
	R	Р	Rho	Р
Sørensen	0.37	0.029	0.25	0.145
Bray–Curtis	0.33	0.029	0.22	0.192
Raup–Crick	0.60	0.029	0.27	0.070
Chao–Jaccard Est.	0.18	0.171	0.52	0.012



Figure 3. NMDS ordination of moth assemblages from Xishuangbanna, Yunnan, China based on Bray–Curtis similarity values, showing limestone (diamond) and alluvial (triangle) forest sites. Labels on each point correspond to the survey sites shown in Figure 1.

other environmental factors significantly related to any of the four similarity measures.

The indicator-value protocol found 10 species with significant indicator values (Table 2). We have confidently assigned identities to four of these which happen to belong to groups on which recent taxonomic work is available. Three further species can be identified as close to but different from known species. A further two can be placed tentatively in genera. The 10th species may be a mixed group associated with a notoriously challenging crambid genus, *Omiodes*. Of these, two and eight species were indicative of alluvial and limestone forests, respectively. Although six of these species occurred only within one forest type, all showed indicator values less than 70% (Table 2): that is, they may not be regarded as 'strong' indicators of their particular forest types.

#### DISCUSSION

Our results show clearly that both forest types are rich in moth species with very similar alpha and beta diversities. Cao & Zhang (1997) and Zhu et al. (1998) provide complimentary data on tree diversity. Based on 0.25-ha plot-based data which surveyed trees of dbh greater than 5 cm, these authors show that stem densities of trees in both forest types are more or less similar ( $210 \pm 79.0$  per 0.25 ha for limestone,  $201 \pm 53.4$  for alluvial forest). Alluvial forests, however, showed much higher species richness (55.0  $\pm$  7.23 per 0.25 ha) compared with the limestone plots (24.5  $\pm$  2.22 per 0.25 ha). If, as seems sensible, we follow recent authors in accepting that herbivore diversity directly reflects plant diversity (Basset et al. 2012) then our finding of comparable richness in the two forest types, in contrast to previous results on tree richness, requires explanation. Resorting to simple induction, we note that the food plants of most Lepidoptera (and, indeed, other herbivorous insects), are not forest trees but the grasses, herbs, vines, lianas and epiphytes (see some entries in Table 2 and the thousands of records in Robinson et al. 2001) associated with them. We have no quantitative data on these other plant functional groups from the two forest types. These two forest types are structurally similar, as evidenced by the stem densities and we suggest it is the complex of growth forms and functional plant groups which is likely to be driving herbivore diversity (cf. Grille 2003, Ødegaard 2000).

The community-level analyses show distinct compositional differences between the moth assemblages from the two forest types. This is further evidenced by the number of indicator species characteristic of either alluvial or limestone forests (Table 2). We attribute these differences to the existence of more or less species-specific host-plant relationships between lepidopteran larvae and the plants in an area. Lepidoptera have been shown, elsewhere, to be, on average, the most specialist of the three great insect clades of herbivores (Lepidoptera, phytophagous Coleoptera and Orthoptera, in decreasing order of specialization; Novotny et al. 2002, 2003) with species being, on average, associated with no more than two genera of plants. There is a wide spread around this average with some species of heliothine noctuid being recorded from hundreds of species of plant. Others are known from single species of food-plant (Robinson et al. 2001). These figures, however, are generally based on isolated detailed studies in selected locations: in general our knowledge of the food-plant associations of most tropical rain-forest moths would be best described as 'data deficient'. There remains an ongoing need for detailed natural historical observations on any and all tropical Lepidoptera.

There is a further issue with this accounting for patterns based on sampling adult moths by appealing to their larval food-plant preferences – adult moths are mobile. With some celebrated exceptions (Drake & Gatehouse 1995), lepidopteran species move little as adults: there are many well-known 'locations' for rare and local species where

Abundanga (fraguangu

Species identity		Indicator value	Indicative of	in 12 traps)	
	Family: Subfamily			Alluvial	Limestone
Parasa bicolor	Limacodidae	50	Alluvial	8 (6)	0
Anabasis fusciflavida	Pyralidae: Phycitinae	42	Alluvial	10(5)	0
Rehimena nr phrynealis	Crambidae: Spilomelinae	70	Limestone	2 (2)	29 (9)
Godonela nora	Geometridae: Ennominae	63	Limestone	1(1)	20 (8)
Syllepte nr taiwanalis	Crambidae: Spilomelinae	56	Limestone	1(1)	21
Simplicia sp. 50	Noctuidae: Herminiinae	47	Limestone	6(2)	25(7)
Ocinara nr signifera	Bombycidae	42	Limestone	0	13 (5)
Biston contectaria	Geometridae: Ennominae	42	Limestone	0	7 (5)
<i>Mythimna</i> sp. 32	Noctuidae: Hadeninae	42	Limestone	0	12(5)
Omiodes? sp. 193	Crambidae: Spilomelinae	42	Limestone	0	5 (5)

**Table 2.** List of species of moths from alluvial and limestone rain-forest samples in the Xishuangbanna Region of Yunnan, south-western China. The species included all showed significant indicator values (P < 0.05) resulting from analyses using the IndVal procedure of Dufrêne & Legendre (1997). The table shows forest types corresponding to the maximum IndVal observed, total abundance and the number of traps (out of 12) in which the species was collected in alluvial and limestone forests.

the particular populations occupy a small number of hectares, or less, year after year. Distance-driven turnover patterns of moths in Bornean primary rain forests provide further support for the idea that populations of individual species are spatially limited (Kitching et al. 2013). In general, though, data on vagility are lacking from specific rain-forest studies, although the domination of rainforest moth assemblages by smaller rather than larger species and the general assumption that vagility (usually) correlates with wing area, suggests that many species will move little as adults. This explanation does not imply that there is no movement by adult moths among forest patches at the scale of our study. Indeed, the lack of difference between the communities when measured based on the Chao-Jaccard estimated index (which takes into account unsampled shared species based on rare, shared species; Chao et al. 2005) suggests that movement of individuals between forest types is occurring, albeit rarely. At the level of the whole assemblage, however, an interpretation that local turnover in species composition across communities may reflect underlying larva/plant associations is both plausible and likely. Some alluvial and limestone forest remnants are closely placed within the landscape and individuals of mobile species might readily find themselves in the 'wrong' sort of forest.

The 10 species which emerge as significant indicators of particular forest types may be best understood in light of what is known of their particular life histories. For four of the species we have been unable to find any life-history information, for the remainder, information mostly comes from outside China, most notably from Borneo (Holloway 1986, 1993, 1997). For *Parasa bicolor* (Limacodidae), characteristic of alluvial forest, Holloway (1986) indicated that related species are recorded feeding on *Mangifera* (Anacardaceae) and *Nephelium* (Sapindaceae). The other species for which information is available are all limestone-associated in our samples. *Godonela nora* has been bred from a climbing species of

*Acacia* in southern India and is recorded from kerangas and alluvial forest as well as 'dipterocarp forest on limestone' in Borneo (Holloway 1997). All *Ocinara* species for which information is available are recorded feeding as larvae on Moraceae (Holloway 1993). Larvae of the hadenine genus *Mythimna* are probably grass feeders. Most known *Omiodes* hosts are legumes. Finally, the *Biston* species may mirror other members of its genus by being a highly polyphagous defoliator (Holloway 1997). These details in fact shed little light on why these species show up as indicators with the exception of the limestoneassociated geometrid *Godonela nora* which is recorded by Holloway (1997) 'from dipterocarp forest on limestone' at higher elevations.

We show clearly, even based on our limited sampling, that the moth assemblages from these two forest types are not interchangeable. We speculate that this differentiation will also be seen in the other major groups of insect herbivores: the phytophagous Coleoptera and the Orthoptera – but data are lacking. Any conservation strategy that attempts to maximize species richness must regard these forest patches as separate components of the landscape.

In the Xishuangbanna region the limestone remnants, often on or around near-vertical outcrops, are perhaps of less immediate conservation concern than the topographically more accessible alluvial forests. Nevertheless the entire landscape is under immense pressure from burgeoning rubber production and considerable losses in forest area have occurred in the 10 years since our moth data were collected. From 1976 to 2003, Li *et al.* (2009) note a reduction in forest cover in the region from 67% to 43.6%. Tropical rain forest has been reduced over the same period by 67%. Most of this loss has been due to conversion to rubber plantations which increased in cover from 1.1% to 11% over the same period. Further expansion has occurred to 2010 when estimates suggest that rubber covers 22% of the

region. Although detailed data are lacking, the greatest proportion of this loss is in alluvial forests below 800 m elevation, which are more fertile, accessible and workable as plantations.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work has depended critically on support provided to the senior author by the Chinese Academy of Sciences and the Director, Professor Chen Jin, and his staff at the Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Gardens, in particular. In 2003 this took the form of a support as a Visiting Fellow; in 2014, Professor Kitching's participation in the project was supported by Chinese Academy of Sciences Visiting Professorship, Grant number 2013T2S0029. RLK is grateful for this support and thanks the Chinese Academy of Sciences and his Chinese colleagues sincerely. In 2003, scientific advice was freely provided by Dr Tang Yong and Professor Zhu Hua. Masters student Yuping Yan served as a highly efficient research assistant. From 2011 to 2013 further work in China was supported under a grant awarded under the joint Oueensland Government/Chinese Academy of Sciences Biotechnology Granting Scheme to Professors Kitching and Cao. This scheme allowed for several exchange visits between Australia and Yunnan and fieldwork in both countries. We are grateful to these persons and organizations for their support. We also thank Professor Anne Chao for useful statistical advice.

### LITERATURE CITED

- ANDERSON, M. J., GORLEY, R. N. & CLARKE, K. R. 2008. PERMANOVA +for PRIMER: guide to software and statistical methods. PRIMER-E, Plymouth. 214 pp.
- BASSET, Y., CIZEK, L., CUÉNOUD, P., DIDHAM, R. K., GUILHAUMON,
  F., MISSA, M., NOVOTNY, V., ØDEGAARD, F., ROSLIN, T., SCHMIDL,
  J., TISHECHKIN, A. K., WINCHESTER, N. N., ABERLENC, H-P., BAIL,
  J., BARRIOS, H., BRIDLE, J. R., CASTAÑO-MENESES, G., CORBARA,
  B., CURLETTI, G., DAROCHA, W. D., DEBAKER, D., DELABIE,
  J. H. C., DEJEAN, A., FAGAN, L. L., FLOREN, A., KITCHING, R. L.,
  MEDIANERO, L. E., MILLER, S. E., GAMA, DE, OLIVEIRA, E., ORIVEL,
  J., POLLET, M., RAPP, M., RIBEIRO, S. P., ROISIN, Y., ROUBIK, D.
  W., SCHMIDT, J. B., SORENSEN, L. & LEPONCE, M. 2012. How many
  arthropod species live in a tropical forest? Science 338:1481–1484.
- BECK, J. & LINSENMAIR, K. E. 2006. Feasibility of light-trapping in community research on moths: attraction radius of light, completeness of samples, nightly flight times and seasonality of southeast Asian hawkmoths. *Journal of Research on the Lepidoptera* 39:18– 37.
- CAO, M. & ZHANG, J. H. 1997. Tree species diversity of tropical forest vegetation in Xishuangbanna, S. W. China. *Biotropica* 6:995–1006.

- CAO, M., ZOU, X. M., WARREN, M. & ZHU, H. 2006. Tropical forests of Xishuangbanna, China. *Biotropica* 38:306–309.
- CHAO, A. & JOST, L. 2012. Coverage-based rarefaction and extrapolation: standardizing samples by completeness rather than size. *Ecology* 93:2533–2547.
- CHAO, A., CHAZDON, R. L., COLWELL, R. K. & SHEN, T. J. 2005. A new statistical approach for assessing similarity of species composition with incidence and abundance data. *Ecology Letters* 8:148– 159.
- CHAO, A., CHAZDON, R. L., COLWELL, R. K. & SHEN, T. J. 2006. Abundance-based similarity indices and their estimation when there are unseen species in samples. *Biometrics* 62:361–371.
- CHAPMAN, P. 1993. Caves and cave life. Collins, London. 219 pp.
- CHASE, J. M., KRAFT, N. J. B., SMITH, K. G., VELLEND, M. & INOUYE, B. D. 2011. Using null models to disentangle variation in community dissimilarity from variation in α-diversity. *Ecosphere* 2: art24.
- CHEN, H., FAN, Y., ZHAO, Z., WANG, Z., XUE, Z. & ZHOU, W. 2011. Study on the geo-ecology of soil fauna in typical karst area of Guizhou Province in China. *Chinese Agricultural Science Bulletin* 27:208–215. [In Chinese with English Abstract]
- CLARKE, K. R. & GORLEY, R. N. 2006. PRIMER v6: user manual/tutorial. PRIMER-E, Plymouth. 190 pp.
- CLEMENTS, R., SODHI, N. S., SCHILTHUIZEN, M. & NG, P. 2006. Karsts of Southeast Asia: neglected and imperiled arks of biodiversity. *BioScience* 56:733–742.
- COLWELL, R. K., CHAO, A., GOTELLI, N. J., LIN, S.-Y., MAO, C. X., CHAZDON, R. L. & LONGINO, J. T. 2012. Models and estimators linking individual-based and sample-based rarefaction, extrapolation and comparison of assemblages. *Journal of Plant Ecology* 5:3–21.
- DENNIS, R. L. H. 2012. A resource-based habitat view for conservation: butterflies in the British landscape. Wiley, Chichester. 420 pp.
- DRAKE, V. A. & GATEHOUSE, G. eds. 1995. Insect migration: tracking resources in space and time. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. 478 pp.
- DUFRÊNE, M. & LEGENDRE, P. 1997. Species assemblages and indicator species: the need for a flexible asymmetrical approach. *Ecological Monographs* 67:345–366.
- FAN, Y. L., XIONG, K. N. & CHEN, H. 2013. Study on the diversity of soil macrofauna in karst mountainous area in Guizhou Province. *Research of Soil and Water Conservation* 20:92–96. [In Chinese with English Abstract]
- GRILLE, C. E. V. 2003. Forest structure and vertical stratification of small mammals in a secondary Atlantic forest, south-eastern Brazil. *Studies on Neotropical Fauna and Environment* 38:81–85.
- HEATH, J. 1965. A genuinely portable UV light trap. *Entomologists' Record and Journal of Variation* 77:236–238.
- HOLLOWAY, J. D. 1986. Moths of Borneo Part 1. Key to Families; Cossidae, Metarbelidae, Ratardidae, Dudgeonidae, Epipyropidae, Limacodidae. *Malayan Nature Journal* 40:1–165.
- HOLLOWAY, J. D. 1993. Moths of Borneo Part 3. Lasiocampidae, Eupterotidae, Bombycidae, Brahmaeidae, Saturniidae, Sphingidae. *Malayan Nature Journal* 43:1–199.
- HOLLOWAY, J. D. 1997. Moths of Borneo Part 11. Geometridae: Ennominae. *Malayan Nature Journal* 47:1–309.
- JOST, L. 2006. Entropy and diversity. Oikos 113:363-375.

- JOST, L., CHAO, A. & CHAZDON, R. L. 2011. Compositional similarity and *β* (beta) diversity. Pp. 66–84 in Magurran, A. E. & McGill, B. (eds). *Biological diversity: frontiers in measurement and assessment.* Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- KITCHING, R. L., BOULTER, S. L., VICKERMAN, G., LAIDLAW, M., HURLEY, K. L. & GRIMBACHER, P. L. 2005. The comparative assessment of arthropod and tree biodiversity in old-world rainforests: the Rainforest CRC/Earthwatch protocol manual. (Second edition revised). Rainforest Cooperative Research Centre, Cairns. 80 pp.
- KITCHING, R. L., ASHTON, L. A., NAKAMURA, A., WHITAKER, T. & KHEY, V. T. 2013. Distance driven species turnover in Bornean rainforests: homogeneity and heterogeneity in primary and postlogging forests. *Ecography* 36:675–682.
- LAN, G. Y., HU, Y. H., CAO, M., ZHU, H., WANG, H., ZHOU, S. H., DENG, X. B., CUI, J. Y., HUANG, J. G., LIU, L. Y., XU, H. L., SONG, J. P. & HE, Y. C. 2008. Establishment of the Xishuangbanna tropical forest dynamics plot: species compositions and spatial distribution patterns. *Journal of Plant Ecology* 32:287–298. [In Chinese with English Abstract]
- LI, H. M., AIDE, T. M. & MA, Y. X. 2007. Demand for rubber is causing loss of high diversity rain forest in SW China. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 16:1731–1745.
- LI, H. M., MA, Y. X. & LIU, W. J. 2009. Clearance and fragmentation of tropical rain forest in Xishuangbanna, SW China. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 18:3421–3440.
- MAGURRAN, A. 2003. Measuring biological diversity. Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford. 264 pp.
- MANSOR, M. S., SAH, S. A. M., LIM, C. K. & RAHMAN, M. A. 2011. Bird species diversity in the Padawan Limestone Area, Sarawak. 2011. *Tropical Life Sciences Research* 22:65–80.
- MCGEOCH, M. A., VAN RENSBURG, B. J. & BOTES, A. 2002. The verification and application of bioindicators: a case study of dung beetles in a savanna ecosystem. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 39:661– 672.
- NOVOTNY, V., BASSET, Y., MILLER, S. E., DROZD, P. & CIZEK, L. 2002. Host specialization in leaf-chewing insects in a New Guinean rain forest. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 71:400–412.
- NOVOTNY, V., BASSET, Y. & KITCHING, R. L. 2003. Herbivore assemblages and their food resources. Pp. 40–53 in Basset, Y., Novotny, V., Miller, S. E. & Kitching, R. L. (eds). Arthropods of tropical forests: spatio-temporal dynamics and resource use in the canopy. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- NOVOTNY, V., BASSET, Y., MILLER, S. E., KITCHING, R. L., LAIDLAW, M., DROZD, P. & CIZEK, L. 2004. Local species richness of leafchewing insects feeding on woody plants from one hectare of a lowland rainforest. *Conservation Biology* 18:227–237.
- NOVOTNY, V., DROZD, P., MILLER, S. E., KULFAN, M., JANDA, M., BASSET, Y. & WEIBLEN, G. D. 2006. Why are there so many species of herbivorous insects in tropical rainforests? *Science* 313:1115–1118.
- ØDEGAARD, F. 2000. The relative importance of trees versus lianes as host for phytophagous beetles (Coleoptera) in tropical forests. *Journal* of Biogeography 27:283–296.
- RAHMAN, M. A., DIE, L. L., FONG, P. H., JOWSHIP, J. B., PANDONG, J.J. G., HAZALI, R. & MARNI, W. 2004. Diversity and abundance of understorey avifauna. Sarawak Bau limestone biodiversity. *Sarawak Museum Journal* 80:243–249.

- REGIER, J. C., MITTER, C., ZWICK, A., BAZINET, A. L., CUMMINGS, M. P., KAWAHARA, A. Y., SOHN, J.-C., ZWICK, D. J., CHO, S., DAVIS, D. R., BAIXERAS, J., BROWN, J., PARR, C., WELLER, S., LEES, D. C. & MITTER, K. T. 2013. A large-scale, higher-level, molecular phylogenetic study of the insect order Lepidoptera moths and butterflies. *PLoS ONE* 8:1–23.
- ROBINSON, G. S., ACKERY, P. R., KITCHING, I. J., BECCALONI, G. W. & HERNÁNDEZ, L. M. 2001. Host plants of the moth and butterfly caterpillars of the Oriental Region. Natural History Museum, London. 744 pp.
- ROBINSON, H. S. & ROBINSON, P. J. M. 1950. Some notes on the observed behaviour of Lepidoptera in flight in the vicinity of lightsources together with a description of a light-trap designed to take entomological samples. *Entomologist's Gazette* 1:3–15.
- SALISBURY, E. J. 1920. The significance of the calcicolous habit. *Journal of Ecology* 8:202–215.
- SCHILTHUIZEN, M., CHAI, H. N., KIMSIN, T. E. & VERMEULEN, J. J. 2003. Abundance and diversity of land snails on limestone in Borneo. *Raffles Bulletin of Zoology* 51:35–42.
- SCHILTHUIZEN, M., LIEW, T. S., ELAHAN, B. & LACKMAN-ANCRENAZ, I. 2005. Effects of karst forest degradation on pulmonate and prosobranch land snail communities in Sabah, Malaysian Borneo. *Conservation Biology* 19:949–954.
- STRUEBIG, M. J., KINGSTON, T., ZUBAID, A., LE COMBER, S. C., ADNAN, A. M., TURNER, A., KELLY, J., BOZEK, M. & ROSSITERA, S. J. 2009. Conservation importance of limestone karst outcrops for Palaeotropical bats in a fragmented landscape. *Biological Conservation* 142:2089–2096.
- TAYLOR, L. R & FRENCH, R. A. 1974. Effects of light-trap design and illumination on samples of moths in an English woodland. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 65:583–594.
- WANG, H., ZHU, H. & LI, B. G. 1997. Vegetation on limestone in Xishuangbanna, southwest China. *Guihaia* 17:101–117. [In Chinese with English Abstract]
- WILLIAMS, C. B. 1948. The Rothamsted light trap. Proceedings of the Royal Entomological Society of London A, 31:135–144.
- ZHU, H. 2002. Ecology and biogeography of the limestone vegetation in southern Yunnan, SW China. Yunnan Science & Technology Press, Kunming. 66 pp.
- ZHU, H., WANG, H., LI, B. & XU, Z. 1996. A phytogeographical research on the forest flora of limestone hills in Xishuangbanna. *Guihaia* 16:317–329. [In Chinese with English Abstract]
- ZHU, H., WANG, H. & LI, B. 1998. The structure, species composition and diversity of the limestone vegetation in Xishuangbanna, SW China. *Gardens' Bulletin, Singapore* 50:5–33.
- ZHU, H., WANG, H., LI, B. & SIRIRUGSA, P. 2003. Biogeography and floristic affinity of the limestone flora in southern Yunnan, China. Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden 90:444– 465.
- ZHU, H., XU, Z. F., WANG, H. & LI, B. G. 2004. Tropical rain forest fragmentation and its ecological and species diversity changes in southern Yunnan. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 13:1355–1372.
- ZHU, H., CAO, M. & HU, H. 2006. Geological history, flora, and vegetation of Xishuangbanna, southern Yunnan, China. *Biotropica* 38:310–317.